

REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS

Date: May 5, 2025

PLAN COMMISSION/ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS VILLAGE OF SOUTH BARRINGTON

Court Reporter: DEBORAH R. SANTI, CSR

Paszkiewicz Court Reporting Phone: 847-598-0322

Toll-Free: 855-595-3577 Fax: 618-855-9513 www.spreporting.com

PLAN COMMISSION/ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS VILLAGE OF SOUTH BARRINGTON

Continuation of Public Hearing: Area N Development Application for Approval of Development Plan for Construction of a Church and School/School Store on Existing site and Adjustment of an Existing Plat of Subdivision

* * * * *

Held on Monday, May 5, 2025, Commencing at the hour of approximately 5:00 p.m. at 30 South Barrington Road, South Barrington, Illinois.

PLAN COMMISSION PRESENT:
Michael McCombie, Chairman
Anthony Abri, Commissioner
Louise Fox, Commissioner
David Gillis, Commissioner
Shahzad Kazi, Commissioner

Julius Kwasek, Commissioner

ALSO PRESENT:

James Vasselli, Village Attorney
Michael Dropka, Village Administrator
Paula McCombie, Mayor of South Barrington
Damian Michalski, Building Officer
Members of the Public

	Page 2
1	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So this is a
2	notice of a public hearing and meeting for the Plan
3	Commission Zoning Board of Appeals for Monday, May 5,
4	2025. Call to order.
5	Roll call.
6	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR DROPKA: Commissioner
7	Kazi?
8	COMMISSIONER KAZI: Yes.
9	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR DROPKA: Commissioner
10	Gillis?
11	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Yes.
12	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR DROPKA: Commissioner
13	Abri?
14	COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye.
15	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR DROPKA: Commissioner
16	Fox?
17	COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes.
18	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR DROPKA: Commissioner
19	Kwasek?
20	COMMISSIONER KWASEK: Yes.
21	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR DROPKA: Chairman
22	McCombie?
23	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes.
24	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR DROPKA: We have a

	Page 3
1	quorum.
2	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I think before we you do
3	the approval of the Plan Commission meeting minutes.
4	We have our attorney here, who would like to have an
5	executive session.
6	And we'll break for the executive
7	session.
8	How long do you think it will be?
9	ATTORNEY VASSELLI: Not long.
10	So any Board, under the Open
11	Meetings Act, has a right to go into closed session
12	to discuss matters in pending litigation, imminent
13	litigation or personnel management. So while it is
14	not on the agenda, we can actually go there and do
15	closed session, executive session, to discuss certain
16	matters, such as litigation.
17	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. We will take a
18	brief recess.
19	ATTORNEY VASSELLI: We need a motion and
20	second.
21	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I'll take a motion to go
22	into executive session.
23	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: You have a motion.
24	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Second?

	Page 4
1	COMMISSIONER FOX: Second.
2	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Roll call.
3	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR DROPKA: Commissioner
4	Kazi?
5	COMMISSIONER KAZI: Yes.
6	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR DROPKA: Commissioner
7	Gillis?
8	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Yes.
9	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR DROPKA: Commissioner
10	Abri?
11	COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye.
12	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR DROPKA: Commissioner
13	Fox?
14	COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes.
15	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR DROPKA: Commissioner
16	Kwasek?
17	COMMISSIONER KWASEK: Yes.
18	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR DROPKA: Chair
19	McCombie?
20	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes.
21	ATTORNEY VASSELLI: And that will be about
22	litigation matters; is that correct
23	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes.
24	ATTORNEY VASSELLI as we talked about.

	Page 5
1	ATTORNEY VASSELLI: Thank you.
2	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: We'll be back.
3	(Whereupon the Board
4	went into executive
5	session at 5:05 p.m.)
6	(Back on the record at
7	5:55 p.m.)
8	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. At this point
9	ATTORNEY VASSELLI: We need a motion to
10	reconvene.
11	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Motion to reconvene?
12	COMMISSIONER KAZI: So moved.
13	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Second?
14	COMMISSIONER FOX: Second.
15	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Roll call.
16	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR DROPKA: Commissioner
17	Kazi?
18	COMMISSIONER KAZI: Yes.
19	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR DROPKA: Commissioner
20	Gillis?
21	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Yes.
22	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR DROPKA: Commissioner
23	Abri?
24	COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye.

	Page 6
1	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR DROPKA: Commissioner
2	Fox?
3	COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes.
4	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR DROPKA: Commissioner
5	Kwasek?
6	COMMISSIONER KWASEK: Yes.
7	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR DROPKA: Chairman
8	McCombie?
9	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes.
10	Okay. So the first item for the
11	restart of our schedule, the first item is the
12	minutes, approval of the Planning Commission & Zoning
13	Board of Appeals meeting minutes of March 20th.
14	I think everybody got those in
15	e-mail?
16	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Yeah.
17	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Any comments?
18	Discussions? Changes?
19	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Look good.
20	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Take a motion to approve.
21	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: You have a motion.
22	COMMISSIONER KWASEK: Second.
23	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Roll call?
24	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR DROPKA: Commissioner

Paszkiewicz Court Reporting (847) 598-0322 / Toll-Free (855) 595-3577

	Page 7
1	Kazi?
2	COMMISSIONER KAZI: Yes.
3	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR DROPKA: Commissioner
4	Gillis?
5	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Yes.
6	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR DROPKA: Commissioner
7	Abri?
8	COMMISSIONER ABRI: Yes.
9	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR DROPKA: Commissioner
10	Fox?
11	COMMISSIONER FOX: I abstain.
12	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR DROPKA: Commissioner
13	Kwasek?
14	COMMISSIONER KWASEK: Yes.
15	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR DROPKA: Chairman
16	McCombie?
17	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes.
18	Okay. At this time, this is the
19	public comment section. If anybody would like to say
20	anything, now would be an appropriate time for public
21	comment.
22	MS. SAGE: I have a question.
23	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Please.
24	MS. SAGE: My name is Sage, S-a-g-e, I live

Paszkiewicz Court Reporting (847) 598-0322 / Toll-Free (855) 595-3577

	Page 8
1	in South Barrington.
2	(Witness sworn.)
3	MS. SAGE: So this is being noticed as a
4	public hearing.
5	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes, you're right.
6	MS. SAGE: So my question just has to do
7	there's a lot of people who wanted to be here this
8	evening that I think there was a petition that was
9	sent to the Mayor McCombie asking to postpone this
10	hearing, at least until later this evening, instead
11	of it being timed at 5:00 p.m.
12	My question is just a basic
13	procedural question. I'm not sure when this meeting
14	was scheduled. The first time I saw it was on
15	Friday, in Mayor McCombie's newsletter.
16	So my question is: How was this
17	noticed properly, this meeting, this public hearing
18	properly noticed?
19	ATTORNEY VASSELLI: Mr. Chairman, do you want
20	me to answer that?
21	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Please.
22	ATTORNEY VASSELLI: The motion of the public
23	hearing was properly noticed pursuant to the Open
24	Meetings Act. It was the recess of the final of a

	Page 9
1	prior order from This was referred to Planning &
2	Zoning June 11, 2024. It was on the agenda I believe
3	Friday, August 9, 2024; Monday, August 26, 2024;
4	Monday, September 23, 2024; Wednesday, October 16,
5	2024; Wednesday, October 23, 2024; and I believe one
6	more time.
7	MS. SAGE: This is the
8	ATTORNEY VASSELLI: Excuse me, I'm speaking.
9	If I could finish.
10	MS. SAGE: Sure. Please go ahead.
11	ATTORNEY VASSELLI: The This was a
12	properly noticed posted meeting pursuant to the Open
13	Meetings Act. There was a public There was a
14	posting well within the time period as permitted on
15	the wall.
16	MS. SAGE: And your position is this is just
17	a continuation of those prior meetings?
18	ATTORNEY VASSELLI: No, my position is it's
19	the law.
20	MS. SAGE: Because there isn't a posting in
21	the Daily Herald.
22	ATTORNEY VASSELLI: Because it was recessed.
23	MS. SAGE: Because this is a continuation of
2.4	those prior meetings. And during those prior

	Page 10
1	meetings that you just decided to continue.
2	ATTORNEY VASSELLI: That is not what
3	happened. That's a misinterpretation. So what did
4	happen was there was a motion to recess.
5	MS. SAGE: And you just continued that motion
6	subsequently.
7	ATTORNEY VASSELLI: I didn't
8	MS. SAGE: Well, the Board did.
9	ATTORNEY VASSELLI: Thank you.
10	MS. SAGE: Continued to recess that through
11	subsequent
12	ATTORNEY VASSELLI: The last one it was
13	recessed.
14	MS. SAGE: So this is just a continuation
15	from 2024.
16	MR. VASSELLI: No, that's not what I said. I
17	said, it was the last one, I believe this was
18	January. I believe it was January, but I'm not
19	certain.
20	MS. SAGE: It started in 2024, it made its
21	way through January, and all those dates that you
22	read.
23	ATTORNEY VASSELLI: Friday, August 9, 2024;
24	Monday, August 26, 2024; Monday, September 23, 2024;

	Page 11
1	Wednesday, October 16, 2024; Wednesday, October 23,
2	2024. Every one of those meetings they had here, and
3	I believe there was one more.
4	MS. SAGE: They had here and they made
5	motions to continue.
6	ATTORNEY VASSELLI: There was a recess
7	motion.
8	MS. SAGE: Motions to recess.
9	ATTORNEY VASSELLI: Thank you.
10	MS. SAGE: Okay. So I guess my broader
11	question is: In the spirit of everything that I've
12	seen, I guess this is not a question, but a
13	statement. In the spirit of everything that I've
14	seen from the Mayor, when it comes to full
15	transparency and wanting to make the process
16	available to all participants, I don't think I've
17	ever seen a meeting scheduled at 5:00 p.m. That's
18	incredibly difficult for the community to show up at
19	5:00 p.m. It doesn't seem there is a fair process
20	here for community involvement with this public
21	hearing. Thank you.
22	(Applause.)
23	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Any other public
2.4	comment?

Page 12 1 (No response.) CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Any other public comment? MS. GHOSH: Maddie Ghosh, 12 Acadia Drive. 3 4 Hello, I'm here for this public 5 hearing. Unfortunately, many other residents who 6 wanted to be here, couldn't be here because of the 7 timing. I did send an e-mail out to the Plan 8 Commission, to the Board of Trustees and to Mayor 9 McCombie, and my request for rescheduling this meeting was denied. 10 11 I understand what you mentioned to 12 Sage about the -- this being a continuation of the 13 meeting. And perhaps my memory isn't serving me 14 correctly here, but at the end of the last public 15 hearing, there was a vote by the Plan Commission 16 here, and I believe there were certain items that PCC 17 was to go back and file again, refile their petition. There were things that didn't meet the Village's 18 19 requirements. They were going to come back. 20 Do they not have to file a new 2.1 petition, new documents and submit a request for a 22 new public hearing at that time? 23 CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I don't remember that 24 they were coming back to file a new or any new

Page 13 1 information. 2 MS. GHOSH: Would someone be able to 3 enlighten me as to what the vote was for at the end 4 of the last Plan Commission meeting? 5 ATTORNEY VASSELLLI: There was a vote to 6 recess after the initial finding of fact came in. 7 The -- There was no requirement that they refile for 8 the public hearing. Absolutely not. There was a 9 recess at the last public hearing. 10 MS. GHOSH: And this organization is 11 currently also trying to de-annex from the Village 12 with Cook County, and they have a court date of May 13 28th. 14 ATTORNEY VASSELLI: They have a court date of 15 May 28th. They have filed a petition to de-annex 16 from the town, that is correct. There is pending 17 litigation before the Village or involving the 18 Village. 19 MS. GHOSH: Okay. And may I ask why this got 20 brought back into a public hearing at this point 2.1 between the two court dates? What was the -- Was 22 there any new information filed? 23 ATTORNEY VASSELLI: There was additional 24 diligence done by the Village. We don't just sit on

Page 14

matters. I don't -- I don't know what else to say. 1 2 We don't sit on matters. If this matter has --Again, I don't want to go through it again, and the 3 4 court reporter doesn't want me to have to read all of 5 those dates again. But this has pending before the 6 Village in some form or another since June 11, 2024. 7 MS. GHOSH: And the litigation is still in 8 place and the sale of the land is still in question. 9 There has not been anything -- The court case was not 10 dismissed and is very well in place. I just wanted 11 the Plan Commission to be well aware of that as well. 12 ATTORNEY VASSELLI: So there's a little bit 13 of a nuance, one of the plaintiffs did dismiss his 14 suit against the --15 MS. GHOSH: No -- So I'm on that lawsuit. 16 please believe me when I say that our matter is still 17 very much in -- active. And yes, one person did

ATTORNEY VASSELLI: That's what I'm saying.

MS. GHOSH: The case was not dismissed.

remove his name from that.

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

ATTORNEY VASSELLI: No, it wasn't dismissed, but if you look at the court, and if you're not use to reading procedural issues of Court it would say voluntarily dismissed. That doesn't mean the whole

Page 15

case was voluntarily dismissed. And as we were engaging on our conversation, I think to clear it up, one of the plaintiffs did withdraw his claim.

2.1

MS. GHOSH: Just one, because of a conflict of interest because he's a Park District Commissioner.

Thank you. Thanks for your time.

CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Is there anyone else?

MR. GENTILE: My name is Mike Gentile, I live at 2 Aztec Court in South Barrington, which is the Regency of the Woods, and I'm also the newly-elected Barrington Township Assessor. So -- And I've spoken at a couple of these hearings in the past.

It strikes me that the tone is rather confrontational and defensive. I don't know why it gets to that. I really don't. My experience with public service is whenever discussions get to that level, I become very, very suspicious of what is really behind it. Eventually it all comes out in the wash. My position in the past has been -- One of the reasons I wanted to be Barrington Township Assessor is to be an advocate for the taxpayers. I was done with elected office in terms of legislative positions, and I commend you all for putting your

Page 16

time in and doing it, because I know this is volunteer. But we're not advocating for the taxpayer here. I said that in the past. Every one of you are taxpayers in this Village, and if you look at any proper urban planning, you have to be very cognizant of managing your tax base and maximizing your revenue.

2.1

South Barrington is a phenomenal community. That's why I moved here. It's a pristine bedroom community. There's not a lot of industrial or commercial property here. So you're depending upon your property taxes for everything.

We're going to be heading into a tri-annual assessment here. You'll all be getting assessments pretty soon. You'll probably have a few choice words when you open it up and see it. It's significantly going up. And on top of that, we just passed a 68 million dollar bond for 220 School District that is exempt from the levy. So villages, and I've had an opportunity to meet with many township assessors over the last 60 days, and I am very impressed with the planning that's going -- that is staying in effect in many of these villages, because they're recognizing that the escalation of

Page 17

cost, if you look at your levy, is going up every year. It's amazing how these taxing bodies, they go up 4.9 percent and not hit the 5 percent. But when you look at that, you have to say to yourself, how much longer can this go on. And I spoke to a couple of assessors last week at a Cook County meeting, and there's a lot of insight and planning going on in these villages and towns to try to mitigate some of that and to try to maximize their land use, whether it's been commercial, industrial or even residential.

2.1

So you're about to take a vote here, which most likely which is why you called a meeting at five o'clock. I understand that. I've been there in the past. It's just not a Friday, it's a Monday. So think about the tax ramifications of taking a significant parcel of land and just allocating it to a non-tax-use -- non-tax-generating property and what that does. And you may think that oh, well, it's not going to be that much; but you know what, nowadays policy regulates economically in a village.

There were 141 people that signed that petition that wanted to be here tonight to speak, and you denied them that by scheduling a meeting at five o'clock. That wasn't by accident.

Page 18

They care about this town. Many of those people that signed that petition, they plan on being here for another 10, 15, 20, 25 years. Some of you won't be here then. So they are looking at their tax rate, they're looking at the proper planning. This Village put together a Comprehensive Plan. I don't believe this use was stipulated in the Comprehensive Plan. The Comprehensive Plan should maximize the tax revenue of the entire land use of a village and a township. You are not doing it here.

2.1

I know you're concerned about avoiding litigation. I have been in those situations in the past, but you have to weigh the cost, the time of litigation, to what it means to the town, itself, the citizens, the quality of life.

I have taken many tough votes in the past, some I said I could go to sleep at night on and others I've said oh, boy, what is going to happen, and I had a few regrets. And I'm telling you now, you vote for this, five, ten years from now, you're going to have those regrets. You may not be living here, but trust me, you're putting a significant burden on the taxpayers and the residents in this Village, if you approve this. Thank you.

Page 19

1 (Applause.) 2 MS. SAGE: I just have some follow-ups from 3 when Maddie was up here. The Village Attorney mentioned there was some additional due diligence, 4 5 which is why we're here today. What was -- What is 6 that additional due diligence? 7 ATTORNEY VASSELLI: I'm not going to -- The 8 staff has reviewed the plans. I don't know --9 engineering, who is not here, reviewed the plans. Ι 10 don't know what else to say. 11 MS. SAGE: Is the public going to be informed 12 of what those plans are? 13 It's been a year since we had the 14 last presentation, maybe even longer. So how do we 15 know as a community what the plans are today? 16 CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: The first meeting was on 17 June 11th of 2024. It was less than a year. we've had meetings several times since. And after 18 19 the plans got going, they did not alter from that. 20 So the plans that you've seen are the same plans that 2.1 we've seen, that are the same plans that are in the 22 focus right now. 23 MS. SAGE: And so those plans, that you will 24 be voting on tonight, do not have an access to Acadia

Page 20

Drive, right, it was closed off.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

ATTORNEY VASSELLI: I just have to be remiss. We're here for two variances. This isn't a land use This is two variances: one regarding a hearing. fence and one regarding a parking lot. The uses of this property were determined a long time ago. So the access points and all of that is -- Obviously, it's been well talked about. You talked about it before at the previous meeting, and other people talked about it. And the uses, the tax revenue, it's been talked about before. But we are here to discuss two variances, two variances, that's it, whether there should a variance for the fence and there should a variance for the parking. Eventually, I'll ask Damian, who is very well-renowned village commissioner (sic) to explain what those variances are. But that's it. Those are the only two items that are up tonight. MS. SAGE: So what is the vote for tonight, the recommendation before the Trustees to move

ATTORNEY VASSELLI: It's the two -- The vote tonight, if it's called for a motion, would be to recommend that the two variances, relative to the

forward with the construction of Area N?

Page 21

fencing and the parking lot are recommended for approval in ordinance form to the Village Board.

2.1

MS. SAGE: Forgive my ignorance, sir, what does that mean? Does that mean the recommendation will be that the Board of Trustees then would take a vote to move forward with the construction of Area N, as presented in the plans that you have today, is that what that means or will there be another public hearing for that?

ATTORNEY VASSELLI: There will not be another public hearing for that.

MS. SAGE: So to answer my question yes, it will be to move forward with the construction, a recommendation to move forward with the construction of Area N.

ATTORNEY VASSELLI: Your question is misleading. The vote, and I don't want to get into semantical debate. It's 6:15, and I don't want to get into a semantical debate about it. Your question was, is it approving their moving forward, no. What will go before the Board, if this is recommended, is two ordinances, one regarding the parking and one regarding fencing in that Area N. And it's not an agreement to endorse the project or anything. It's

Page 22 due to strict constraints of the code need to be 1 varied based upon the applicant's petition, that's it. And I'm not trying to be rude or curt or 3 4 adversarial. 5 MS. SAGE: I'm not trying to be either. just trying to understand. I don't do this for a 6 7 living. This is not what I do. I'm trying to 8 understand. If the Commission here makes -- votes 9 yes, makes a recommendation to move forward, my 10 understanding is, please correct me if I'm wrong, I'm 11 aware of the time, we're all sitting here. It will 12 go to Board of Trustees. The Board of Trustees will 13 then take a vote on the two variances. 14 ATTORNEY VASSELLI: Correct. 15 MS. SAGE: Does that mean that they can then 16 move forward with the construction of Area N as they 17 have presented the plans to the Commission? 18 That's my question. I don't think it's a leading question or a misleading question. 19 20 It's a process question. 2.1 ATTORNEY VASSELLI: It is a leading question, 22 it's okay. We can work through that. 23 What it means is they'll have the 24 proper ordinances based off their petition to go

Page 23

forward with the plan as developed.

2.1

They can build right now if they get their disconnection. If they can disconnect from the Village and go into the County, they will build whatever they want. The ability for them -- They can file for building permits and then build.

The threshold question for the actual commencement of building is the issuance of a building permit. So that is not -- I can't answer a yes or no question other than saying that. But you don't build unless you have a building permit.

MS. SAGE: But they can get the permit. I understand it's a de-annexation. I understand that now tonight they're coming through the Village. I'm assuming that's the reason behind that, and that's fine. For me, I want to make sure -- I get the sense that you are going to vote yes. I get the sense the Board of Trustees will vote yes. That's my personal opinion. I just want to make sure -- I haven't seen those plans. Sure, they have been presented. I don't know if they've altered. There's additional diligence. I don't know if they've been altered.

My question is: I wanted to make

sure there is no access to Acadia Drive. It's a

Page 24 1 pretty simple question. And as the Plan Commission, 2 those of you who have seen these plans, should be 3 able to answer that question to the community. 4 CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: There is no access to 5 Acadia Drive. 6 MS. SAGE: Thank you very much. 7 MR. PATEL: My name is Hemal Patel. I live 8 at 1 Acadia, South Barrington. 9 So I'm just trying to understand the 10 agenda what is here now. It says over here that 11 there is going to be a presentation for Area N 12 development, and then discussion and then finally 13 some motion to recommend a continuation or approval 14 or denial. But I'm hearing about these two 15 variances, which are not mentioned anywhere here. 16 May I ask the question why? 17 ATTORNEY VASSELLI: The agenda, as presented, 18 is adequate under the Open Meetings Act. It is sufficient. That's what our goal is here. We have 19 20 put together an agenda that satisfies the legal 2.1 requirements to go forward with the meeting. 22 application was filed sometime in between June and 23 August -- or let me rephrase. It was filed in 2024, 24 and we do not republish or repost the application

	Page 25
1	every time there is a recess and then picked-up
2	hearing after that. It's just not how the Open
3	Meetings Act requires it. And so what we do is we
4	follow what the legal requirements are, and that's
5	where we're at.
6	So the two items that are here
7	tonight is regarding a fence variance and parking
8	variance.
9	MR. PATEL: So are we going to go through the
10	Section B, the presentation and comments of the
11	applicant?
12	ATTORNEY VASSELLI: They will be given The
13	Petitioner will be given the opportunity to present.
14	If they elect not to, that's their choice.
15	MR. PATEL: And if they do not present, then
16	how would we know about details about those two
17	variances, would you tell us?
18	ATTORNEY VASSELLI: No, we have had multiple
19	hearings with regards to this. I went through those
20	dates many times.
21	MR. PATEL: About those two variances?
22	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Yeah. Both.
23	MR. PATEL: In those meetings?
24	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Many meetings.

Page 26 1 CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: If you remember, I 2 remember especially, and at the last meeting, I mentioned, remember, this is only about two 3 variances. That's all this is about. 4 5 MR. PATEL: You mentioned that? 6 CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I said that. 7 MR. PATEL: When was that, June 2024? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: No, it would be probably 8 9 in October. Because we had a public hearing, and I 10 said remember, there has been a lot of comments about 11 a lot of different things going on; and always, 12 always we sort of lost direction that this is about 13 two variances. This was never about anything else. 14 The church is allowed to build on 15 that land and all we have is the ability, if they 16 need a variance, and they need two variances to move forward. 17 18 MR. PATEL: And nobody can tell us details about those two variances? 19 20 CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Sure. 2.1 MR. PATEL: I certainly do not remember. 22 CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: We can talk about it --23 During the presentation, we'll talk about it then, 24 okay?

	Page 27
1	MR. PATEL: Thank you.
2	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: And there's a section in
3	here for public comment again.
4	MR. PATEL: Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Absolutely.
6	Any other public comment?
7	(No response.)
8	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Then what I would
9	like to do is
10	ATTORNEY VASSELLI: Mr. Chair, we have one
11	more person.
12	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Oh, I'm sorry.
13	MS. RAJU: Hi.
14	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Hello. State your name.
15	MS. RAJU: My name is Bhanu Raju, 3 Brooke
16	Lane, South Barrington.
17	So who decided that you are going to
18	limit this decision only to two those two
19	variances? What about our concerns about traffic?
20	What about our concerns about the income for South
21	Barrington? Who decided to remove those concerns?
22	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Again, the church to
23	build here needs two variances to be able to build in
24	the form they want to build. Those variances For

Page 28 example, and this is a -- If they didn't need the two 1 2 variances, they would not have to come before Planning & Zoning. They could apply directly to the 3 4 Village for a building permit. 5 MS. RAJU: So you guys have concluded that 6 the land is sold to them and they can go ahead and 7 build the church if they, you know, fulfill these two 8 obligations and get okayed by you guys, they can go 9 ahead and build the church. Is that what I'm 10 understanding? 11 COMMISSIONER KWASEK: I think there's a 12 little bit of confusion, and that revolves around 13 whether there can be a church or not. There absolutely can be a church. 14 15 They are seeking a fence around the 16 school to give more security to the school, the 17 playground and the parking lot in front of the 18 school. That's where the fence is. That fence was, for the most part, on my recollection, hidden behind 19 20 continuous landscaping. So from outside the school, 2.1 you won't see a fence. That was number one. 22 Number two, the way they were 23 configuring their parking lot, one row of cars on the 24 east encroached into the setback, and they wanted to

Page 29 keep the efficiency of having parking on both sides 1 2 of the aisle, so they were seeking a variance for 3 that. Now, again, I don't remember the 4 5 exact dimensions, but I think that they were going to 6 have something like a hundred feet, and they were 7 supposed to have 120 feet. Now, they could have taken those cars and moved them up and built their 8 9 parking lot further north, and in that case, they would have not needed a variance for that. 10 11 So if they decided we don't need 12 security for the school and we're going to 13 reconfigure the parking lot, we wouldn't have any of 14 these meetings. MS. RAJU: What about the concerns about the 15 16 residents? 17 COMMISSIONER KWASEK: We understand those 18 concerns. But those --19 MS. RAJU: I'm talking. I'm talking. 20 secretly applied to get this property here without 2.1 telling us that it's the same church, and we 22 protested against it. And when was the decision made 23 that it's okay for them to build a church provided 24 they fulfill these two provisions, who did that?

Page 30 1 protested it. 2 CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Are you talking about the sale, the Park District sale of the church? 3 4 MS. RAJU: Yes. 5 CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: We're not the Park District. 6 7 MS. RAJU: You're not the Park. 8 CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: We didn't do anything 9 about that. 10 MS. RAJU: I know, that is what you kept 11 saying, but you have to take our concerns. We do not 12 want that church in our neighborhood. And why are 13 you making decisions about giving the permit for that 14 church? And they didn't even tell us that it is them 15 who bid for that. 16 CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Again, the Park District 17 was the one who sold the land to the church. 18 didn't have anything to do with Planning & Zoning. That's not us. That's the Park District sold the 19 20 land to the church. 2.1 MS. RAJU: And this lawyer is hired by this 22 Village. Is he paid for it? Is he salaried or --23 CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: The attorney is paid by 24 the Village.

Page 31 1 MS. RAJU: Paid by the Village. 2 CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yeah. MS. RAJU: Okay. He is very condescending. 3 4 Everybody is decent, okay. We like everybody else, 5 but this man is very condescending, okay. We don't 6 want this guy to be paid by the Village. You need to 7 find another lawyer, okay. We don't want to sit here 8 and listen to him, okay, just talking to us 9 condescendingly. We don't need that. And this public hearing -- Is it really a public hearing? 10 11 five o'clock, you want us to come, quit our jobs and 12 This is not a public hearing. And from the 13 beginning we have been telling you that we don't want 14 this kind of church in our neighborhood, but it 15 doesn't matter. You keep saying the Park District 16 sold it, and then you say, oh, they are here for two 17 provisions and have to be fulfilled, and they have to 18 right to get. Where did we go wrong? 19 I don't get it. That's it. Okay, 20 he needs to go. 2.1 (Applause.) 22 Shayma Ansari, 8 Glacier Circle MS. ANSARI: 23 in The Woods of South Barrington. 24 I've come to all of these meetings

Page 32 1 since I can't remember now. But I just have a 2 question. If this sale is still in litigation, am I 3 correct it's still in litigation. How are you even 4 having this vote? 5 I don't want to hear about the Park 6 District anymore. I want to know why you guys are 7 moving forward when it's in litigation. The proper thing to do would be to wait until litigation is over 8 9 so we actually know the sale actually is legit. 10 the sale is legit, then you have a right to do what 11 you're going to do. But it's not even a legitimate 12 sale yet, because it's in litigation. So doesn't 13 that stop you from not having this vote today. 14 Explain that to me. 15 ATTORNEY VASSELLI: There's a title from the 16 Chicago Title that does show them as the title 17 holder. There is a deed from the Park District to --18 and I know you don't want to hear about the Park 19 District, but there's no way to say the transfer 20 didn't occur.

MS. ANSARI: So you're assuming that it's -ATTORNEY VASSELLI: There is a deed that has
transferred the property.

2.1

22

23

24

MS. ANSARI: Even though that's the fight

Page 33

right now. You don't think as a Commission for the Village of South Barrington -- You are being paid by us, by our taxpayers. Do you know how frustrating it is to have to constantly come and speak with somebody who has only talked down to you from day one. Okay. She already said. I have seen it from day one. I was shocked. I'm sorry, I trust you're a great lawyer, but something is not right when every moment is adversarial towards us, as citizens. We're not a bunch of idiots. We're not a bunch of like uneducated fools, who don't care about our community.

2.1

And it's not really about the church, it's about what you all have done and not done, and I'm really disappointed of the constant, they sold it, blah, blah, blah. I don't want to hear about it anymore.

Right now it's in litigation. It's still being fought. You know the residents are doing this, so why don't you hold this off until that gets completely to the satisfaction of every resident that that litigation is over, we say we lost as a community, and against our own Board, that they got this fair and square, even though they came back twice under a different name, in a very shady way.

Page 34

1 . Do you guys understand trust? Even if it's not towards you. You guys have lost the 2 trust of the entire community, and we have -- we 3 4 can't do anything about you guys, because you're 5 appointed, except for you, I mean, she already said. You know, so we are lost. We have nobody. And you 6 7 guys are going on and telling us you're going to vote 8 for it, even if it's two ordinances, it's a way to 9 say we're giving -- you know, if you say yes to this, 10 I mean you're already moving forward. Yes, the 11 Trustees will do it. Yes, you can say all that. You 12 have an obligation to listen and do what's fair and 13 I mean, this is not even like -- any 14 five-year-old can see what's going on. I don't 15 understand how you grown people are acting this way 16 and completely ignoring everybody. We're not, you 17 know, being -- We don't have animosity for no reason. 18 We're not complaining for no reason. We don't want to be here. We have been here since 5:00. People 19 20 changed their job schedules to get here, and the meeting didn't even start for an hour. Think about 2.1 that. That's how much we care. And you guys are 22 23 moving forward on this. I don't understand. I would 24 really love to understand how you think this is okay.

Page 35

And when we're asking you to postpone it at least wait until the litigation is over, then feel free to do the ordinances, to pass them. But what's the point if they end up -- if it ends up not a legitimate sale then what, you just gave them permission for something that's not a legitimate sale. And then a lawsuit. And it's going to go back and forth. This is ridiculous. It's been going on for over a year-and-a-half. I don't understand you guys. Why are you doing this? Why do you guys like coming here and saying this?

2.1

Please, think about rescheduling all of this and wait until the litigation is over at least, at the very least.

MS. RAJU: So we all can join.

MS. ANSARI: The last time the one thing we talked about was transparency. Mayor McCombie always said she is all about transparency, and today we proved again that we weren't looked at. I follow that newsletter. It was on Friday. It was on Friday at the bottom of the newsletter. Not everybody is even on that. That's their fault, yes, you can blame the residents for not doing that, but it's on there on Friday. You're trying to tell people Monday at

	Page 36
1	five o'clock. I mean, come on, you guys, do better.
2	COMMISSIONER FOX: Do you think that we are
3	not all residents of South Barrington?
4	MS. ANSARI: That's what's shocking.
5	COMMISSIONER FOX: This is all This church
6	will affect every single one of us.
7	MS. ANSARI: Exactly.
8	COMMISSIONER FOX: The question before us has
9	never been whether this church should be there or
10	not.
11	MS. ANSARI: I hate the way you guys you have
12	done it. Do it right. Do right by the residents.
13	COMMISSIONER FOX: We have tried our best to
14	do it right.
15	MS. ANSARI: Why are you voting on this today
16	when there is litigation still going on whether it's
17	not even a legitimate sale, come on.
18	COMMISSIONER FOX: There is litigation still
19	going on it's
20	MS. ANSARI: It is, yes.
21	COMMISSIONER FOX: It's still going on.
22	MR. PATEL: Can I ask a question of the
23	lawyer?
24	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Just a second. Be fair

Page 37 to the court reporter. She doesn't know who is 1 2 talking. 3 Thank you. 4 COMMISSIONER FOX: There is no person on this 5 Board who doesn't want whatever is best for the 6 Village and whatever is best to make all --7 MS. ANSARI: I actually trust most of you 8 here. 9 COMMISSIONER FOX: But the question before us 10 has never been anything other than those two 11 variances --12 MS. ANSARI: And I get that. 13 COMMISSIONER FOX: -- from the very first day. 14 MS. ANSARI: Well, why are we even going 15 through those variances, when we still don't know if 16 it's a legitimate sale. It makes no sense. You 17 would vote for variances for people who have bought 18 the -- have legitimately have the sale. I know the 19 deed is being fought. It's being fought. I mean, 20 this whole like -- by law, we get it. There are 2.1 laws, but that doesn't mean that we can't consider 22 other things. You're not even trying to fight for 23 It always sounds like that oh, well, we're still 24 doing everything by the book. We're still doing

	Page 38
1	everything by the law, but you're not doing right by
2	your people. It's a lot of people. I mean, that's
3	what we're seeing. That's what we're feeling. It
4	just feels like every turn we're being just like
5	completely disregarded.
6	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay.
7	MS. ANSARI: Please, that's my request, at
8	least wait.
9	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: You did hear that there's
10	a disconnection case coming up, right, you heard
11	that?
12	MS. ANSARI: Uh-huh.
13	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Do you remember the date
14	on it by any chance?
15	Someone mentioned it.
16	MS. GHOSH: The de-annexation is May 28th.
17	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: May 28th. If they
18	vote If Cook County says yes, the church the
19	land is no longer ours.
20	MS. ANSARI: So even more reason not to do
21	this right now.
22	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: No-no, just a second.
23	They can build anything they want. They don't have
24	to come before us.

Page 39

MS. ANSARI: But that will happen after May 28th anyway. If they -- Whether you vote on it now or you can't vote on it afterwards obviously, if they get de-annexed, right, so why are you voting on it now?

COMMISSIONER FOX: Because we also can't make

2.1

any -- We can't have any control over whatever they build.

MS. ANSARI: Exactly. I get that.

CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Wouldn't it better --

COMMISSIONER FOX: What if they decide to put up a chain-link fence, with barbed wire at the top.

MS. ANSARI: But that's a separate issue. If they de-annex, we don't have any control of that land anymore at all, correct?

CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: That's right.

Ms. ANSARI: So doing this now, how is that -- even if -- Say you voted against it, okay. Say I did right, and it just can't go forward. If they are still having a separate de-annexation, and that still gets accepted, then we still have no control. They can still do whatever they want. It doesn't matter what we already said prior to that.

CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Doesn't it make sense

Page 40 1 though, I mean just logically thinking, if you have 2 the ability to make sure that what is built in the backyard is really good. I mean, there's a lot of 3 features, there's a lot of stuff that was added to 4 5 those buildings. 6 MS. ANSARI: I thought it was the same as the beginning, the first one we ever saw. Where is the 7 8 changes? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: You have to let me 9 10 complete a sentence. 11 MS. ANSARI: Sure. 12 CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I've got to be able to 13 talk. 14 So we made a lot of changes. 15 added a lot of features to the buildings, and they 16 added the features that allowed the buildings to look 17 like it belonged in South Barrington. The dormers, 18 the different things that were added, all of those things were added, and they were added by us asking 19 20 them to make those changes. They're expensive. 2.1 know they're expensive. And they cost a bunch of 22 money. 23 MS. ANSARI: That's their issue. 24 CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: And the landscaping that

Page 41 was there, is much more. 1 2 MS. ANSARI: Again, not our issue, theirs. 3 don't know why you're telling me. 4 CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Just a second. 5 trying to explain something to you. 6 MS. ANSARI: Okay. 7 CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I think you have to 8 understand they're spending more money than they 9 would need to spend if they went to Cook County. 10 That's what my point is. My point is that on the 11 north end of this town, it's still going to say South 12 Barrington on the sign. Their address is still going 13 to say 60010, but it's not going to be in South 14 Barrington, it will be in Cook County. And if they 15 want to put up a 10 foot fence, it's a 10 foot fence. 16 And if they want to take out those dormers and they 17 want to take out the stone, change the parking lots, 18 whatever, and when they come back and they want to 19 add more parking spaces and whatnot --20 MS. ANSARI: You can't do anything about it. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Cook County is going to 2.1 22 say yes. 23 Isn't it better that we make sure 24 that the building that's built at the end on -- in

Page 42 lot N is really high quality, a good building that we 1 2 believe represents the quality and the way that we live our life in South Barrington? 3 4 MS. ANSARI: But again, if they're having a 5 de-annexation hearing for May 28th and no matter what 6 you have decided on all of that, if they're part of 7 Cook County, they can change all of that again, and 8 there is no quarantees. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: But if we get a guarantee 9 10 that if we vote yes on these two variances that they 11 stay in South Barrington, would you be happy to have them here? 12 13 MS. ANSARI: Again --14 CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: No, it's either a yes or 15 no. 16 MS. ANSARI: No. No. No. I want to make 17 sure it's actually legitimately sold to them first. 18 That's the issue. You're acting as if it's 19 already -- still all -- There is nothing else going 20 on and it's already been sold. Yes, that deed is 2.1 there. It's being fought. So while they're still 22 fighting, at least them have a fight, okay. Once 23 they say no -- and this is the thing, I mean, like, 24 you guys, man, it's just -- I can't understand. You

Page 43 know, if it's Cook County, and they become Cook 1 2 County, honestly, that's not our problem anymore. Yes, when you're saying you'll have control, but if 3 4 they do de-annex after that, they can change what 5 they want anyway, and you took the whole community in this situation to begin with. So I just -- Sorry, I 6 7 just don't think --8 COMMISSIONER FOX: So you're saying you know 9 the church is going to be there. 10 MS. ANSARI: No, I don't know. I don't know 11 that they actually own the land yet. 12 COMMISSIONER FOX: But that litigation 13 doesn't get changed by anything that we do tonight. 14 That litigation is ongoing. 15 MS. ANSARI: But this helps it along. helps them know, right, we're going to know that 16 17 they're already going to let us do what we want to 18 They're already voting for the permits. 19 COMMISSIONER GILLIS: No, you're wrong there. 20 The annexation is not going to be as easy with these 2.1 two variances. Without these two variances, why 22 would the church --23 MS. ANSARI: Why would they want to build 24 something when there's so much anti, you know, so

Page 44

much pushback.

2.1

COMMISSIONER GILLIS: That's a good question. If we argued that out -- We don't know why.

MS. ANSARI: I can't predict that. I can't predict that. But I can ask you to really reconsider even voting for this tonight. But I know you're not going to, but I just had to say it, so I did. Thank you for listening.

(Applause.)

MR. PATEL: Hemal Patel, 1 Acadia Drive.

So, I mean, what you stated really bothered me, because you said that by approving this would mean then that Area N development not go for de-annexation. Is that your final?

I mean, this is not nice things to for the community to know that they're going for de-annexation, and they are still talking to you guys and have some sort of assurance that if you approve it, and then eventually the Village approves it, then they won't go for de-annexation. And our consolation prize is that it will be built more suitable to our homes. Is that the consolation price that you are giving?

I mean, that's what it seems to me.

Page 45 Guys, let us approve this. Let's approve it, and 1 2 then the church will be built so it will be similar to what -- It's still a church, still at school and 3 4 still opposite to what you guys vote. It will 5 look --6 COMMISSIONER GILLIS: You know, really, all 7 of this is nonsense. All of this is really nonsense. 8 The only question is, we agreed that there will be a 9 church there. So there's only one question, will we 10 have control or does Cook County have control. 11 That's the only question you need. And if you want 12 Cook County, then we don't need to arque. We don't 13 need to do anything. So it will go to Cook County. 14 MR. PATEL: Again, I don't know if I agree 15 with you. 16 COMMISSIONER GILLIS: It's a definite. Ιt 17 will go to Cook County. MR. PATEL: Well, there is lawsuits. Why are 18 19 you so sure, you know, it's all a done deal. I mean, 20 we're trying to fight that the sale of the land was 2.1 not legitimate. 22 CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Think about this, if the 23 thing is, the lawsuit is -- say the church doesn't 24 want it, it goes back to the Park District.

Page 46 1 MR. PATEL: Yes. 2 MR. MICHALSKI: For the third time. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Remember, we don't have 3 4 anything to do with the Park District. 5 MR. PATEL: Yes. 6 CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. The Park District 7 gets it back. So whatever we decide on the variances 8 right now, doesn't go back with it. So therefore, 9 therefore, we're just being -- talking here. Therefore, if we would vote yes to the variances, and 10 11 they would lose the argument about the Park District, 12 it would go back to the Park District, and then they 13 would have to do that. 14 MR. PATEL: Right. So if it goes back to the 15 Park District, the land, and we can move forward with 16 that. 17 I'm just thinking why are we wasting 18 time, your valuable time, paying this gentleman out of taxpayer dollars, and we, as a community here --19 20 It doesn't make any sense that why we are doing this 2.1 thing. If we are thinking that we don't know what is 22 going to happen, you know. You know, we, as a 23 community when the lawsuit and that sale is not

valid, then it's going to go back to the Park

24

Page 47 1 District, then why are you guys wasting your time, 2 why is this gentleman being paid out tax dollars, including yours and mine. 3 4 We are here at five o'clock, you 5 know, somebody said executive session would be not 6 very long. It took about 40 minutes. 7 COMMISSIONER FOX: So what if you do lose the 8 What if you lose the lawsuit and they win 9 their lawsuit and they de-annex, and that church will 10 still go there, and it will be in Cook County, and 11 they will build whatever they want. 12 MR. PATEL: Why are we wasting time and 13 paying somebody? 14 COMMISSIONER FOX: They would have started 15 building if they just didn't decide to do their 16 parking a different way, and they decided --17 MR. PATEL: I don't agree with it. 18 COMMISSIONER FOX: No, they could have. 19 MR. PATEL: They would still have to go to 20 the Village. 2.1 COMMISSIONER FOX: If they didn't come to us, 22 it would never go to the Village. It comes to us 23 because they want a variance, a very small variance. 24 And if they didn't make those changes, they would

Page 48 never have had to come, because they already own the 1 2 land, even though it's in dispute. 3 That may change if they -- if you 4 win the lawsuit, but you may not win the lawsuit. MR. PATEL: 5 Wait a minute. They can't get 6 approval from here, it still has to be approved from 7 the Village. 8 COMMISSIONER FOX: Only because we're working 9 on a variance. 10 CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Think if you were 11 building a house and the lot was the right size, the 12 elevations the right size. What would you do, you 13 don't go to the Village Board, you go see that man 14 over there, and you ask to build a building, a house, 15 right. You don't come before us. 16 MR. PATEL: So the church has to get the 17 approval from the Commission -- the Plan Commission 18 and that's it? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: It wouldn't come before 19 20 The church wouldn't come before us, if they us. didn't ask for these two variances. 2.1 22 MR. PATEL: Okay. Again, I still feel that 23 it's not needed to vote today because all the

community members wanted to come and be part of this

24

Page 49

discussion. It's just at an inconvenient time. I mean, there is still a lawsuit pending, and we may win it. And if we win it, then this is all like a waste of time.

2.1

COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Well, just so you know, most of these members, maybe I'm speaking out of turn, did not favor that church. We're with the people of South Barrington, but our responsibility is to make sure we get the best deal we can. And our feeling is we don't want a Cook-County-type facility over there. If our only option is us or them, that's where we're coming from.

MR. PATEL: I mean, that's a fair, a fair explanation. Thank you. But it's just a couple things bothers me. So thank you.

MS. SAGE: Okay. I'll make this quick.

I'm still confused about the zoning, and you know, my understanding is that that PUD is zoned for park and recreation. So it would seem to me that the developer would have to come to you regardless in order to be able to build something. But I'm not -- I don't want to repeating that point. That is what it is.

What I would like to say is that you

Page 50 know, this is coming before the Commission now. 1 2 going to get pushed through. The Board of Trustees will move and vote tomorrow, and then they can file 3 4 for building permit and build on the land, and that 5 actually harms the litigation when it comes to sale. 6 Because once the developer starts to build on the 7 land, the Courts will be less likely to overturn that 8 sale, because they will see prejudicial harm to that 9 developer. So it matters. Thank you. 10 CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Could you just mention 11 the timeline again what you're saying is going to 12 happen -- could happen? 13 MS. SAGE: So I understand the Board of 14 Trustees is meeting tomorrow. I don't know if 15 they're going to take a vote on this tomorrow. 16

MS. SAGE: I'm not sure if they'll take a vote on Thursday or not. I personally anticipate that they would. The building permit I personally anticipate won't take long. They could start building. The Plaintiffs' litigation on the sale against the Park District is ongoing. Once they start building, it will be unlikely for a Court to overturn the sale. They will look for another form

Thursday.

CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE:

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

Page 51 1 of remedy for the Plaintiffs, which frankly, doesn't 2 exist. 3 CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: So the building permit --4 We asked the building officer about the building 5 permit. For them, they've never submitted plans to build a building, that is in a form that he can 6 7 So they need to submit those plans. We review. 8 estimate that 30 days, Damian? 9 MR. MICHALSKI: So as long as they provide us with all of the documents, the review time frame is 10 11 about 14 days up to three weeks for the building, and 12 the engineering would be the second part of the 13 review. 14 COMMISSIONER KWASEK: Isn't it normal in a 15 review that comes back from being that technical that 16 they always have comments, no matter how good your 17 drawings are, they always have comments. Then the 18 petitioner has to respond to those comments. 19 MR. MICHALSKI: That's correct. 20 COMMISSIONER KWASEK: And make their changes 2.1 or say why they feel they're in the right, and then 22 that has to go back for another review. 23 MR. MICHALSKI: Re-review, yes. 24 COMMISSIONER KWASEK: So in this type of a

Page 52 facility, have you ever seen it go through one time 1 2 clean shot? 3 MR. MICHALSKI: Never. COMMISSIONER KWASEK: That's my experience as 4 5 well. 6 MS. SAGE: Even if it goes multiple times, 7 we're talking 30 days, 60 days, 90 days. 8 Plaintiffs' case is still ongoing when it comes to 9 sale of the land, and for them to be able to move 10 forward with breaking ground in any way, shape or 11 form will harm that case. I don't know if that matters to you 12 13 or not, but that will be the outcome. And there's a 14 reason that this meeting is being had today. 15 understand why to be part of the development within 16 the Village, so that it matches the Village, but the 17 Mayor has said all along there would be no vote until 18 that case has reached a resolution, and yet here we 19 are. MR. MICHALSKI: Excuse me, ma'am, if I may. 20 2.1 The church has come before us almost a year, right, 22 we had multiple meetings. I sat down here multiple 23 The Commissioners, as well as I, we all heard 24 you -- your concerns. There is -- We are torn inside

2.1

Page 53

for the good of the Village and the good of the residents, okay; however, the only situation that we have right now that we face is whether we will allow this property to go to Cook County and then do not govern any of the buildings or not. Because we are right now in the litigation. So the suit is ongoing for the de-annexation. If they succeed to de-annex, then they can change the plans for less appealing. They could technically build any building that is cinderblock, okay. They could -- According to Cook County requirements, they could have solid 10 feet fence. What would you rather see?

And that's -- And again, please, think about the good of the whole Village. They will still be there. There will still be -- If your suit

think about the good of the whole Village. They will still be there. There will still be -- If your suit fails, there will still be a church built. Whether that's -- It's still going to have a South Barrington address, whether we can say something about it or not, that is the only question.

MS. SAGE: I understand that. And as I said, I understand and appreciate wanting to have that ability to work with the developers. I just wanted to mention that it matters and goes against the Plaintiffs' case.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

Page 54

MR. MICHALSKI: And if I may also add something, the fact that your voice was heard and everybody else's was a closing out the Acadia Drive that was originally designed PUD to access for that property. The church agreed upon that by the request of the Commissioners. So that was one of the deals. They -- We've asked for multiple different studies. They provided everything that we've asked for. So I don't think that there's anything else, that me, personally, I can block for that. We are here only for those two variances, because the Village does not permit fences. So the fence would be around the property and the other variance was for the parking lot. would encroach into the building setback line, a building setback, I believe that was a hundred feet away from the street. That was the only variance that we're here for right now, okay. Thank you. MS. SAGE: Thank you. MS. ANSARI: One question, a scenario that might come up. What if Cook County does not -- Is not able to annex? ATTORNEY VASSELLI: It's a default.

they win their petition to disconnect, they'll just

	Page 55
1	go into Cook County. And I'm not trying to be
2	condescending. You go back into Cook County. You
3	say you are out of the village, they have to take
4	you. I don't know if I'm explaining that. I'm not
5	trying to be rude.
6	MS. ANSARI: So by them applying to become
7	to be annexed by Cook County.
8	ATTORNEY VASSELLI: Disconnected. Because
9	think of it take the layer off, and it's They get
10	out of the village and they're just in the county.
11	MS. ANSARI: They're not They are just in
12	Cook County but not South Barrington?
13	ATTORNEY VASSELLI: That's correct. They'll
14	have a South Barrington address. They'll have
15	likely have South Barrington zip code almost sure of
16	it, but they will not technically be under the
17	jurisdiction of South Barrington for
18	MS. ANSARI: For anything.
19	ATTORNEY VASSELLI: Anything. Obviously, if
20	there was a police incident, we would assist.
21	MS. ANSARI: Sure.
22	ATTORNEY VASSELLI: They would be outside of
23	our control.
24	MS. ANSARI: I totally understand where you

Page 56 guys are coming from here, but I mean, it seems like 1 2 they knew how to get to this point, like to kind of back us into a corner. It feels that way. I mean, 3 4 this is a pretty -- This is a big point to go and try 5 to take a piece of land that's part of South 6 Barrington we had for so long and suddenly go we want 7 this whole land to be taken out of South Barrington. 8 COMMISSIONER KWASEK: Can I clarify 9 something? MS. ANSARI: Yes. 10 11 COMMISSIONER KWASEK: Can you tell us, Jimmy, how many de-annexations in the State of Illinois have 12 13 failed? How many were unable to de-annex? 14 ATTORNEY VASSELLI: So courts favor 15 disconnections from municipalities. It's very much 16 in favor of the person who is asking to disconnect or 17 de-annex from the municipality. It's literally --18 It's an all the appellant cases. Out of all the cases, and we had to read all of them for a different 19 20 matter, not involving the Village, there was one case 2.1 where the property owner was denied the 22 disconnection. So it's really -- The courts really 23 favor disconnection over requiring the property owner 24 to stay in the municipality if they don't want to

Page 57

stay.

2.1

And I understand it is a drastic step. I a hundred percent agree with you. It is a drastic step that involves -- and people keep asking the same question, what is this involving, literally two variances. It's two aspects of the code. I understand the bigger issue. I've been here at all of these hearings. It is about those two issues.

Someone asked why are we here -we're here, and that's the only thing they asked for,
that is why we're here, right. It's not -- They
didn't ask about a use. They didn't ask about Acadia
Drive. They are here another those two things, and
if they don't get those two things, we're out.

Does that help at all?

MS. ANSARI: It does. My question is because this is happening, you know, so it's not just about the two -- these variances. But I just want to be completely clear about the -- So you're saying the -- So you're saying the chances of the property owner, and again, we're saying property owner and though it's still in litigation, gets -- if they request to be taken out of South Barrington, it's a likely chance that they will get it?

Page 58

ATTORNEY VASSELLI: Almost always the disconnect wins.

2.1

MS. ANSARI: Again, I -- They're still not -To me, with litigation, I still feel like you guys
should push that point for us. You know, I don't
who -- you know, I don't know what representatives
are actually fighting litigation or are involved in
litigation with the annexation. If you can at least
say this property is still in litigation, move -- at
least move the -- how can you -- when something is
being fought, and yes, they were given the deed when
technically they own the property, how can you still,
you know, be not using that for us. You know, the
residents are fighting for the actual validity of the
sale. So property owner itself is in question. So
it's already assuming the property is theirs. If

ATTORNEY VASSELLI: The deed is the presumption. So I just want to stop you because that's an important point. I know that you don't want to hear this, okay, but there is no way to say the Park District -- the Park District took the money and gave a deed, right. That is -- That is a fact. The lawsuit, as I understand

	Page 59
1	it, is whether the process was followed for the sale.
2	MS. ANSARI: If found to be legitimate.
3	ATTORNEY VASSELLI: They're waiting for a
4	Court order. We've have been waiting for a Court
5	order on it since '24. I believe since '24. We've
6	been to court. We tried to get involved. The judge
7	wouldn't let us get involved. The judge We've
8	asked, and he said no. It's between them.
9	MS. ANSARI: Okay.
10	ATTORNEY VASSELLI: We fought that fight and
11	the judge said no, this is between them.
12	MS. ANSARI: I see. Thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Any other
14	comments?
15	(No response.)
16	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: At this point, I would
17	like to call the public hearing for the continuation
18	on Area N, LLC, application for approval of
19	development plan for construction of a church and
20	school and school store on existing site adjacent to
21	an existing plat subdivision.
22	Motion to open the meeting?
23	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: You have a motion.
24	COMMISSIONER FOX: Second.

	Page 60
1	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Roll call.
2	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR DROPKA: Commissioner
3	Kazi?
4	COMMISSIONER KAZI: Yes.
5	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR DROPKA: Commissioner
6	Gillis?
7	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Yes.
8	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR DROPKA: Commissioner
9	Abri?
10	COMMISSIONER ABRI: Yes.
11	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR DROPKA: Commissioner
12	Fox?
13	COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes.
14	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR DROPKA: Commissioner
15	Kwasek?
16	COMMISSIONER KWASEK: Yes.
17	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR DROPKA: Chairman
18	McCombie?
19	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. Okay.
20	Is the church here to make a
21	presentation?
22	ATTORNEY VASSELLI: They are not here,
23	Mr. Chairman.
24	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Procedural

Page 61

background.

2.1

about, Mr. Chair. We wanted to make sure that we knew what the scope of the request was, the scope of the request was regards to those two variances that I'm going to ask the Building Commissioner to give a little bit more background on what is going on and to go through -- The fact this is a recess and a lot of this was said during the general public comment, but to go through the idea that this was or is to remind everyone that this is off of a recessed hearing from the initial application and state that there have been certain accommodations, for lack of better word made, such as closing the right-of-way at Acadia Drive.

And I will actually, if it's okay with the Chair, I will ask the Building Commissioner to discuss those changes that are the actual reason why we are here tonight.

(Witness sworn.)

MR. MICHALSKI: Damian Michalski, Building & Zoning Officer.

So the reason we are here is for two variances of the -- for the applicant. One would be

	Page 62
1	a six feet fence around the property, around the
2	school, and the other variance is to enlarge the
3	parking lot and encroach the building setback.
4	ATTORNEY VASSELLI: Which would have an
5	effect to change the subdivision plat too because it
6	would cut the lot line.
7	MR. MICHALSKI: That's correct.
8	ATTORNEY VASSELLI: Okay.
9	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Anything else?
10	(No response.)
11	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Then it's time for
12	public comment.
13	ATTORNEY VASSELLI: They can make whatever
14	comment in the public hearing.
15	MR. PATEL: Is there a presentation?
16	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: There is no presentation.
17	The discussion was the presentation.
18	Actually, I have to swear you in for
19	comment.
20	(Witness sworn.)
21	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: State your name.
22	MR. PATEL: Hemal Patel, 1 Acadia Drive.
23	So I was under the impression there
24	would be some type of presentation, a piece of paper

	Page 63
1	to tell us how it looks or how it would look.
2	Do we have that?
3	ATTORNEY VASSELLI: Yeah. I actually told
4	them that there would be a hearing. I did invite the
5	Petitioners, Area N Development, LLC, to come. I
6	asked them to come here. They are not here tonight.
7	MR. PATEL: Do you all know what exactly how
8	it will look, the fence?
9	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Oh, yes.
10	MR. PATEL: Can I see the paper?
11	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I mean, when is the last
12	time that you've looked at those drawings, Damian?
13	MR. MICHALSKI: I believe, if I recall
14	correctly, sometime last year in 2024, either October
15	or September. Because it's been It's been a
16	continuous discussion. Yeah.
17	MR. PATEL: So nobody has seen it or?
18	COMMISSIONER FOX: We don't have it here.
19	They are from previous meetings. So nothing has
20	changed since this has been
21	MR. PATEL: It has or has not?
22	COMMISSIONER FOX: It has not from the time
23	they made the final, you know, changes that we saw.
2.4	It hasn't changed.

Page 64 1 MR. PATEL: Did you all see it? 2 COMMISSIONER FOX: I believe it's available 3 for everyone to see. 4 MS. ANSARI: There is nowhere to access it at 5 this point. 6 MR. PATEL: I certainly don't remember seeing 7 I'm not sure if you guys all remember. it. 8 MR. MICHALSKI: It was presented on the 9 screen when they showed up for the variance. And 10 again, that was, I want to say three continuations 11 ago. 12 MR. PATEL: And so then enlighten me. 13 they requested a variance, why did the Zoning 14 Commission did not vote at that time if it meant the 15 requirements? Why delay for eight months or six 16 months or four months, whatever we did? Why did we 17 wait, and why we decide to vote today? If it was all presented, it was all 18 19 acceptable, right, like wait, wait, wait, recess, 20 recess, whatever we call the legal term, and then all 2.1 of a sudden on Cinco de Mayo, I could be eating 22 tacos. 23 CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Think about this, until 24 they decided that they wanted -- they were going to

	Dogo 65
1	Page 65
	de-annex, then we had to make a change in our
2	direction.
3	MR. PATEL: I mean, I'm not satisfied with
4	the answer, but if that is your answer, I'll take it.
5	Thank you.
6	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Any other public
7	comments?
8	(Witness sworn.)
9	MS. RAJU: My name is Bhanu Raju, 3 Brooke
10	Lane, South Barrington.
11	I just want to know which advocate,
12	lawyer will represent us for the arguments for
13	de-annexation, you know, of that Area N, which lawyer
14	will represent us?
15	ATTORNEY VASSELLI: There are numerous
16	lawyers that we have working on that matter.
17	MS. RAJU: How many?
18	ATTORNEY VASSELLI: Two. Two or three.
19	MS. RAJU: And you are one of them?
20	ATTORNEY VASSELLI: I'm one of them.
21	MS. RAJU: And we can trust you?
22	ATTORNEY VASSELLI: Ma'am I can't Yes,
23	I'm an advocate. I'm sworn to the Bar. I have
24	argued with I argue many times before the State

Page 66 and Appellate Courts, and I don't know what else to 1 2 say. I don't -- This is going -- Your choice to trust me is your choice, right? But I will zealously 3 4 defend my clients in all instances. 5 MS. RAJU: But you already sold on the idea that it's going to de-annex. You have said that many 6 7 times. ATTORNEY VASSELLI: No, that's not what I 8 9 I said in reviewing the case law that said. 10 disconnection happens almost all of the time. 11 doesn't mean that always happens. That doesn't mean 12 we would argue -- we wouldn't have arguments for 13 that. That would then mean --14 MS. RAJU: You shouldn't even be saying that. 15 You should go with full, you know, confidence that 16 you are going to fight for us so it won't de-annex. 17 ATTORNEY VASSELLI: I appreciate your advice. 18 MS. RAJU: So that bothers me that you 19 already think -- I know it's ten times it's going to 20 de-annex. With that attitude, okay, I'm not sure if 2.1 you are going to fully represent us. That is my 22 concern. 23 ATTORNEY VASSELLI: Thank you. 24 CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Any other

	Page 67
1	comments?
2	(No response.)
3	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Hearing none, I'll take a
4	motion to close the public hearing.
5	COMMISSIONER KAZI: So moved.
6	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Second?
7	COMMISSIONER KWASEK: Second.
8	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Roll call.
9	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR DROPKA: Commissioner
10	Kazi?
11	COMMISSIONER KAZI: Yes.
12	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR DROPKA: Commissioner
13	Gillis?
14	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Yes.
15	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR DROPKA: Commissioner
16	Abri?
17	COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye.
18	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR DROPKA: Commissioner
19	Fox?
20	COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes.
21	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR DROPKA: Commissioner
22	Kwasek?
23	COMMISSIONER KWASEK: Yes.
24	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR DROPKA: Chairman

Page 68 McCombie? 1 2 CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. Now we have before us a discussion 3 4 here on our -- in our group to whether or not to 5 recommend either the two variances or not the two variances for the Board of Trustees. 6 7 I will say that we do not take this 8 lightly, and that we have thought about this and 9 thought about this for a long time. And you can see 10 the number of meetings that we've had and number of 11 meetings that we've already participated in. 12 I think that to have the development 13 in South Barrington, where we can make with the 14 church the decisions on how the church is being built 15 is best for our community. I -- I think this is the 16 correct thing to do. 17 But at this point, I would take a 18 motion to approve the two variances for the church on Area N. 19 20 COMMISSIONER FOX: But it's with final engineering and everything else? 2.1 22 CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: All the final and 23 engineering for that has to be done with the Village. 24 So we're not approving anything engineering-wise.

	Page 69
1	All we're approving is these two variances.
2	And the thing to remember is that
3	from my mind to remember is that if they didn't ask
4	for these two variances, they wouldn't be in front of
5	us anyway. They would be applying to the Village
6	directly for a building permit.
7	ATTORNEY VASSELLI: You mean to recommend it
8	to the Board for approval in regards to the fence
9	the fence and parking lot as the Building
10	Commissioner said.
11	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Correct. Correct.
12	And I would further recommend that
13	Damian, you present those drawings to the Board.
14	MR. MICHALSKI: I'll make sure.
15	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Thank you.
16	Okay. So at this time I would take
17	a motion.
18	COMMISSIONER KWASEK: So moved.
19	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Second?
20	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: You have a second.
21	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Roll call vote.
22	COMMISSIONER KWASEK: Can we have just a
23	little bit more discussion?
24	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: We definitely could.

	Page 70
1	COMMISSIONER KWASEK: Joe, you probably have
2	the most history here out of anybody.
3	When did you Union Pacific de-annex?
4	What year was that, was that '89 or '91?
5	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Probably '89.
6	COMMISSIONER KWASEK: '89. So we have the
7	AMC because prior to AMC, a group called Union
8	Pacific Realty owned that whole parcel. They wanted
9	to build office buildings, and they met with the
10	Village and went back and forth and determined that
11	it wasn't going to happen, and they de-annexed. And
12	it's my understanding they won. And it was in the
13	eleventh hour that AMC came in and took that property
14	and kept it in the Village.
15	That's your recollection, Joe?
16	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yep.
17	COMMISSIONER KWASEK: Allstate had a nice
18	facility, they tore it down. There was a proposal on
19	that property, and the timeline wasn't working and
20	then the interest rates changed, and that proposal
21	fell apart. And it's my understanding Allstate is
22	also de-annexed; is that correct?
23	ATTORNEY VASSELLI: That's correct.
24	COMMISSIONER KWASEK: That's a done deal.

	Page 71
1	Allstate is gone.
2	So it's nice to think that
3	de-annexations can't happen and can't happen to me,
4	it can't happen here, but it does happen. It's a
5	reality.
6	This proposal had a very intensive
7	landscape plan. That was a tremendously expensive
8	landscape plan. This goes in the County, they don't
9	have to do anything. The County doesn't have
10	landscape requirements.
11	I'm ready to vote.
12	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Any other comments?
13	(No response.)
14	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Roll-call vote.
15	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR DROPKA: Commissioner
16	Kazi?
17	COMMISSIONER KAZI: So we're taking a vote on
18	the motion?
19	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: We're taking a vote on
20	the motion to approve the two variances.
21	COMMISSIONER KAZI: So no.
22	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR DROPKA: Commissioner
23	Gillis?
24	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Yes.

REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS May 5, 2025

	Page 72
1	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR DROPKA: Commissioner
2	Abri?
3	COMMISSIONER ABRI: No.
4	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR DROPKA: Commissioner
5	Fox?
6	COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes.
7	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR DROPKA: Commissioner
8	Kwasek?
9	COMMISSIONER KWASEK: Yes.
10	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR DROPKA: Chairman
11	McCombie?
12	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes.
13	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR DROPKA: Motion passes.
14	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Thank you.
15	At this time any old business?
16	(No response.)
17	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Any new business?
18	Oh, the next meeting I see is May
19	20th at seven o'clock.
20	Okay. Take a motion to adjourn.
21	COMMISSIONER KAZI: So moved.
22	COMMISSIONER ABRI: I second.
23	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: All those in favor, aye.
24	(Chorus of ayes.)

REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS May 5, 2025

		Page 73
1	1 CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Any	opposed?
2	2	No response.)
3	3 CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Hear:	ing none, we are
4	4 adjourned.	
5	5	The meeting adjourned
6	6 at	t 7:16 p.m.)
7	7	
8	8	
9	9	
10	0	
11	1	
12	2	
13	3	
14	4	
15	5	
16	6	
17	7	
18	8	
19	9	
20	0	
21	1	
22	2	
23	3	
24	4	

REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS May 5, 2025

	Page 74
1	STATE OF ILLINOIS)
2	COUNTY OF COOK)
3	
4	I, DEBORAH R. SANTI, Certified Shorthand
5	Reporter, of the State of Illinois, do hereby certify
6	that I reported in shorthand the proceedings had in
7	the aforesaid matter, and that the foregoing is a
8	true, complete and correct transcript of the
9	proceedings had as appears from the stenographic
10	notes so taken to the best of my ability.
11	
12	
13	
14	Diboah Enti
15	DEBORAH R. SANTI, CSR CSR # 084-004107
16	CSN # 004-004107
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

				Page /5
	52 10 55 14	1 1/2 2 2 1 2 2	11.00	(5.10.66.10
A	53:18 55:14	altered 23:21,22	Applause 11:22	65:12 66:12
ability 23:5	adequate 24:18	amazing 17:2	19:1 31:21	asked 51:4 54:7
26:15 40:2	adjacent 59:20	AMC 70:7,7,13	44:9	54:8 57:9,10
53:22 74:10	adjourn 72:20	animosity 34:17	applicant 25:11	59:8 63:6
able 13:2 24:3	adjourned 73:4	annex 54:22	61:24	asking 8:9 35:1
27:23 40:12	73:5	annexation	applicant's 22:2	40:19 56:16
49:21 52:9	Adjustment 1:5	43:20 58:8	application 1:4	57:4
54:22	Administrator	annexed 55:7	24:22,24 59:18	aspects 57:6
Abri 1:12 2:13	1:21 2:6,9,12	Ansari 31:22,22	61:12	assessment
2:14 4:10,11	2:15,18,21,24	32:21,24 35:16	applied 29:20	16:14
5:23,24 7:7,8	4:3,6,9,12,15	36:4,7,11,15	apply 28:3	assessments
60:9,10 67:16	4:18 5:16,19	36:20 37:7,12	applying 55:6	16:15
67:17 72:2,3	5:22 6:1,4,7,24	37:14 38:7,12	69:5	Assessor 15:12
72:22	7:3,6,9,12,15	38:20 39:1,9	appointed 34:5	15:21
absolutely 13:8	60:2,5,8,11,14	39:13,17 40:6	appreciate	assessors 16:21
27:5 28:14	60:17 67:9,12	40:11,23 41:2	53:21 66:17	17:6
abstain 7:11	67:15,18,21,24	41:6,20 42:4	appropriate	assist 55:20
Acadia 12:3	71:15,22 72:1	42:13,16 43:10	7:20	assuming 23:15
19:24 23:24	72:4,7,10,13	43:15,23 44:4	approval 1:4 3:3	32:21 58:16
24:5,8 44:10	adversarial 22:4	54:20 55:6,11	6:12 21:2	assurance 44:18
54:3 57:12	33:9	55:18,21,24	24:13 48:6,17	attitude 66:20
61:14 62:22	advice 66:17	56:10 57:16	59:18 69:8	attorney 1:20
acceptable	advocate 15:22	58:3 59:2,9,12	approve 6:20	3:4,9,19 4:21
64:19	65:11,23	64:4	18:24 44:18	4:24 5:1,9 8:19
accepted 39:21	advocating 16:2	answer 8:20	45:1,1 68:18	8:22 9:8,11,18
access 19:24	affect 36:6	21:12 23:9	71:20	9:22 10:2,7,9
20:7 23:24	aforesaid 74:7	24:3 65:4,4	approved 48:6	10:12,23 11:6
24:4 54:4 64:4	agenda 3:14 9:2	Anthony 1:12	approves 44:19	11:9 13:5,14
accident 17:24	24:10,17,20	anti 43:24	approving 21:20	13:23 14:12,19
accommodati	ago 20:6 64:11	anticipate 50:18	44:12 68:24	14:21 19:3,7
61:13	agree 45:14	50:20	69:1	20:2,22 21:10
Act 3:11 8:24	47:17 57:3	anybody 7:19	approximately	21:16 22:14,21
9:13 24:18	agreed 45:8 54:5	70:2	1:9	24:17 25:12,18
25:3	agreement	anymore 32:6	Area 1:4 20:21	27:10 30:23
acting 34:15	21:24	33:16 39:15	21:6,15,23	32:15,22 54:23
42:18	ahead 9:10 28:6	43:2	22:16 24:11	55:8,13,19,22
active 14:17	28:9	anyway 39:2	44:13 59:18	56:14 58:1,18
actual 23:8	aisle 29:2	43:5 69:5	63:5 65:13	59:3,10 60:22
58:14 61:18	allocating 17:16	apart 70:21	68:19	61:2 62:4,8,13
add 41:19 54:1	allow 53:3	appealing 53:8	argue 45:12	63:3 65:15,18
added 40:4,15	allowed 26:14	Appeals 1:1 2:3	65:24 66:12	65:20,22 66:8
40:16,18,19,19	40:16	6:13	argued 44:3	66:17,23 69:7
additional 13:23	Allstate 70:17	appears 74:9	65:24	70:23
19:4,6 23:21	70:21 71:1	appellant 56:18	argument 46:11	August 9:3,3
address 41:12	alter 19:19	Appellate 66:1	arguments	10:23,24 24:23
		l	l	

				Page /6
	1	l	1	1
available 11:16	10:17,18 11:3	brought 13:20	38:10 52:8,11	69:24 71:12,14
64:2	12:16 14:16	build 23:2,4,6,11	52:18 53:24	71:19 72:10,12
avoiding 18:12	18:6 42:2	26:14 27:23,23	56:20 58:17	72:14,17,23
aware 14:11	54:16 59:5	27:24 28:7,9	66:9	73:1,3
22:11	63:13 64:2	29:23 38:23	cases 56:18,19	chance 38:14
aye 2:14 4:11	belonged 40:17	39:8 43:23	certain 3:15	57:24
5:24 67:17	best 36:13 37:5	47:11 48:14	10:19 12:16	chances 57:20
72:23	37:6 49:9	49:21 50:4,6	61:13	change 41:17
ayes 72:24	68:15 74:10	51:6 53:9 70:9	certainly 26:21	42:7 43:4 48:3
Aztec 15:10	better 36:1	building 1:23	64:6	53:8 62:5 65:1
	39:10 41:23	23:6,8,9,11	Certified 74:4	changed 34:20
B	61:13	28:4 41:24	certify 74:5	43:13 63:20,24
B 25:10	Bhanu 27:15	42:1 47:15	chain-link 39:12	70:20
back 5:2,6 12:17	65:9	48:11,14 50:4	Chair 4:18	changes 6:18
12:19,24 13:20	bid 30:15	50:19,21,23	27:10 61:3,17	40:8,14,20
33:23 35:7	big 56:4	51:3,4,4,6,11	Chairman 1:11	47:24 51:20
41:18 45:24	bigger 57:7	53:9 54:15,16	2:1,21,23 3:2	61:18 63:23
46:7,8,12,14	bit 14:12 28:12	/		
46:24 51:15,22		61:6,17,21	3:17,21,24 4:2	Chicago 32:16
55:2 56:3	61:7 69:23	62:3 69:6,9	4:20,23 5:2,8	choice 16:16
70:10	blah 33:15,15,15	buildings 40:5	5:11,13,15 6:7	25:14 66:2,3
	blame 35:22	40:15,16 53:5	6:9,17,20,23	Chorus 72:24
background	block 54:10	70:9	7:15,17,23 8:5	church 1:5
61:1,7	Board 1:1 2:3	built 29:8 40:2	8:19,21 11:23	26:14 27:22
backyard 40:3	3:10 5:3 6:13	41:24 44:21	12:2,23 15:8	28:7,9,13,14
Bar 65:23	10:8 12:8 21:2	45:2 53:16	19:16 24:4	29:21,23 30:3
barbed 39:12	21:5,21 22:12	68:14	26:1,6,8,20,22	30:12,14,17,20
Barrington 1:1	22:12 23:18	bunch 33:10,10	27:2,5,8,12,14	31:14 33:13
1:9,9,22 8:1	33:22 37:5	40:21	27:22 30:2,5,8	36:5,9 38:18
15:10,12,21	48:13 50:2,13	burden 18:23	30:16,23 31:2	43:9,22 45:2,3
16:8 24:8	68:6 69:8,13	business 72:15	36:24 38:6,9	45:9,23 47:9
27:16,21 31:23	bodies 17:2	72:17	38:13,17,22	48:16,20 49:7
33:2 36:3	bond 16:18		39:10,16,24	52:21 53:16
40:17 41:12,14	book 37:24	C	40:9,12,24	54:5 59:19
42:3,11 49:8	bothered 44:12	call 2:4,5 4:2	41:4,7,21 42:9	60:20 68:14,14
53:17 55:12,14	bothers 49:15	5:15 6:23	42:14 45:22	68:18
55:15,17 56:6	66:18	59:17 60:1	46:3,6 48:10	Cinco 64:21
56:7 57:23	bottom 35:21	64:20 67:8	48:19 50:10,16	cinderblock
65:10 68:13	bought 37:17	69:21	51:3 59:13,16	53:10
base 16:6	boy 18:18	called 17:12	60:1,17,19,23	Circle 31:22
based 22:2,24	break 3:6	20:23 70:7	60:24 62:9,11	citizens 18:15
basic 8:12	breaking 52:10	care 18:1 33:11	62:16,21 63:11	33:9
bedroom 16:10	brief 3:18	34:22	64:23 65:6	claim 15:3
beginning 31:13	broader 11:10	cars 28:23 29:8	66:24 67:3,6,8	clarify 56:8
40:7	Brooke 27:15	case 14:9,20	67:24 68:2,22	clean 52:2
believe 9:2,5	65:9	15:1 29:9	69:11,15,19,21	clear 15:2 57:19
ĺ			0,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	10.201.19

				Page 77
	1	1	1	l
clients 66:4	13:4 14:11	Commissioners	20:21 21:6,13	41:21 42:7
close 67:4	22:8,17 24:1	52:23 54:6	21:14 22:16	43:1,2 45:10
closed 3:11,15	33:1 48:17,17	community	59:19	45:12,13,17
20:1	50:1 64:14	11:18,20 16:9	continuation 1:4	47:10 53:4,11
closing 54:3	COMMISSIO	16:10 19:15	9:17,23 10:14	54:21 55:1,2,7
61:14	1:1	24:3 33:11,22	12:12 24:13	55:10,12 71:8
code 22:1 55:15	commissioner	34:3 43:5	59:17	71:9 74:2
57:6	1:12,12,13,14	44:16 46:19,23	continuations	couple 15:13
cognizant 16:5	1:15 2:6,8,9,11	48:24 68:15	64:10	17:5 49:14
come 12:19 28:2	2:12,14,15,17	complaining	continue 10:1	court 13:12,14
31:11,12,24	2:18,20 3:23	34:18	11:5	13:21 14:4,9
33:4 36:1,17	4:1,3,5,6,8,9	complete 40:10	continued 10:5	14:22,23 15:10
38:24 41:18	4:11,12,14,15	74:8	10:10	37:1 50:23
47:21 48:1,15	4:17 5:12,14	completely	continuous	59:4,4,6
48:19,20,24	5:16,18,19,21	33:20 34:16	28:20 63:16	courts 50:7
49:20 52:21	5:22,24 6:1,3,4	38:5 57:19	control 39:7,14	56:14,22 66:1
54:21 63:5,6	6:6,16,19,21	Comprehensive	39:22 43:3	CSR 74:15,15
comes 11:14	6:22,24 7:2,3,5	18:6,7,8	45:10,10 55:23	currently 13:11
15:19 47:22	7:6,8,9,11,12	concern 66:22	conversation	curt 22:3
50:5 51:15	7:14 15:6	concerned 18:11	15:2	cut 62:6
52:8	20:16 25:22,24	concerns 27:19	Cook 13:12 17:6	
coming 12:24	28:11 29:17	27:20,21 29:15	38:18 41:9,14	D
23:14 35:11	36:2,5,8,13,18	29:18 30:11	41:21 42:7	Daily 9:21
38:10 49:12	36:21 37:4,9	52:24	43:1,1 45:10	Damian 1:23
50:1 56:1	37:13 39:6,11	concluded 28:5	45:12,13,17	20:15 51:8
commencement	43:8,12,19	condescending	47:10 53:4,10	61:21 63:12
23:8	44:2 45:6,16	31:3,5 55:2	54:21 55:1,2,7	69:13
Commencing	47:7,14,18,21	condescendin	55:12 74:2	date 13:12,14
1:9	48:8 49:5	31:9	Cook-County	38:13
commend 15:24	51:14,20,24	confidence	49:10	dates 10:21
comment 7:19	52:4 56:8,11	66:15	corner 56:3	13:21 14:5
7:21 11:24	59:23,24 60:2	configuring	correct 4:22	25:20
12:2 27:3,6	60:4,5,7,8,10	28:23	13:16 22:10,14	David 1:13
61:9 62:12,14	60:11,13,14,16	conflict 15:4	32:3 39:15	day 33:5,6 37:13
62:19	61:6,17 63:9	confrontational	51:19 55:13	days 16:21 51:8
comments 6:17	63:18,22 64:2	15:15	62:7 68:16	51:11 52:7,7,7
25:10 26:10	67:5,7,9,11,12	confused 49:17	69:11,11 70:22	de 64:21
51:16,17,18	67:14,15,17,18	confusion 28:12	70:23 74:8	de-annex 13:11
59:14 65:7	67:20,21,23	consider 37:21	correctly 12:14	13:15 39:14
67:1 71:12	68:20 69:10,18	consolation	63:14	43:4 47:9 53:7
commercial	69:20,22 70:1	44:20,22	cost 17:1 18:13	56:13,17 65:1
16:11 17:10	70:6,17,24	constant 33:14	40:21	66:6,16,20
Commission	71:15,17,21,22	constant 33.14	county 13:12	70:3
1:11 2:3 3:3	71:24 72:1,3,4	constraints 22:1	17:6 23:4	de-annexation
6:12 12:8,15	72:6,7,9,21,22	construction 1:5	38:18 41:9,14	23:13 38:16
0.12 12.0,13	14.0,1,7,41,44	Constituction 1.5	30.10 +1.7,14	23.13 30.10
	1	1	1	1

				Page /8
39:20 42:5	50:6,9	disregarded	east 28:24	Excuse 9:8
44:14,17,20	developers	38:5	easy 43:20	52:20
53:7 65:13	53:22	District 15:5	eating 64:21	executive 3:5,6
de-annexations	development 1:4	16:19 30:3,6	economically	3:15,22 5:4
56:12 71:3	1:4 24:12	30:16,19 31:15	17:20	47:5
de-annexed 39:4	44:13 52:15	32:6,17,19	effect 16:23 62:5	exempt 16:19
70:11,22	59:19 63:5	45:24 46:4,6	efficiency 29:1	exist 51:2
deal 45:19 49:9	68:12	46:11,12,15	eight 64:15	existing 1:5,6
70:24	different 26:11	47:1 50:22	either 22:5	59:20,21
deals 54:6	33:24 40:18	58:22,23	42:14 63:14	expensive 40:20
debate 21:18,19	47:16 54:7	documents	68:5	40:21 71:7
DEBORAH	56:19	12:21 51:10	elect 25:14	experience
74:4,15	difficult 11:18	doing 16:1 18:10	elected 15:23	15:16 52:4
decent 31:4	diligence 13:24	33:18 35:10,23	elevations 48:12	explain 20:16
decide 39:11	19:4,6 23:22	37:24,24 38:1	eleventh 70:13	32:14 41:5
46:7 47:15	dimensions 29:5	39:17 46:20	else's 54:3	explaining 55:4
64:17	direction 26:12	dollar 16:18	encroach 54:15	explanation
decided 10:1	65:2	dollars 46:19	62:3	49:14
27:17,21 29:11	directly 28:3	47:2	encroached	
42:6 47:16	69:6	dormers 40:17	28:24	F
64:24	disappointed	41:16	endorse 21:24	face 53:3
decision 27:18	33:14	drastic 57:2,4	ends 35:4	facility 49:10
29:22	disconnect 23:3	drawings 51:17	engaging 15:2	52:1 70:18
decisions 30:13	54:24 56:16	63:12 69:13	engineering	fact 13:6 54:2
68:14	58:2	Drive 12:3 20:1	19:9 51:12	58:24 61:8
deed 32:17,22	Disconnected	23:24 24:5	68:21,23	failed 56:13
37:19 42:20	55:8	44:10 54:3	engineering-w	fails 53:16
58:11,18,19,23	disconnection	57:13 61:15	68:24	fair 11:19 33:23
default 54:23	23:3 38:10	62:22	enlarge 62:2	34:12 36:24
defend 66:4	56:22,23 66:10	Dropka 1:21 2:6	enlighten 13:3	49:13,13
defensive 15:15	disconnections	2:9,12,15,18	64:12	fault 35:22
definite 45:16	56:15	2:21,24 4:3,6,9	entire 18:9 34:3	favor 49:7 56:14
definitely 69:24	discuss 3:12,15	4:12,15,18	escalation 16:24	56:16,23 72:23
delay 64:15	20:11 61:18	5:16,19,22 6:1	especially 26:2	features 40:4,15
denial 24:14	discussion 24:12	6:4,7,24 7:3,6	estimate 51:8	40:16
denied 12:10	49:1 62:17	7:9,12,15 60:2	evening 8:8,10	feel 35:2 48:22
17:23 56:21	63:16 68:3	60:5,8,11,14	eventually 15:19	51:21 58:4
depending 16:11	69:23	60:17 67:9,12	20:14 44:19	feeling 38:3
designed 54:4	discussions 6:18	67:15,18,21,24	everybody 6:14	49:10
details 25:16	15:17	71:15,22 72:1	31:4,4 34:16	feels 38:4 56:3
26:18	dismiss 14:13	72:4,7,10,13	35:21 54:3	feet 29:6,7 53:11
determined 20:6	dismissed 14:10	due 19:4,6 22:1	exact 29:5	54:16 62:1
70:10	14:20,21,24		exactly 36:7	fell 70:21
developed 23:1	15:1	<u>E</u>	39:9 63:7	fence 20:5,13
developer 49:20	dispute 48:2	e-mail 6:15 12:7	example 28:1	25:7 28:15,18
	l	l	l	

28:18,21 39:12 41:15,15 53:12 54:13 62:1 63:8 69:8,9 fences 54:13 fencing 21:1,23 fight 32:24 45:20 59:10 66:16 fighting 42:22 58:7,14 file 12:17,20,24 23:6 50:3 filed 13:15,22 forward 20:21 21:6,13,14,20 21:6,13,14,20 22:9,16 23:1 22:16,17 4:15,15 53:12 21:6,13,14,20 22:9,16 23:1 13:2,10,19 26:11 27:17 66:11 happens 66:10 66:11 happy 42:11 happy 42:11 happy 42:11 happy 42:11 happy 42:11 harm 50:8 52:11
41:15,15 53:12 21:6,13,14,20 Ghosh 12:3,3 24:11 25:9 happens 66:10 54:13 62:1 22:9,16 23:1 13:2,10,19 26:11 27:17 66:11 63:8 69:8,9 24:21 26:17 14:7,15,20 29:5,12 32:11 happens 66:10 fences 54:13 32:7 34:10,23 15:4 38:16 34:7,7,14 35:7 harm 50:8 52:11 fencing 21:1,23 39:19 46:15 Gillis 1:13 2:10 35:8 36:16,19 harms 50:5 fight 32:24 52:10 2:11 3:23 4:7,8 36:21 37:14 hate 36:11 45:20 59:10 37:19,19 42:21 5:20,21 6:16 41:11,12,13,21 heading 16:13 45:20 59:10 58:11 59:10 25:22,24 43:19 43:17,20 44:7 33:15 38:9 fighting 42:22 found 59:2 44:2 45:6,16 44:16 46:22,24 58:21 file 12:17,20,24 for 1:12 2:16,17 60:6,7 63:9 53:17 61:6,7 52:23 54:2 23:6 50:3 4:1,13,14 5:14 67:13,14 69:20 64:24 66:2,6 hearing 1:4 2:2
54:13 62:1 22:9,16 23:1 13:2,10,19 26:11 27:17 66:11 63:8 69:8,9 24:21 26:17 14:7,15,20 29:5,12 32:11 happy 42:11 fences 54:13 32:7 34:10,23 15:4 38:16 34:7,7,14 35:7 harm 50:8 52:11 fencing 21:1,23 39:19 46:15 Gillis 1:13 2:10 35:8 36:16,19 harms 50:5 fight 32:24 52:10 2:11 3:23 4:7,8 36:21 37:14 hate 36:11 45:20 59:10 37:19,19 42:21 5:20,21 6:16 41:11,12,13,21 heading 16:13 45:20 59:10 58:11 59:10 25:22,24 43:19 43:17,20 44:7 33:15 38:9 fighting 42:22 found 59:2 44:2 45:6,16 44:16 46:22,24 58:21 58:7,14 four 64:16 49:5 59:23 50:2,11,15 heard 38:10 file 12:17,20,24 Fox 1:12 2:16,17 60:6,7 63:9 53:17 61:6,7 52:23 54:2 23:6 50:3 4:1,13,14 5:14 67:13,14 69:20 64:24 66:2,6 hearing 1:4 2:2
63:8 69:8,9
fences 54:13 32:7 34:10,23 15:4 38:16 34:7,7,14 35:7 harm 50:8 52:11 fight 32:24 52:10 60ught 33:18 5:20,21 6:16 41:11,12,13,21 harm 50:8 52:11 45:20 59:10 37:19,19 42:21 6:19,21 7:4,5 42:19 43:9,16 heading 16:13 66:16 58:11 59:10 25:22,24 43:19 43:17,20 44:7 33:15 38:9 fighting 42:22 found 59:2 44:2 45:6,16 44:16 46:22,24 58:21 58:7,14 four 64:16 49:5 59:23 50:2,11,15 heard 38:10 file 12:17,20,24 Fox 1:12 2:16,17 60:6,7 63:9 53:17 61:6,7 52:23 54:2 23:6 50:3 4:1,13,14 5:14 67:13,14 69:20 64:24 66:2,6 hearing 1:4 2:2
fencing 21:1,23 39:19 46:15 Gillis 1:13 2:10 35:8 36:16,19 harms 50:5 fight 32:24 52:10 2:11 3:23 4:7,8 36:21 37:14 hate 36:11 45:20 59:10 37:19,19 42:21 6:19,21 7:4,5 42:19 43:9,16 hear 32:5,18 66:16 58:11 59:10 25:22,24 43:19 43:17,20 44:7 33:15 38:9 fighting 42:22 found 59:2 44:2 45:6,16 44:16 46:22,24 58:21 58:7,14 four 64:16 49:5 59:23 50:2,11,15 heard 38:10 file 12:17,20,24 Fox 1:12 2:16,17 60:6,7 63:9 53:17 61:6,7 52:23 54:2 23:6 50:3 4:1,13,14 5:14 67:13,14 69:20 64:24 66:2,6 hearing 1:4 2:2
fight 32:24 52:10 2:11 3:23 4:7,8 36:21 37:14 hate 36:11 37:22 42:22 fought 33:18 5:20,21 6:16 41:11,12,13,21 heading 16:13 45:20 59:10 37:19,19 42:21 6:19,21 7:4,5 42:19 43:9,16 hear 32:5,18 66:16 58:11 59:10 25:22,24 43:19 43:17,20 44:7 33:15 38:9 fighting 42:22 found 59:2 44:2 45:6,16 44:16 46:22,24 58:21 58:7,14 four 64:16 49:5 59:23 50:2,11,15 heard 38:10 file 12:17,20,24 Fox 1:12 2:16,17 60:6,7 63:9 53:17 61:6,7 52:23 54:2 23:6 50:3 4:1,13,14 5:14 67:13,14 69:20 64:24 66:2,6 hearing 1:4 2:2
37:22 42:22 fought 33:18 5:20,21 6:16 41:11,12,13,21 heading 16:13 45:20 59:10 37:19,19 42:21 6:19,21 7:4,5 42:19 43:9,16 hear 32:5,18 66:16 58:11 59:10 25:22,24 43:19 43:17,20 44:7 33:15 38:9 fighting 42:22 found 59:2 44:2 45:6,16 44:16 46:22,24 58:21 58:7,14 four 64:16 49:5 59:23 50:2,11,15 heard 38:10 file 12:17,20,24 Fox 1:12 2:16,17 60:6,7 63:9 53:17 61:6,7 52:23 54:2 23:6 50:3 4:1,13,14 5:14 67:13,14 69:20 64:24 66:2,6 hearing 1:4 2:2
45:20 59:10 37:19,19 42:21 6:19,21 7:4,5 42:19 43:9,16 hear 32:5,18 66:16 58:11 59:10 25:22,24 43:19 43:17,20 44:7 33:15 38:9 fighting 42:22 found 59:2 44:2 45:6,16 44:16 46:22,24 58:21 58:7,14 four 64:16 49:5 59:23 50:2,11,15 heard 38:10 file 12:17,20,24 Fox 1:12 2:16,17 60:6,7 63:9 53:17 61:6,7 52:23 54:2 23:6 50:3 4:1,13,14 5:14 67:13,14 69:20 64:24 66:2,6 hearing 1:4 2:2
45:20 59:10 37:19,19 42:21 6:19,21 7:4,5 42:19 43:9,16 hear 32:5,18 66:16 58:11 59:10 25:22,24 43:19 43:17,20 44:7 33:15 38:9 fighting 42:22 found 59:2 44:2 45:6,16 44:16 46:22,24 58:21 58:7,14 four 64:16 49:5 59:23 50:2,11,15 heard 38:10 file 12:17,20,24 Fox 1:12 2:16,17 60:6,7 63:9 53:17 61:6,7 52:23 54:2 23:6 50:3 4:1,13,14 5:14 67:13,14 69:20 64:24 66:2,6 hearing 1:4 2:2
66:16 58:11 59:10 25:22,24 43:19 43:17,20 44:7 33:15 38:9 fighting 42:22 found 59:2 44:2 45:6,16 44:16 46:22,24 58:21 58:7,14 four 64:16 49:5 59:23 50:2,11,15 heard 38:10 file 12:17,20,24 Fox 1:12 2:16,17 60:6,7 63:9 53:17 61:6,7 52:23 54:2 23:6 50:3 4:1,13,14 5:14 67:13,14 69:20 64:24 66:2,6 hearing 1:4 2:2
fighting 42:22 found 59:2 44:2 45:6,16 44:16 46:22,24 58:21 58:7,14 four 64:16 49:5 59:23 50:2,11,15 heard 38:10 file 12:17,20,24 Fox 1:12 2:16,17 60:6,7 63:9 53:17 61:6,7 52:23 54:2 23:6 50:3 4:1,13,14 5:14 67:13,14 69:20 64:24 66:2,6 hearing 1:4 2:2
58:7,14 four 64:16 49:5 59:23 50:2,11,15 heard 38:10 file 12:17,20,24 Fox 1:12 2:16,17 60:6,7 63:9 53:17 61:6,7 52:23 54:2 23:6 50:3 4:1,13,14 5:14 67:13,14 69:20 64:24 66:2,6 hearing 1:4 2:2
file 12:17,20,24 Fox 1:12 2:16,17 60:6,7 63:9 53:17 61:6,7 52:23 54:2 23:6 50:3 4:1,13,14 5:14 67:13,14 69:20 64:24 66:2,6 hearing 1:4 2:2
23:6 50:3 4:1,13,14 5:14 67:13,14 69:20 64:24 66:2,6 hearing 1:4 2:2
-
24:22,23 36:2,5,8,13,18 give 28:16 61:6 70:11 11:21 12:5,15
final 8:24 44:14 36:21 37:4,9 give 28:16 61:6 70:11 11:21 12:3,13 good 6:19 40:3 12:22 13:8,9
63:23 68:20,22 37:13 39:6,11 58:11 42:1 44:2 13:20 20:4
finally 24:12 43:8,12 47:7 giving 30:13 51:16 53:1,1 21:9,11 24:14
find 31:7 47:14,18,21 34:9 44:23 53:14 25:2 26:9
finding 13:6 48:8 59:24 Glacier 31:22 govern 53:5 31:10,10,12
fine 23:16 60:12,13 63:18 go 3:11,14,21 great 33:7 42:5 59:17
finish 9:9 63:22 64:2 9:10 12:17 ground 52:10 61:11 62:14
first 6:10,11 67:19,20 68:20 14:3 17:2,5 group 68:4 70:7 63:4 67:3,4
8:14 19:16
37:13 40:7 frame 51:10 22:12,24 23:4 guarantee 42:9 hearings 15:13
42:17 frankly 51:1 24:21 25:9 guarantees 42:8 25:19 57:8
five 17:13,24 free 35:2 28:6,8 31:18 guess 11:10,12 Held 1:8
18:20 31:11
36:1 47:4 10:23 17:14 39:19 44:13,20 guys 28:5,8 32:6 help 57:15
five-year-old 35:20,20,24 45:13,17 46:8 34:1,2,4,7,22 helps 43:15,16
34:14 front 28:17 69:4 46:12,24 47:10 35:10,10 36:1 Hemal 24:7
focus 19:22 frustrating 33:3 47:19,22 48:13 36:11 42:24 44:10 62:22
follow 25:4 fulfill 28:7 29:24 48:13 51:22 44:17 45:1,4 Herald 9:21
35:19 fulfilled 31:17 u
follow-ups 19:2 full 11:14 66:15 55:2 56:4,6 64:7 hidden 28:19
followed 59:1 fully 66:21 61:8,10 66:15 — — high 42:1
10018 53.11 Tut thei 29.9 goal 24.19
101 cg omg / 1.7
Forgive 21.5
form 14:6 21:2 general 61:9 going 12:19 70:11 71:3,3,4 holder 32:17
27:24 50:24 Gentile 15:9,9
51:6 52:11 gentleman 46:18 17:1,7,19 happened 10:3 honestly 43:2
forth 35:8 70:10 47:2 18:18,21 19:7 happening hour 1:9 34:21

				Page 80
	 .			
70:13	issue 39:13	37:15,18 40:21	lawsuit 14:15	61:7 69:23
house 48:11,14	40:23 41:2	41:3 43:1,8,10	35:7 45:23	live 7:24 15:9
hundred 29:6	42:18 57:7	43:10,16,16,24	46:23 47:8,8,9	24:7 42:3
54:16 57:3	issues 14:23 57:8	44:3,6,16 45:6	48:4,4 49:2	living 18:21 22:7
	item 6:10,11	45:14,19 46:21	58:24	LLC 59:18 63:5
I	items 12:16	46:22,22 47:5	lawsuits 45:18	logically 40:1
idea 61:10 66:5	20:17 25:6	49:5,18 50:1	lawyer 30:21	long 3:8,9 20:6
idiots 33:10		50:14 52:12	31:7 33:8	47:6 50:20
ignorance 21:3	J	55:4 57:17	36:23 65:12,13	51:9 56:6 68:9
ignoring 34:16	James 1:20	58:5,6,6,13,13	lawyers 65:16	longer 17:5
Illinois 1:9 56:12	January 10:18	58:21 63:7,23	layer 55:9	19:14 38:19
74:1,5	10:18,21	65:11,13 66:1	leading 22:19,21	look 6:19 14:22
imminent 3:12	Jimmy 56:11	66:15,19	legal 24:20 25:4	16:4 17:1,4
important 58:20	job 34:20	Kwasek 1:15	64:20	40:16 45:5
impressed 16:22	jobs 31:11	2:19,20 4:16	legislative 15:23	50:24 63:1,8
impression	Joe 70:1,15	4:17 6:5,6,22	legit 32:9,10	looked 35:19
62:23	join 35:15	7:13,14 28:11	legitimate 32:11	63:12
incident 55:20	judge 59:6,7,11	29:17 51:14,20	35:5,6 36:17	looking 18:4,5
including 47:3	Julius 1:15	51:24 52:4	37:16 45:21	looks 63:1
income 27:20	June 9:2 14:6	56:8,11 60:15	59:2	lose 46:11 47:7,8
inconvenient	19:17 24:22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		lost 26:12 33:21
49:1	26:7	60:16 67:7,22	legitimately	
incredibly 11:18	jurisdiction	67:23 69:18,22	37:18 42:17	34:2,6
industrial 16:10	55:17	70:1,6,17,24	Let's 45:1	lot 8:7 16:10
17:10	33.17	72:8,9	level 15:18	17:7 20:5 21:1
information	K	L	levy 16:19 17:1	26:10,11 28:17
	Kazi 1:14 2:7,8	lack 61:13	life 18:15 42:3	28:23 29:9,13
13:1,22 informed 19:11	4:4,5 5:12,17		lightly 68:8	38:2 40:3,4,14
	5:18 7:1,2 60:3	land 14:8 17:9	limit 27:18	40:15 42:1
initial 13:6	60:4 67:5,10	17:16 18:9	line 54:15 62:6	48:11 54:14
61:12	· ·	20:3 26:15	listen 31:8 34:12	61:8 62:3,6
inside 52:24	67:11 71:16,17 71:21 72:21	28:6 30:17,20	listening 44:8	69:9
insight 17:7		38:19 39:14	literally 56:17	lots 41:17
instances 66:4	keep 29:1 31:15	43:11 45:20	57:5	Louise 1:12
intensive 71:6	57:4	46:15 48:2	litigation 3:12	love 34:24
interest 15:5	kept 30:10 70:14	50:4,7 52:9	3:13,16 4:22	7.5
70:20	kind 31:14 56:2	56:5,7	13:17 14:7	<u> </u>
invite 63:4	knew 56:2 61:4	landscape 71:7,8	18:12,14 32:2	ma'am 52:20
involved 58:7	know 14:1 15:15	71:10	32:3,7,8,12	65:22
59:6,7	16:1 17:19	landscaping	33:17,21 35:2	Maddie 12:3
involvement	18:11 19:8,10	28:20 40:24	35:13 36:16,18	19:3
11:20	19:15 23:21,22	Lane 27:16	43:12,14 50:5	making 30:13
involves 57:4	25:16 28:7	65:10	50:21 53:6	man 31:5 42:24
involving 13:17	30:10 32:6,9	law 9:19 37:20	57:22 58:4,7,8	48:13
56:20 57:5	32:18 33:3,18	38:1 66:9	58:9	management
issuance 23:8	34:6,9,17 37:1	laws 37:21	little 14:12 28:12	3:13

				Tage of
managing 16.6	60.10 10 24	60.10.11	71.19 20 72.12	27.10.47.22
managing 16:6 March 6:13	60:18,19,24	68:10,11 members 1:24	71:18,20 72:13 72:20	37:10 47:22 48:1 51:5 52:3
	62:9,11,16,21 63:11 64:23	48:24 49:6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
matches 52:16			motions 11:5,8	new 12:20,21,22
matter 14:2,16	65:6 66:24	memory 12:13	move 20:20 21:6	12:24,24 13:22
31:15 39:23	67:3,6,8 68:1,2	mention 50:10	21:13,14 22:9	72:17
42:5 51:16	68:22 69:11,15	53:23	22:16 26:16	newly-elected
56:20 65:16	69:19,21,24	mentioned	46:15 50:3	15:11
74:7	71:12,14,19	12:11 19:4	52:9 58:9,10	newsletter 8:15
matters 3:12,16	72:11,12,14,17	24:15 26:3,5	moved 5:12 16:9	35:20,21
4:22 14:1,2	72:23 73:1,3	38:15	29:8 67:5	nice 44:15 70:17
50:9 52:12	McCombie's	met 70:9	69:18 72:21	71:2
53:23	8:15	Michael 1:11,21	moving 21:20	night 18:17
maximize 17:9	mean 14:24 21:4	Michalski 1:23	32:7 34:10,23	No-no 38:22
18:8	21:4 22:15	46:2 51:9,19	multiple 25:18	non-tax-gener
maximizing	34:5,10,13	51:23 52:3,20	52:6,22,22	17:17
16:6	36:1 37:19,21	54:1 61:21,21	54:7	non-tax-use
Mayo 64:21	38:2 40:1,3	62:7 63:13	municipalities	17:17
Mayor 1:22 8:9	42:23 44:11,13	64:8 69:14	56:15	nonsense 45:7,7
8:15 11:14	44:15,24 45:19	Mike 15:9	municipality	normal 51:14
12:8 35:17	49:2,13 56:1,3	million 16:18	56:17,24	north 29:9 41:11
52:17	63:11 65:3	mind 69:3		notes 74:10
McCombie 1:11	66:11,11,13	mine 47:3	N N 1 4 20 21 21 6	notice 2:2
1:22 2:1,22,23	69:7	minute 48:5	N 1:4 20:21 21:6	noticed 8:3,17
3:2,17,21,24	means 18:14	minutes 3:3 6:12	21:15,23 22:16	8:18,23 9:12
4:2,19,20,23	21:8 22:23	6:13 47:6	24:11 42:1	nowadays 17:19
5:2,8,11,13,15	meant 64:14	misinterpretat	44:13 59:18	nuance 14:13
6:8,9,17,20,23	meet 12:18	10:3	63:5 65:13	number 28:21
7:16,17,23 8:5	16:20	misleading	68:19	28:22 68:10,10
8:9,21 11:23	meeting 2:2 3:3	21:17 22:19	name 7:24 14:18	numerous 65:15
12:2,9,23 15:8	6:13 8:13,17	mitigate 17:8	15:9 24:7	
19:16 24:4	9:12 11:17	moment 33:8	27:14,15 33:24	0
26:1,6,8,20,22	12:10,13 13:4	Monday 1:8 2:3	62:21 65:9	o'clock 17:13,24
27:2,5,8,12,14	17:6,12,24	9:3,4 10:24,24	need 3:19 5:9	31:11 36:1
27:22 30:2,5,8	19:16 20:9	17:14 35:24	22:1 26:16,16	47:4 72:19
30:16,23 31:2	24:21 26:2	money 40:22	28:1 29:11	obligation 34:12
35:17 36:24	34:21 50:14	41:8 58:23	31:6,9 41:9	obligations 28:8
38:6,9,13,17	52:14 59:22	months 64:15,16	45:11,12,13	obviously 20:7
38:22 39:10,16	72:18 73:5	64:16	51:7	39:3 55:19
39:24 40:9,12	meetings 3:11	motion 3:19,21	needed 29:10	occur 32:20
40:24 41:4,7	8:24 9:13,17	3:23 5:9,11	48:23	October 9:4,5
41:21 42:9,14	9:24 10:1 11:2	6:20,21 8:22	needs 27:23	11:1,1 26:9
45:22 46:3,6	19:18 24:18	10:4,5 11:7	31:20	63:14
48:10,19 50:10	25:3,23,24	20:23 24:13	neighborhood	office 15:23 70:9
50:16 51:3	29:14 31:24	59:22,23 67:4	30:12 31:14	officer 1:23 51:4
59:13,16 60:1	52:22 63:19	68:18 69:17	never 26:13 36:9	61:22
•				

				Page 82
1 17 10 10 10	l	25.2	12.12	(4.5.60.17
oh 17:18 18:18	organization	pass 35:3	personnel 3:13	64:5 68:17
27:12 31:16	13:10	passed 16:18	petition 8:8	points 20:7
37:23 63:9	originally 54:4	passes 72:13	12:17,21 13:15	police 55:20
72:18	outcome 52:13	Patel 24:7,7 25:9	17:22 18:2	policy 17:20
okay 2:1 3:17	outside 28:20	25:15,21,23	22:2,24 54:24	position 9:16,18
5:8 6:10 7:18	55:22	26:5,7,18,21	petitioner 25:13	15:20
11:10,23 13:19	overturn 50:7	27:1,4 36:22	51:18	positions 15:24
22:22 26:24	50:24	44:10,10 45:14	Petitioners 63:5	posted 9:12
27:8 29:23	owned 70:8	45:18 46:1,5	phenomenal	posting 9:14,20
31:3,4,5,7,8,19	owner 56:21,23	46:14 47:12,17	16:8	postpone 8:9
33:5 34:24	57:20,21 58:15	47:19 48:5,16	picked-up 25:1	35:1
38:6 39:18		48:22 49:13	piece 56:5 62:24	predict 44:4,5
41:6 42:22	P	62:15,22,22	place 14:8,10	prejudicial 50:8
46:6 48:22	p.m 1:9 5:5,7	63:7,10,17,21	plaintiffs 14:13	present 1:11,19
49:16 53:2,10	8:11 11:17,19	64:1,6,12 65:3	15:3 51:1	25:13,15 69:13
54:18 58:21	73:6	Paula 1:22	Plaintiffs' 50:21	presentation
59:9,13 60:19	Pacific 70:3,8	paying 46:18	52:8 53:24	19:14 24:11
60:24 61:16	paid 30:22,23	47:13	plan 1:1,4,11 2:2	25:10 26:23
62:8,9,11	31:1,6 33:2	PCC 12:16	3:3 12:7,15	60:21 62:15,16
66:20,24 69:16	47:2	pending 3:12	13:4 14:11	62:17,24
69:21 71:14	paper 62:24	13:16 14:5	18:2,6,7,8 23:1	presented 21:7
72:14,20	63:10	49:2	24:1 48:17	22:17 23:20
okayed 28:8	parcel 17:16	people 8:7 17:21	59:19 71:7,8	24:17 64:8,18
old 72:15	70:8	18:1 20:9	planning 6:12	presumption
once 42:22 50:6	park 15:5 30:3,5	34:15,19 35:24	9:1 16:5,22	58:19,19
50:22	30:7,16,19	37:17 38:2,2	17:7 18:5 28:3	pretty 16:15
ongoing 43:14	31:15 32:5,17	49:8 57:4	30:18	24:1 56:4
50:22 52:8	32:18 45:24	percent 17:3,3	plans 19:8,9,12	previous 20:9
53:6	46:4,6,11,12	57:3	19:15,19,20,20	63:19
open 3:10 8:23	46:15,24 49:19	period 9:14	19:21,23 21:7	price 44:22
9:12 16:16	50:22 58:22,22	permission 35:6	22:17 23:20	prior 9:1,17,24
24:18 25:2	parking 20:5,14	permit 23:9,11	24:2 51:5,7	9:24 39:23
59:22	21:1,22 25:7	23:12 28:4	53:8	70:7
opinion 23:19	28:17,23 29:1	30:13 50:4,19	plat 1:6 59:21	pristine 16:9
opportunity	29:9,13 41:17	51:3,5 54:12	62:5	prize 44:21
16:20 25:13	41:19 47:16	69:6	playground	probably 16:15
opposed 73:1	54:14 62:3	permits 23:6	28:17	26:8 70:1,5
opposite 45:4	69:9	43:18	please 7:23 8:21	problem 43:2
option 49:11	part 28:19 42:6	permitted 9:14	9:10 14:16	procedural 8:13
order 2:4 9:1	48:24 51:12	person 14:17	22:10 35:12	14:23 60:24
49:21 59:4,5	52:15 56:5	27:11 37:4	38:7 53:13	proceedings
ordinance 21:2	participants	56:16	point 5:8 13:20	74:6,9
ordinances	11:16	personal 23:18	35:4 41:10,10	process 11:15,19
21:22 22:24	participated	personally 50:18	49:22 56:2,4	22:20 59:1
34:8 35:3	68:11	50:19 54:10	58:5,20 59:16	project 21:24
	l	l	l	

165105		56.22	26 1 2 2 10 21	27.7.50.15
proper 16:5 18:5	Q	56:22	26:1,2,3,10,21	27:7 59:15
22:24 32:7	quality 18:15	Realty 70:8	29:4 32:1	62:10 67:2
properly 8:17,18	42:1,2	reason 23:15	38:13 46:3	71:13 72:16
8:23 9:12	question 7:22	34:17,18 38:20	64:6,7 69:2,3	73:2
property 16:11	8:6,12,13,16	52:14 61:18,23	remind 61:10	responsibility
16:12 17:17	11:11,12 14:8	reasons 15:21	remiss 20:2	49:8
20:6 29:20	21:12,16,19	recall 63:13	remove 14:18	restart 6:11
32:23 53:4	22:18,19,19,20	recess 3:18 8:24	27:21	revenue 16:7
54:5,13 56:21	22:21 23:7,10	10:4,10 11:6,8	repeating 49:22	18:9 20:10
56:23 57:20,21	23:23 24:1,3	13:6,9 25:1	rephrase 24:23	review 51:7,10
58:9,12,15,16	24:16 32:2	61:8 64:19,20	reported 74:6	51:13,15,22
62:1 70:13,19		recessed 9:22	reporter 14:4	reviewed 19:8,9
proposal 70:18	36:8,22 37:9	10:13 61:11	37:1 74:5	reviewing 66:9
70:20 71:6	44:2 45:8,9,11	recognizing	repost 24:24	revolves 28:12
protested 29:22	53:19 54:20	16:24	represent 65:12	ridiculous 35:8
30:1	57:5,16 58:15		65:14 66:21	right 3:11 8:5
	quick 49:16	recollection 28:19 70:15		19:22 20:1
proved 35:19	quit 31:11		representatives	
provide 51:9	quorum 3:1	recommend	58:6	23:2 31:18
provided 29:23		20:24 24:13	represents 42:2	32:10 33:1,8
54:8	R	68:5 69:7,12	republish 24:24	33:17 34:13
provisions 29:24	R 74:4,15	recommendati	request 12:9,21	36:12,12,14
31:17	Raju 27:13,15	20:20 21:4,14	38:7 54:5	38:1,10,21
public 1:4,24 2:2	27:15 28:5	22:9	57:22 61:4,5	39:4,16,19
7:19,20 8:4,17	29:15,19 30:4	recommended	requested 64:13	43:16 46:8,14
8:22 9:13	30:7,10,21	21:1,21	requirement	48:11,12,15
11:20,23 12:2	31:1,3 35:15	reconfigure	13:7	51:21 52:21
12:4,14,22	65:9,9,17,19	29:13	requirements	53:3,6 54:18
13:8,9,20	65:21 66:5,14	reconsider 44:5	12:19 24:21	57:11 58:23
15:17 19:11	66:18	reconvene 5:10	25:4 53:11	64:19 66:3
21:8,11 26:9	ramifications	5:11	64:15 71:10	right-of-way
27:3,6 31:10	17:15	record 5:6	requires 25:3	61:14
31:10,12 59:17	rate 18:4	recreation 49:19	requiring 56:23	Road 1:9
61:9 62:12,14	rates 70:20	referred 9:1	rescheduling	Roll 2:5 4:2 5:15
65:6 67:4	Re-review 51:23	refile 12:17 13:7	12:9 35:12	6:23 60:1 67:8
PUD 49:18 54:4	reached 52:18	regarding 20:4,5	resident 33:20	69:21
pursuant 8:23	read 10:22 14:4	21:22,23 25:7	residential	Roll-call 71:14
9:12	56:19	regardless 49:21	17:10	row 28:23
push 58:5		regards 25:19	residents 12:5	rude 22:3 55:5
pushback 44:1	reading 14:23	61:5 69:8	18:23 29:16	1 uuc 44.5 55.5
-	ready 71:11		33:18 35:23	S
pushed 50:2	reality 71:5	Regency 15:11		S-a-g-e 7:24
put 18:6 24:20	really 15:16,19	regrets 18:19,21	36:3,12 53:2	Sage 7:22,24,24
39:11 41:15	31:10 33:12,14	regulates 17:20	58:14	8:3,6 9:7,10,16
putting 15:24	34:24 40:3	relative 20:24	resolution 52:18	9:20,23 10:5,8
18:22	42:1 44:5,11	remedy 51:1	respond 51:18	10:10,14,20
	45:6,7 56:22	remember 12:23	response 12:1	10.10,14,20
		l	l	

-				Page 84
11 4 0 10	(4.0		1 25.12.22.5	1
11:4,8,10	screen 64:9	seven 72:19	sorry 27:12 33:7	stipulated 18:7
12:12 19:2,11	second 3:20,24	shady 33:24	43:6	stone 41:17
19:23 20:19	4:1 5:13,14	Shahzad 1:14	sort 26:12 44:18	stop 32:13 58:20
21:3,12 22:5	6:22 36:24	shape 52:10	sounds 37:23	store 1:5 59:20
22:15 23:12	38:22 41:4	Shayma 31:22	South 1:1,9,9,22	street 54:17
24:6 49:16	51:12 59:24	shocked 33:7	8:1 15:10 16:8	strict 22:1
50:13,17 52:6	67:6,7 69:19	shocking 36:4	24:8 27:16,20	strikes 15:14
53:20 54:19	69:20 72:22	shorthand 74:4	31:23 33:2	studies 54:7
salaried 30:22	secretly 29:20	74:6	36:3 40:17	stuff 40:4
sale 14:8 30:3,3	section 7:19	shot 52:2	41:11,13 42:3	subdivision 1:6
32:2,9,10,12	25:10 27:2	show 11:18	42:11 49:8	59:21 62:5
35:5,7 36:17	security 28:16	32:16	53:17 55:12,14	submit 12:21
37:16,18 45:20	29:12	showed 64:9	55:15,17 56:5	51:7
46:23 50:5,8	see 16:16 28:21	sic 20:16	56:7 57:23	submitted 51:5
50:21,24 52:9	34:14 48:13	sides 29:1	65:10 68:13	subsequent
58:15 59:1	50:8 53:12	sign 41:12	spaces 41:19	10:11
SANTI 74:4,15	59:12 63:10	signed 17:21	speak 17:23 33:4	subsequently
sat 52:22	64:1,3 68:9	18:2	SPEAKER 70:5	10:6
satisfaction	72:18	significant 17:16	70:16	succeed 53:7
33:20	seeing 38:3 64:6	18:22	speaking 9:8	sudden 64:21
satisfied 65:3	seeking 28:15	significantly	49:6	suddenly 56:6
satisfies 24:20	29:2	16:17	spend 41:9	sufficient 24:19
saw 8:14 40:7	seen 11:12,14,17	similar 45:2	spending 41:8	suit 14:14 53:6
63:23	19:20,21 23:19	simple 24:1	spirit 11:11,13	53:15
saying 14:19	24:2 33:6 52:1	single 36:6	spoke 17:5	suitable 44:21
23:10 30:11	63:17	single 30.0 sir 21:3	spoke 17.5 spoken 15:12	supposed 29:7
31:15 35:11	semantical	sit 13:24 14:2	square 33:23	supposed 25.7 sure 8:13 9:10
43:3,8 50:11	21:18,19	31:7	square 33.23 staff 19:8	23:16,19,20,24
57:19,20,21	send 12:7	site 1:5 59:20	start 34:21	26:20 40:2,11
66:14	sense 23:16,17	sitting 22:11	50:20,23	41:23 42:17
	37:16 39:24	situation 43:6	started 10:20	45:19 49:9
says 24:10 38:18 scenario 54:20	46:20	53:2	47:14	50:17 55:15,21
schedule 6:11	sent 8:9	situations 18:12		61:3 64:7
			starts 50:6	
scheduled 8:14	sentence 40:10	six 62:1 64:15	state 27:14	66:20 69:14
11:17	separate 39:13	size 48:11,12	56:12 61:12	suspicious 15:18
schedules 34:20	39:20 Santamban 0:4	sleep 18:17	62:21 65:24	swear 62:18
scheduling	September 9:4	small 47:23	74:1,5	sworn 8:2 61:20
17:23	10:24 63:15	sold 28:6 30:17	stated 44:11	62:20 65:8,23
school 16:18	service 15:17	30:19 31:16	statement 11:13	
28:16,16,18,20	serving 12:13	33:15 42:17,20	stay 42:11 56:24	tacos 64:22
29:12 45:3	session 3:5,7,11	66:5	57:1	
59:20,20 62:2	3:15,15,22 5:5	solid 53:11	staying 16:23	take 3:17,21
School/School	47:5	somebody 33:4	stenographic	6:20 17:11
1:5	setback 28:24	47:5,13	74:9	21:5 22:13
scope 61:4,4	54:15,16 62:3	soon 16:15	step 57:3,4	30:11 41:16,17
		I	I	l

				Tage 05
50.15 17 20	50.0 54.10 10	62.11.62.12.22	tuom on don de	22.6 9 22:12
50:15,17,20	50:9 54:18,19	62:11 63:12,22	tremendously	22:6,8 23:13
55:3,9 56:5	59:12 65:5	64:14 66:10	71:7	23:13 24:9
65:4 67:3 68:7	66:23 69:15	68:9 69:16	tri-annual 16:14	29:17 34:1,15
68:17 69:16	72:14	72:15	tried 36:13 59:6	34:23,24 35:9
72:20	Thanks 15:7	timed 8:11	true 74:8	41:8 42:24
taken 18:16 29:8	theirs 41:2 58:16	timeline 50:11	trust 18:22 33:7	50:13 52:15
56:7 57:23	thing 32:8 35:16	70:19	34:1,3 37:7	53:20,21 55:24
74:10	42:23 45:23	times 19:18	65:21 66:3	57:2,7 58:24
talk 26:22,23	46:21 57:10	25:20 52:6,23	Trustees 12:8	understanding
40:13	68:16 69:2	65:24 66:7,19	20:20 21:5	22:10 28:10
talked 4:24 20:8	things 12:18	timing 12:7	22:12,12 23:18	49:18 70:12,21
20:8,10,11	26:11 37:22	title 32:15,16,16	34:11 50:2,14	uneducated
33:5 35:17	40:18,19 44:15	today 19:5,15	68:6	33:11
61:2	49:15 57:13,14	21:7 32:13	try 17:8,9 56:4	Unfortunately
talking 29:19,19	think 3:2,8 6:14	35:18 36:15	trying 13:11	12:5
30:2 31:8 37:2	8:8 11:16 15:2	48:23 52:14	22:3,5,6,7 24:9	UNIDENTIFI
44:17 46:9	17:15,18 22:18	64:17	35:24 37:22	70:5,16
52:7	28:11 29:5	told 63:3	41:5 45:20	Union 70:3,7
tax 16:6 17:15	33:1 34:21,24	tomorrow 50:3	55:1,5	urban 16:5
18:4,8 20:10	35:12 36:2	50:14,15	turn 38:4 49:7	use 14:22 17:9
47:2	41:7 43:7	tone 15:14	twice 33:24	18:7,9 20:3
				57:12
taxes 16:12	45:22 48:10	tonight 17:22	two 13:21 20:3,4	
taxing 17:2	53:14 54:9	19:24 20:18,19	20:12,12,17,22	uses 20:5,10
taxpayer 16:2	55:9 64:23	20:23 23:14	20:24 21:22	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$
46:19	66:19 68:12,15	25:7 43:13	22:13 24:14	valid 46:24
taxpayers 15:22	71:2	44:6 61:19	25:6,16,21	
16:4 18:23	thinking 40:1	63:6	26:3,13,16,19	validity 58:14
33:3	46:17,21	top 16:17 39:12	27:18,18,23	valuable 46:18
technical 51:15	third 46:2	tore 70:18	28:1,7,22	variance 20:13
technically 53:9	thought 40:6	torn 52:24	29:24 31:16	20:14 25:7,8
55:16 58:12	68:8,9	totally 55:24	34:8 37:10	26:16 29:2,10
tell 25:17 26:18	three 51:11	tough 18:16	42:10 43:21,21	47:23,23 48:9
30:14 35:24	64:10 65:18	town 13:16 18:1	48:21 54:11	54:14,17 62:2
56:11 63:1	threshold 23:7	18:14 41:11	57:6,6,8,13,14	64:9,13
telling 18:19	Thursday 50:16	towns 17:8	57:18 61:5,23	variances 20:3,4
29:21 31:13	50:18	township 15:12	65:18,18 68:5	20:12,12,16,24
34:7 41:3	time 7:18,20	15:21 16:21	68:5,18 69:1,4	22:13 24:15
ten 18:20 66:19	8:14 9:6,14	18:10	71:20	25:17,21 26:4
term 64:20	12:22 15:7	traffic 27:19	type 51:24 62:24	26:13,16,19
terms 15:23	16:1 18:13	transcript 74:8		27:19,23,24
thank 5:1 10:9	20:6 22:11	transfer 32:19	U	28:2 37:11,15
11:9,21 15:7	25:1 35:16	transferred	Uh-huh 38:12	37:17 42:10
18:24 24:6	46:2,18,18	32:23	unable 56:13	43:21,21 46:7
27:1,4 37:3	47:1,12 49:1,4	transparency	understand	46:10 48:21
44:7 49:14,15	51:10 52:1	11:15 35:17,18	12:11 17:13	54:12 57:6,18
, .,,,,,,,	21.10 02.1	11.10 55.17,10		<u> </u>

				1 age 00
(1.5.24.60.5.6	(0.11.14.17	24.10.27.5	10 10 21	(2.14.70.4
61:5,24 68:5,6	60:11,14,17	34:18 37:5	we've 19:18,21	63:14 70:4
68:18 69:1,4	67:9,12,15,18	38:23 39:22	54:7,8 59:4,5,7	year-and-a-half
71:20	67:21,24 68:23	41:15,16,17,18	68:10,11	35:9
varied 22:2	69:5 70:10,14	42:16 43:5,17	Wednesday 9:4	years 18:3,20
Vasselli 1:20 3:9	71:15,22 72:1	43:23 45:11,24	9:5 11:1,1	Yep 70:16
3:19 4:21,24	72:4,7,10,13	47:11,23 49:10	week 17:6	
5:1,9 8:19,22	Village's 12:18	49:22 56:6,24	weeks 51:11	Z
9:8,11,18,22	villages 16:19,23	57:18 58:20,21	weigh 18:13	zealously 66:3
10:2,7,9,12,16	17:8	64:10 65:11	well-renowned	zip 55:15
10:23 11:6,9	voice 54:2	wanted 8:7 12:6	20:15	zoned 49:19
13:5,14,23	voluntarily	14:10 15:21	went 5:4 25:19	zoning 2:3 6:12
14:12,19,21	14:24 15:1	17:22 23:23	41:9 70:10	9:2 28:3 30:18
19:7 20:2,22	volunteer 16:2	28:24 48:24	weren't 35:19	49:17 61:22
21:10,16 22:14	vote 12:15 13:3	53:22 61:3	whatnot 41:19	64:13
22:21 24:17	13:5 17:11	64:24 70:8	win 47:8 48:4,4	
25:12,18 27:10	18:20 20:19,22	wanting 11:15	49:3,3 54:24	0
32:15,22 54:23	21:6,17 22:13	53:21	wins 58:2	084-004107
55:8,13,19,22	23:17,18 32:4	wash 15:20	wire 39:12	74:15
56:14 58:1,18	32:13 34:7	wasn't 14:21	withdraw 15:3	
59:3,10 60:22	37:17 38:18	17:24 70:11,19	Witness 8:2	1
· ·		waste 49:4	61:20 62:20	1 24:8 44:10
61:2 62:4,8,13	39:2,3 42:10			62:22
63:3 65:15,18	45:4 46:10	wasting 46:17	65:8	10 18:3 41:15,15
65:20,22 66:8	48:23 50:3,15	47:1,12	won 70:12	53:11
66:17,23 69:7	50:18 52:17	way 10:21 28:22	Woods 15:11	11 9:2 14:6
70:23	64:14,17 69:21	32:19 33:24	31:23	11th 19:17
village 1:1,20,21	71:11,14,17,19	34:8,15 36:11	word 61:13	12 12:3
2:6,9,12,15,18	voted 39:18	42:2 47:16	words 16:16	120 29:7
2:21,24 4:3,6,9	votes 18:16 22:8	52:10 56:3	work 22:22	14 51:11
4:12,15,18	voting 19:24	58:22	53:22	14 31.11 141 17:21
5:16,19,22 6:1	36:15 39:4	we'll 3:6 5:2	working 48:8	15 18:3
6:4,7,24 7:3,6	43:18 44:6	26:23	65:16 70:19	
7:9,12,15		we're 16:2,13	wouldn't 29:13	16 9:4 11:1
13:11,17,18,24	W	19:5 20:3	39:10 48:19,20	2
14:6 16:4	wait 32:8 35:2	22:11 25:5	59:7 66:12	$\frac{2}{215:10}$
17:20 18:5,9	35:13 38:8	29:12 30:5	69:4	20 18:3
18:24 19:3	48:5 64:17,19	33:9,10 34:9	wrong 22:10	
20:15 21:2	64:19,19	34:16,18 35:1	31:18 43:19	2024 9:2,3,3,4,5
23:4,14 28:4	waiting 59:3,4	37:23,24 38:3		9:5 10:15,20
30:22,24 31:1	wall 9:15	38:3,4 43:16	X	10:23,24,24
31:6 33:2 37:6	want 8:19 14:3,4	45:20 46:9		11:1,2 14:6
44:19 47:20,22	21:17,18 23:5	48:8 49:7,12	Y	19:17 24:23
48:7,13 52:16	23:16,19 27:24	52:7 54:18	Yeah 6:16 25:22	26:7 63:14
52:16 53:1,14	30:12 31:6,7	57:10,11,14,21	31:2 63:3,16	2025 1:8 2:4
54:12 55:3,10	31:11,13 32:5	68:24 69:1	year 17:2 19:13	20th 6:13 72:19
	32:6,18 33:15		19:17 52:21	220 16:18
56:20 60:2,5,8	52.0,10 33.13	71:17,19		23 9:4,5 10:24
	l	I	I	I

			Page 8/
11.1	I	I	
11:1			
24 59:5,5			
25 18:3			
26 9:3 10:24			
28th 13:13,15			
38:16,17 39:2			
42:5			
3			
3 27:15 65:9			
30 1:9 51:8 52:7			
30 1.9 31.0 32.7			
4			
4.9 17:3			
40 47:6			
5			
5 1:8 2:3 17:3			
5:00 1:9 8:11			
11:17,19 34:19			
5:05 5:5			
5:55 5:7			
6			
6:15 21:18			
60 16:21 52:7			
60O10 41:13			
68 16:18			
7			
-			
7:16 73:6			
8			
8 31:22			
89 70:4,5,6			
9			
9 9:3 10:23			
90 52:7			
91 70:4			