MINUTES OF THE PLAN COMMISSION & ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS OF THE VILLAGE OF SOUTH BARRINGTON Held Monday, September 18, 2023 7:00 P.M.

CALL TO ORDER

Chairman McCombie called the meeting to order at 7:01 p.m. and requested a roll call.

PRESENT:

Commissioners Present:	Abri, Gillis, Murarka, Kazi, Fox and Chairman McCombie
Commissioners Absent:	Kwasek
Officials present:	Mayor McCombie and Trustee Abbate
Staff present:	Village Attorney Erin Kiernat, Village Engineer Natalie Karney, Finance
-	Director Wayde Frerichs, Consulting Engineer Todd Shaffer and Village
	Administrator Robert Palmer

A quorum was present.

PUBLIC COMMENT NOTE:

A court reporter was present to record all public comments. A copy of the court reporter's transcript will be attached to and made a part of these minutes when made available.

MINUTES

A. Approval of the Plan Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals Meeting Minutes (Stenographer Transcript) of June 12, 2023.

Motion was made by Gillis to approve the Plan Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals Meeting Minutes of June 12, 2023. Second was made by Murarka. Chairman McCombie asked if there were any comments regarding the minutes. Abri commented that the minutes did not reflect the 'Cricket Field' discussion, specifically when he had asked the Park District Executive Director Jay Morgan if the Village had granted permission to cut and fill-in areas of the cricket field. (Morgan responded at the time that the Village had not given permission.) Abri also commented that the discussion regarding the number of parking spaces for the cricket field to be granted by the Arboretum shopping center was not included in the minutes. Abri also noted that the Arboretum, through its representative at the meeting (Property Manager Cory Born), rescinded and corrected the cricket field. Abri requested that the minutes be amended to reflect the two items that he outlined. Chairman McCombie then requested a roll-call vote on the amended minutes (Gillis amended his motion to approve the minutes of June 12, 2023 to reflect the changes proposed by Abri. Second to the amended minutes was made by Murarka).

<u>Roll Call:</u> Abri – Aye, Fox – Abstain, Gillis – Aye, Kazi – Aye, Murarka – Aye and Chairman McCombie – Aye. Motion was approved.

B. Approval of the Plan Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals Meeting Minutes (Stenographer Transcript) of July 18, 2023.

Abri stated that he had not received the minutes of July 18, 2023. Chairman McCombie polled the other members of the Plan Commission in regard to the July 18, 2023 minutes. Since it was unclear if the minutes had been distributed, consensus was to 'hold' the approval of the July 18, 2023 Plan Commission minutes and have them distributed and placed on the next meeting agenda for approval. No further discussion on this item.

C. Approval of the Plan Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals Meeting Minutes (Stenographer Transcript) of July 20, 2023.

Motion was made by Abri to approve the Plan Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals Meeting Minutes of July 20, 2023. Second was made by Kazi. Chairman McCombie asked for a roll-call vote on the approval of the minutes of July 20, 2023.

<u>Roll Call:</u> Abri – Aye, Fox – Abstain, Gillis – Aye, Kazi – Aye, Murarka – Aye and Chairman McCombie – Aye. Motion was approved.

D. Approval of the Plan Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals Meeting Minutes (Stenographer Transcript) of August 2, 2023.

Motion was made by Abri to approve the Plan Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals Meeting Minutes of July 20, 2023. Second was made by Gillis. Chairman McCombie asked for a roll-call vote on the approval of the minutes of August 2, 2023.

<u>Roll Call:</u> Abri – Aye, Fox – Aye, Gillis – Aye, Kazi – Aye, Murarka – Aye and Chairman McCombie – Aye. Motion was approved.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Those present were invited to address the Plan Commission with comments and questions.

PUBLIC HEARING AGENDA ITEMS

A. A proposal for rezoning and subdivision for the property located at 206 West Higgins Road, South Barrington, Illinois – Village Enclave of South Barrington Phase II (Elias)

Chairman McCombie requested a motion to open the Public Hearing for the proposal for rezoning and subdivision for the property located at 206 West Higgins Road, South Barrington, Illinois – Village Enclave of South Barrington Phase II (Elias). Motion was made by Gillis to open the public hearing, seconded by Abri.

<u>Roll Call:</u> Abri – Aye, Fox – Aye, Gillis – Aye, Kazi – Aye, Murarka – Aye and Chairman McCombie – Aye. Motion was approved.

Chairman McCombie then asked for the petitioner to present and describe the proposed development. Mr. Joe Elias addressed the Plan Commission and stated that he is seeking final approval for the 6.4-acre parcel to be adjoined to the existing Enclave development. The additional property would be subdivided into 4 lots. Chairman McCombie commented on the amenities added to the subdivision, specifically the 'paddle' court. Mr. Elias explained that the sport will be very popular. Chairman McCombie requested a report from staff and Village Engineer Karney gave an overview of her review of the application and recommendation to approve. Chairman McCombie requested comments from those in attendance regarding the petition and there were no persons present that spoke in regard to the petition. Chairman McCombie then requested a motion to close the public hearing. Motion was made to close the Public Hearing by Abri and seconded by Murarka.

<u>Roll Call:</u> Abri – Aye, Fox – Aye, Gillis – Aye, Kazi – Aye, Murarka – Aye and Chairman McCombie – Aye. Motion was approved.

Chairman McCombie then invited discussion regarding the petition from among the Plan Commissioners. The Commission briefly discussed the petition, noting that the property was always thought to be a natural addition to the Enclave development. Having no further discussion, Chairman McCombie requested a motion to approve the proposed rezoning and subdivision for the property located at 206 West Higgins Road, South Barrington, Illinois – Village Enclave of South Barrington Phase II (Elias). Motion was made by Kazi to recommend approval of the proposal for rezoning and subdivision for the property located at 206 West Higgins Road, South Barrington, Illinois – Village Enclave of South Barrington Phase II (Elias). Second was made by Murarka. Chairman McCombie requested a roll-call vote:

<u>Roll Call:</u> Abri – Aye, Fox – Aye, Gillis – Aye, Kazi – Aye, Murarka – Aye and Chairman McCombie – Aye. Motion was approved.

PRESENTATION

A. A Presentation for a Proposed Residential Development located at Mundhank and Freeman Roads.

Chairman McCombie invited Ram Prashantha to address the Plan Commission on his proposal for a residential development at the southwest corner of Mundhank and Freeman. Chairman McCombie noted that this was not a Public Hearing and the Plan Commission was to hear the status of the proposal in preparation for establishing a Public Hearing Date. It was also noted that the proposed development would be a PUD and that Mr. Prashantha had submitted all the required documentation under the Village Code for consideration. Mr. Prashantha described the development and provided some visual illustrations of the 33 proposed homes on the 15-acre site. Following Mr. Prashantha's presentation, Chairman McCombie asked for staff comments. Todd Shaffer of Haeger Engineering addressed the Plan Commission with several comments on items to be reviewed and more information to be provided. One major item was information received from Hoffman Estates, who has jurisdiction over Freeman, that they would not support the single point of ingress/egress off of Freeman for the subdivision. This would require a re-configuration of the ingress/egress for the property. Shaffer commented on tree preservation in light of the amount of grading that would need to be done on the site. He also commented on the inability to provide outdoor space (i.e. patios) based on the configuration of the subdivision. Grading was noted to be significant and retaining walls would be required and should be shown on the site plan. The number of mailbox clusters was questioned, the proposal was for two locations and was viewed as inconvenient for some of the homes located deep within the two cul-de-sacs. It was noted that storm water volume control basins would be wet bottom basins as proposed on the plan. Setback requirements on Mundhank would need to be confirmed and the utility plan should add the storm sewers. A geotech report has not been submitted, there are wetlands on-site and the Village will rely on Hay & Associates to review this aspect of the proposal. Cook County Forest Preserve review is required, with the property across the street from the Paul Douglas Preserve. The construction schedule, it was noted, was not realistic and would need revision.

Chairman McCombie thanked Mr. Shaffer for his report and opened up the meeting for further comments. Several members of the audience had questions and comments regarding the proposal. Comments made were concerning the size of the homes being proposed and also the impact on water resources and storm water management.

Chairman McCombie closed the item on the agenda, noting that there is work to be done on the proposal.

Chairman then asked the Commissioners if there was any Old Business to discuss or New Business to discuss.

OLD BUSINESS – None NEW BUSINESS – None

ADJOURNMENT

Having no further business, Chairman McCombie asked for a motion to adjourn the meeting. Commissioner Abri made a Motion to adjourn the meeting. Commissioner Gillis seconded the motion. The motion passed by unanimous vote and the meeting adjourned at 8:19 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,

Robert L. Palmer Village Administrator

These Minutes were approved this

1st day of November, 2023



PLAN COMMISSION/ ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

VILLAGE OF SOUTH BARRINGTON

Date: September 18, 2023

Court Reporter: DEBORAH R. SANTI, CSR

Paszkiewicz Court Reporting Phone: 847-598-0322 Toll-Free: 855-595-3577 www.spreporting.com

Page 1

PLAN COMMISSION/ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS VILLAGE OF SOUTH BARRINGTON

PUBLIC HEARING/MEETING

Proposed Rezoning and Subdivision For the Property 206 West Higgins Road, South Barrington Illinois

PRESENTATION

Proposed Residential Development Located at Mundhank and Freeman Roads

* * * * *

Monday, September 18, 2023 7:00 p.m.

	Page 2		Page 4
1	PLAN COMMISSION/ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS	1	from June 12th for our meeting for that.
2	VILLAGE OF SOUTH BARRINGTON	2	Motion to approve?
3	PUBLIC HEARING/MEETING	3	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: You have a motion.
4	Proposed Rezoning and Subdivision For the Property	4	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Second?
5	206 West Higgins Road, South Barrington Illinois	5	COMMISSIONER ABRI: I have a question on the
6	PRESENTATION	6	minutes of June 12th.
7			CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Well, just a
8	Proposed Residential Development Located at Mundhank and Freeman Roads	8	second. Let's get a second first and then ask the
9	* * * *	9	question.
10	Monday, September 18, 2023	10	Second?
11	7:00 p.m.	11	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes, I second.
12	PLAN COMMISSION VILLAGE OF SOUTH BARRINGTON	12	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: So please.
13	* * * * *	13	COMMISSIONER ABRI: So there was this
14		14	discussion about Cricket Field, and I asked the
15	Held on Monday, September 18, 2023, commencing at the hour of approximately 7:00 p.m. at	15	question from the executive vice president whether he
16	30 South Barrington Road, South Barrington, Illinois.	16	had approval from the Village, because I saw him
17	PLAN COMMISSION PRESENT:		
18	Michael McCombie, Chairman	17	doing some activity out there, and I questioned
19	Anthony Abri, Commissioner Louise Fox, Commissioner	18	whether he had the approval, and he said he didn't.
	David Gillis, Commissioner	19	Later, I explained to the Mayor, that I thought
20	Shahzad Kazi, Commissioner Narayan Murarka, Commissioner	20	that I was kind of curious because he cleared out
21	-	21	that area and he did some cut and fill getting it
22	ALSO PRESENT: Erin Kiernat, Village Attorney	22	ready for whatever without approval. It can be money
23	Bob Palmer, Village Administrator Natalie Karney, Village Engineer	23	down the drain, but at any rate, that was not
24	Natane Kanley, vinage Engineer	24	mentioned here.
	Page 3		Page 5
1	_	1	
1	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So thanks	1	Also, my notes indicated that there
2	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So thanks everybody for attending.	2	Also, my notes indicated that there were They had approval for 70 parking spots from
2 3	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So thanks everybody for attending. This is a public hearing and a	2 3	Also, my notes indicated that there were They had approval for 70 parking spots from the Arboretum, and not 30. It's It's quoted here
2 3 4	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So thanks everybody for attending. This is a public hearing and a meeting on the Planning Commission/Zoning Board of	2 3 4	Also, my notes indicated that there were They had approval for 70 parking spots from the Arboretum, and not 30. It's It's quoted here as 30. And their leasing agent, the Arboretum
2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So thanks everybody for attending. This is a public hearing and a meeting on the Planning Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals for Monday, September 18th.	2 3 4 5	Also, my notes indicated that there were They had approval for 70 parking spots from the Arboretum, and not 30. It's It's quoted here as 30. And their leasing agent, the Arboretum leasing agent, took that 17 away from the park
2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So thanks everybody for attending. This is a public hearing and a meeting on the Planning Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals for Monday, September 18th. Roll call?	2 3 4 5 6	Also, my notes indicated that there were They had approval for 70 parking spots from the Arboretum, and not 30. It's It's quoted here as 30. And their leasing agent, the Arboretum leasing agent, took that 17 away from the park district during that very meeting, and that's not
2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So thanks everybody for attending. This is a public hearing and a meeting on the Planning Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals for Monday, September 18th. Roll call? VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri?	2 3 4 5 6 7	Also, my notes indicated that there were They had approval for 70 parking spots from the Arboretum, and not 30. It's It's quoted here as 30. And their leasing agent, the Arboretum leasing agent, took that 17 away from the park district during that very meeting, and that's not also indicated. So these were kind of omissions that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So thanks everybody for attending. This is a public hearing and a meeting on the Planning Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals for Monday, September 18th. Roll call? VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Also, my notes indicated that there were They had approval for 70 parking spots from the Arboretum, and not 30. It's It's quoted here as 30. And their leasing agent, the Arboretum leasing agent, took that 17 away from the park district during that very meeting, and that's not also indicated. So these were kind of omissions that I would like to see corrected first.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So thanks everybody for attending. This is a public hearing and a meeting on the Planning Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals for Monday, September 18th. Roll call? VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox is absent.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Also, my notes indicated that there were They had approval for 70 parking spots from the Arboretum, and not 30. It's It's quoted here as 30. And their leasing agent, the Arboretum leasing agent, took that 17 away from the park district during that very meeting, and that's not also indicated. So these were kind of omissions that I would like to see corrected first. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. And I do remember
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So thanks everybody for attending. This is a public hearing and a meeting on the Planning Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals for Monday, September 18th. Roll call? VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox is absent. Gillis?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Also, my notes indicated that there were They had approval for 70 parking spots from the Arboretum, and not 30. It's It's quoted here as 30. And their leasing agent, the Arboretum leasing agent, took that 17 away from the park district during that very meeting, and that's not also indicated. So these were kind of omissions that I would like to see corrected first. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. And I do remember that it was originally I don't remember if it was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So thanks everybody for attending. This is a public hearing and a meeting on the Planning Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals for Monday, September 18th. Roll call? VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox is absent. Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Also, my notes indicated that there were They had approval for 70 parking spots from the Arboretum, and not 30. It's It's quoted here as 30. And their leasing agent, the Arboretum leasing agent, took that 17 away from the park district during that very meeting, and that's not also indicated. So these were kind of omissions that I would like to see corrected first. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. And I do remember that it was originally I don't remember if it was 17, but it could well have been 17. And then they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So thanks everybody for attending. This is a public hearing and a meeting on the Planning Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals for Monday, September 18th. Roll call? VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox is absent. Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Here. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Also, my notes indicated that there were They had approval for 70 parking spots from the Arboretum, and not 30. It's It's quoted here as 30. And their leasing agent, the Arboretum leasing agent, took that 17 away from the park district during that very meeting, and that's not also indicated. So these were kind of omissions that I would like to see corrected first. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. And I do remember that it was originally I don't remember if it was 17, but it could well have been 17. And then they did say 30, and I know Arboretum corrected them and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So thanks everybody for attending. This is a public hearing and a meeting on the Planning Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals for Monday, September 18th. Roll call? VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox is absent. Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Here. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Also, my notes indicated that there were They had approval for 70 parking spots from the Arboretum, and not 30. It's It's quoted here as 30. And their leasing agent, the Arboretum leasing agent, took that 17 away from the park district during that very meeting, and that's not also indicated. So these were kind of omissions that I would like to see corrected first. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. And I do remember that it was originally I don't remember if it was 17, but it could well have been 17. And then they did say 30, and I know Arboretum corrected them and said no, we don't have 30 spots for you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So thanks everybody for attending. This is a public hearing and a meeting on the Planning Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals for Monday, September 18th. Roll call? VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox is absent. Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Here. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: And Murarka?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Also, my notes indicated that there were They had approval for 70 parking spots from the Arboretum, and not 30. It's It's quoted here as 30. And their leasing agent, the Arboretum leasing agent, took that 17 away from the park district during that very meeting, and that's not also indicated. So these were kind of omissions that I would like to see corrected first. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. And I do remember that it was originally I don't remember if it was 17, but it could well have been 17. And then they did say 30, and I know Arboretum corrected them and said no, we don't have 30 spots for you. COMMISSIONER ABRI: Arboretum took away the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So thanks everybody for attending. This is a public hearing and a meeting on the Planning Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals for Monday, September 18th. Roll call? VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox is absent. Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Here. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: And Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Also, my notes indicated that there were They had approval for 70 parking spots from the Arboretum, and not 30. It's It's quoted here as 30. And their leasing agent, the Arboretum leasing agent, took that 17 away from the park district during that very meeting, and that's not also indicated. So these were kind of omissions that I would like to see corrected first. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. And I do remember that it was originally I don't remember if it was 17, but it could well have been 17. And then they did say 30, and I know Arboretum corrected them and said no, we don't have 30 spots for you. COMMISSIONER ABRI: Arboretum took away the 17 even. So they had no parking no egress and no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So thanks everybody for attending. This is a public hearing and a meeting on the Planning Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals for Monday, September 18th. Roll call? VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox is absent. Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Here. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Here. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: And Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Here. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: And McCombie?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Also, my notes indicated that there were They had approval for 70 parking spots from the Arboretum, and not 30. It's It's quoted here as 30. And their leasing agent, the Arboretum leasing agent, took that 17 away from the park district during that very meeting, and that's not also indicated. So these were kind of omissions that I would like to see corrected first. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. And I do remember that it was originally I don't remember if it was 17, but it could well have been 17. And then they did say 30, and I know Arboretum corrected them and said no, we don't have 30 spots for you. COMMISSIONER ABRI: Arboretum took away the 17 even. So they had no parking no egress and no entrance. There was a lot of homework that they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So thanks everybody for attending. This is a public hearing and a meeting on the Planning Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals for Monday, September 18th. Roll call? VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox is absent. Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Here. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Here. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: And Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Here. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: And McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Also, my notes indicated that there were They had approval for 70 parking spots from the Arboretum, and not 30. It's It's quoted here as 30. And their leasing agent, the Arboretum leasing agent, took that 17 away from the park district during that very meeting, and that's not also indicated. So these were kind of omissions that I would like to see corrected first. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. And I do remember that it was originally I don't remember if it was 17, but it could well have been 17. And then they did say 30, and I know Arboretum corrected them and said no, we don't have 30 spots for you. COMMISSIONER ABRI: Arboretum took away the 17 even. So they had no parking no egress and no entrance. There was a lot of homework that they needed to do, and that ought to be included in here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So thanks everybody for attending. This is a public hearing and a meeting on the Planning Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals for Monday, September 18th. Roll call? VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox is absent. Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Here. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: And Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Here. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: And McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Also, my notes indicated that there were They had approval for 70 parking spots from the Arboretum, and not 30. It's It's quoted here as 30. And their leasing agent, the Arboretum leasing agent, took that 17 away from the park district during that very meeting, and that's not also indicated. So these were kind of omissions that I would like to see corrected first. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. And I do remember that it was originally I don't remember if it was 17, but it could well have been 17. And then they did say 30, and I know Arboretum corrected them and said no, we don't have 30 spots for you. COMMISSIONER ABRI: Arboretum took away the 17 even. So they had no parking no egress and no entrance. There was a lot of homework that they needed to do, and that ought to be included in here. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Well, let's amend
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So thanks everybody for attending. This is a public hearing and a meeting on the Planning Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals for Monday, September 18th. Roll call? VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox is absent. Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Here. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: And Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Here. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: And McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Here. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: We have a quorum.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Also, my notes indicated that there were They had approval for 70 parking spots from the Arboretum, and not 30. It's It's quoted here as 30. And their leasing agent, the Arboretum leasing agent, took that 17 away from the park district during that very meeting, and that's not also indicated. So these were kind of omissions that I would like to see corrected first. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. And I do remember that it was originally I don't remember if it was 17, but it could well have been 17. And then they did say 30, and I know Arboretum corrected them and said no, we don't have 30 spots for you. COMMISSIONER ABRI: Arboretum took away the 17 even. So they had no parking no egress and no entrance. There was a lot of homework that they needed to do, and that ought to be included in here. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Well, let's amend the minutes then to clarify that there was work that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So thanks everybody for attending. This is a public hearing and a meeting on the Planning Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals for Monday, September 18th. Roll call? VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox is absent. Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Here. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: And Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Here. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: And Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Here. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: And McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Here. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: We have a quorum.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Also, my notes indicated that there were They had approval for 70 parking spots from the Arboretum, and not 30. It's It's quoted here as 30. And their leasing agent, the Arboretum leasing agent, took that 17 away from the park district during that very meeting, and that's not also indicated. So these were kind of omissions that I would like to see corrected first. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. And I do remember that it was originally I don't remember if it was 17, but it could well have been 17. And then they did say 30, and I know Arboretum corrected them and said no, we don't have 30 spots for you. COMMISSIONER ABRI: Arboretum took away the 17 even. So they had no parking no egress and no entrance. There was a lot of homework that they needed to do, and that ought to be included in here. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Well, let's amend the minutes then to clarify that there was work that was done on the land without approval, and I guess
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So thanks everybody for attending. This is a public hearing and a meeting on the Planning Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals for Monday, September 18th. Roll call? VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox is absent. Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Here. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: And Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Here. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: And McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Here. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: We have a quorum. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: So we have a quorum. So the first item of business	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Also, my notes indicated that there were They had approval for 70 parking spots from the Arboretum, and not 30. It's It's quoted here as 30. And their leasing agent, the Arboretum leasing agent, took that 17 away from the park district during that very meeting, and that's not also indicated. So these were kind of omissions that I would like to see corrected first. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. And I do remember that it was originally I don't remember if it was 17, but it could well have been 17. And then they did say 30, and I know Arboretum corrected them and said no, we don't have 30 spots for you. COMMISSIONER ABRI: Arboretum took away the 17 even. So they had no parking no egress and no entrance. There was a lot of homework that they needed to do, and that ought to be included in here. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Well, let's amend the minutes then to clarify that there was work that was done on the land without approval, and I guess anybody can really do work on the land without
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So thanks everybody for attending. This is a public hearing and a meeting on the Planning Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals for Monday, September 18th. Roll call? VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox is absent. Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Here. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Here. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: And Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Here. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: And McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Here. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: We have a quorum. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: So we have a quorum. So the first item of business tonight is the minutes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Also, my notes indicated that there were They had approval for 70 parking spots from the Arboretum, and not 30. It's It's quoted here as 30. And their leasing agent, the Arboretum leasing agent, took that 17 away from the park district during that very meeting, and that's not also indicated. So these were kind of omissions that I would like to see corrected first. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. And I do remember that it was originally I don't remember if it was 17, but it could well have been 17. And then they did say 30, and I know Arboretum corrected them and said no, we don't have 30 spots for you. COMMISSIONER ABRI: Arboretum took away the 17 even. So they had no parking no egress and no entrance. There was a lot of homework that they needed to do, and that ought to be included in here. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Well, let's amend the minutes then to clarify that there was work that was done on the land without approval, and I guess anybody can really do work on the land without approval. I don't see that as a situation. But it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So thanks everybody for attending. This is a public hearing and a meeting on the Planning Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals for Monday, September 18th. Roll call? VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox is absent. Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Here. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: And Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Here. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: And McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Here. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: We have a quorum. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: So we have a quorum. So the first item of business	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Also, my notes indicated that there were They had approval for 70 parking spots from the Arboretum, and not 30. It's It's quoted here as 30. And their leasing agent, the Arboretum leasing agent, took that 17 away from the park district during that very meeting, and that's not also indicated. So these were kind of omissions that I would like to see corrected first. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. And I do remember that it was originally I don't remember if it was 17, but it could well have been 17. And then they did say 30, and I know Arboretum corrected them and said no, we don't have 30 spots for you. COMMISSIONER ABRI: Arboretum took away the 17 even. So they had no parking no egress and no entrance. There was a lot of homework that they needed to do, and that ought to be included in here. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Well, let's amend the minutes then to clarify that there was work that was done on the land without approval, and I guess anybody can really do work on the land without

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

	Раде б		Page 8
1	got. So I think we'll amend it and say there were 17	1	read them. So I apologize about not getting them out
2	spaces that the Arboretum originally gave them, while	2	to everybody. Let's not vote on this until the next
3	they stated that they had 30. And then at the	3	meeting. We'll wait for the next meeting, and we
4	meeting, the Arboretum removed the ones that they had	4	will be sure Bob, would you make sure that we get
5	given them.	5	those out?
6	COMMISSIONER ABRI: Right.	6	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: I will.
7	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So we'll add those	7	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: And how about for the
8	to the minutes, Bob.	8	July 20th meeting, did anybody get those minutes?
9	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Yes.	9	COMMISSIONER ABRI: Yeah.
10	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Any other changes	10	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: You got those minutes?
11	to the minutes of the meeting?	11	COMMISSIONER ABRI: Yeah.
12	(No response.)	12	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Everybody else?
13	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Then a vote to	13	COMMISSIONER FOX: I wasn't there so
14	approve with the changes.	14	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yeah.
15	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: You have a vote.	15	So at this time are there any
16	COMMISSIONER ABRI: I second.	16	changes or is there a motion to approve the minutes
17	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Roll call?	17	from July 20th?
18	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri?	18	COMMISSIONER ABRI: I move to approve.
19	COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye.	19	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Second?
20	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis?	20	COMMISSIONER KAZI: Second.
21	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye.	21	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Roll call?
22	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi?	22	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri?
23	COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye.	23	COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye.
24	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Murarka?	24	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis?
	Page 7		
	rage /		Page 9
1	_	1	-
1 2	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Aye.	1	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye.
2	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie?	2	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi?
	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Aye.		COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye.
2 3	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes.	2 3	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye.
2 3 4	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox?	2 3 4	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox?
2 3 4 5	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: I'll abstain from this	2 3 4 5	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Abstain.
2 3 4 5 6	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: I'll abstain from this one. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So the next	2 3 4 5 6	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Abstain. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Murarka?
2 3 4 5 6 7	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: I'll abstain from this one.	2 3 4 5 6 7	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Abstain. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: I'll abstain from this one. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So the next minutes that we're going to talk about are the approval of the Plan Commission/Zoning Board of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Abstain. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: I'll abstain from this one. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So the next minutes that we're going to talk about are the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Abstain. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: I'll abstain from this one. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So the next minutes that we're going to talk about are the approval of the Plan Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals meeting minutes of July 18th.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Abstain. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Minutes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: I'll abstain from this one. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So the next minutes that we're going to talk about are the approval of the Plan Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals meeting minutes of July 18th. Did everybody get a chance to read	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Abstain. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Minutes approved.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: I'll abstain from this one. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So the next minutes that we're going to talk about are the approval of the Plan Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals meeting minutes of July 18th. Did everybody get a chance to read those?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Abstain. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Minutes approved. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Now, the next
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: I'll abstain from this one. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So the next minutes that we're going to talk about are the approval of the Plan Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals meeting minutes of July 18th. Did everybody get a chance to read those? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Well, again, I didn't,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Abstain. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Minutes approved. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Now, the next minute meetings we've got are from August 2nd. Did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: I'll abstain from this one. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So the next minutes that we're going to talk about are the approval of the Plan Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals meeting minutes of July 18th. Did everybody get a chance to read those? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Well, again, I didn't, and I asked for a copy of it, and Ashley told me that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Abstain. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Minutes approved. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Now, the next minute meetings we've got are from August 2nd. Did everybody get those?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: I'll abstain from this one. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So the next minutes that we're going to talk about are the approval of the Plan Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals meeting minutes of July 18th. Did everybody get a chance to read those? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Well, again, I didn't, and I asked for a copy of it, and Ashley told me that it's not available.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Abstain. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Minutes approved. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Now, the next minute meetings we've got are from August 2nd. Did everybody get those? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: I'll abstain from this one. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So the next minutes that we're going to talk about are the approval of the Plan Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals meeting minutes of July 18th. Did everybody get a chance to read those? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Well, again, I didn't, and I asked for a copy of it, and Ashley told me that it's not available. COMMISSIONER FOX: I think they were going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Abstain. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Minutes approved. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Now, the next minute meetings we've got are from August 2nd. Did everybody get those? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Yes. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Motion to approve?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: I'll abstain from this one. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So the next minutes that we're going to talk about are the approval of the Plan Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals meeting minutes of July 18th. Did everybody get a chance to read those? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Well, again, I didn't, and I asked for a copy of it, and Ashley told me that it's not available. COMMISSIONER FOX: I think they were going to have it here. She said it was going to be here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Abstain. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Minutes approved. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Now, the next minute meetings we've got are from August 2nd. Did everybody get those? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Yes. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Motion to approve? COMMISSIONER ABRI: I make a motion to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: I'll abstain from this one. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So the next minutes that we're going to talk about are the approval of the Plan Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals meeting minutes of July 18th. Did everybody get a chance to read those? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Well, again, I didn't, and I asked for a copy of it, and Ashley told me that it's not available. COMMISSIONER FOX: I think they were going to have it here. She said it was going to be here. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: She told me	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Abstain. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Minutes approved. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Now, the next minute meetings we've got are from August 2nd. Did everybody get those? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Yes. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Motion to approve? COMMISSIONER ABRI: I make a motion to approve.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: I'll abstain from this one. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So the next minutes that we're going to talk about are the approval of the Plan Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals meeting minutes of July 18th. Did everybody get a chance to read those? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Well, again, I didn't, and I asked for a copy of it, and Ashley told me that it's not available. COMMISSIONER FOX: I think they were going to have it here. She said it was going to be here. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: She told me before the meeting it had been distributed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Abstain. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Minutes approved. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Now, the next minute meetings we've got are from August 2nd. Did everybody get those? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Yes. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Motion to approve? COMMISSIONER ABRI: I make a motion to approve. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Second?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: I'll abstain from this one. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So the next minutes that we're going to talk about are the approval of the Plan Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals meeting minutes of July 18th. Did everybody get a chance to read those? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Well, again, I didn't, and I asked for a copy of it, and Ashley told me that it's not available. COMMISSIONER FOX: I think they were going to have it here. She said it was going to be here. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: She told me before the meeting it had been distributed. COMMISSIONER ABRI: I sent her an e-mail	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Abstain. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Minutes approved. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Now, the next minute meetings we've got are from August 2nd. Did everybody get those? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Yes. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Motion to approve? COMMISSIONER ABRI: I make a motion to approve. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Second? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Second.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: I'll abstain from this one. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So the next minutes that we're going to talk about are the approval of the Plan Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals meeting minutes of July 18th. Did everybody get a chance to read those? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Well, again, I didn't, and I asked for a copy of it, and Ashley told me that it's not available. COMMISSIONER FOX: I think they were going to have it here. She said it was going to be here. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: She told me before the meeting it had been distributed. COMMISSIONER ABRI: I sent her an e-mail yesterday, and she responded today saying that it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Abstain. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Minutes approved. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Now, the next minute meetings we've got are from August 2nd. Did everybody get those? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Yes. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Motion to approve? COMMISSIONER ABRI: I make a motion to approve. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Second? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Second. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. All those in

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

	Page 10		Page 12
1	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox?	1	development which has currently 15 lots. This will
2	COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes.	2	add four lots to that subdivision. And I know that
3	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis?	3	our consultants are here, tonight, and then I also
4	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye.	4	know that they have been working closely with village
5	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi?	5	staff and engineering for the approval of the
6	COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye.	6	engineering plans that we have been working on for
7	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Murarka?	7	several months now.
8	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes.	8	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. I was very
9	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie?	9	interested to see this. You're building a padel
10	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes.	10	court instead of another home.
11	So coming out of this we need to get	11	MR. ELIAS: Yeah, it's actually a court.
12	those minutes from July 18th sent out to everybody.	12	Have you heard of it? Have you played it before?
13	Okay. The first item on the agenda	13	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I have not.
14	is a public hearing for a proposed rezoning and	14	MR. ELIAS: So this is a little different
15	subdivision for the property located at 206 West	15	than pickle ball and it's a combination between
16	Higgins Road, South Barrington, Illinois, The Village	16	similar to pickle ball and racquetball and a little
17	Enclave of South Barrington Phase 2.	17	bit of tennis but on a smaller court, similar that
18	Motion to open the public hearing?	18	you would have
19	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: You have a motion.	19	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Where they have sides,
20	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Second?	20	correct?
21	COMMISSIONER ABRI: I second.	21	MR. ELIAS: The back portion and the sides
22	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Roll call.	22	have glass, and you could play off of the glass.
23	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri?	23	It's going to sweep the country. It's the next craze
24	COMMISSIONER ABRI: Yes.	24	sport. So we're looking forward to it.
	Demo 11	1	Demo 12
	Page 11		Page 13
1	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox?	1	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. We heard it here
2	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes.	2	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. We heard it here first.
2 3	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis?	2 3	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. We heard it here first. MR. ELIAS: Yes.
2 3 4	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye.	2 3 4	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. We heard it here first. MR. ELIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So let's have the
2 3 4 5	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi?	2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. We heard it here first. MR. ELIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So let's have the village discuss, if that's all right, we'll have the
2 3 4 5 6	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye.	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. We heard it here first. MR. ELIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So let's have the village discuss, if that's all right, we'll have the village come up and then we'll ask questions as they
2 3 4 5 6 7	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Murarka?	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. We heard it here first. MR. ELIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So let's have the village discuss, if that's all right, we'll have the village come up and then we'll ask questions as they go along.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. We heard it here first. MR. ELIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So let's have the village discuss, if that's all right, we'll have the village come up and then we'll ask questions as they go along. (Ms. Karney sworn.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. We heard it here first. MR. ELIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So let's have the village discuss, if that's all right, we'll have the village come up and then we'll ask questions as they go along. (Ms. Karney sworn.) MS. KARNEY: I'm Natalie Karney, K-a-r-n-e-y,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. We heard it here first. MR. ELIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So let's have the village discuss, if that's all right, we'll have the village come up and then we'll ask questions as they go along. (Ms. Karney sworn.) MS. KARNEY: I'm Natalie Karney, K-a-r-n-e-y, Village Engineer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. Okay. I think what we'll do is as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. We heard it here first. MR. ELIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So let's have the village discuss, if that's all right, we'll have the village come up and then we'll ask questions as they go along. (Ms. Karney sworn.) MS. KARNEY: I'm Natalie Karney, K-a-r-n-e-y, Village Engineer. I think you all got a copy of my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. Okay. I think what we'll do is as people come up to talk about this and to discuss it,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. We heard it here first. MR. ELIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So let's have the village discuss, if that's all right, we'll have the village come up and then we'll ask questions as they go along. (Ms. Karney sworn.) MS. KARNEY: I'm Natalie Karney, K-a-r-n-e-y, Village Engineer. I think you all got a copy of my staff report. The first part of it summarizes what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. Okay. I think what we'll do is as people come up to talk about this and to discuss it, we will swear them in at that time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. We heard it here first. MR. ELIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So let's have the village discuss, if that's all right, we'll have the village come up and then we'll ask questions as they go along. (Ms. Karney sworn.) MS. KARNEY: I'm Natalie Karney, K-a-r-n-e-y, Village Engineer. I think you all got a copy of my staff report. The first part of it summarizes what Joe Elias just said. And one of the things we looked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. Okay. I think what we'll do is as people come up to talk about this and to discuss it, we will swear them in at that time. So is someone going to give a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. We heard it here first. MR. ELIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So let's have the village discuss, if that's all right, we'll have the village come up and then we'll ask questions as they go along. (Ms. Karney sworn.) MS. KARNEY: I'm Natalie Karney, K-a-r-n-e-y, Village Engineer. I think you all got a copy of my staff report. The first part of it summarizes what Joe Elias just said. And one of the things we looked at, of course, was this subdivision in line with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. Okay. I think what we'll do is as people come up to talk about this and to discuss it, we will swear them in at that time. So is someone going to give a presentation?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. We heard it here first. MR. ELIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So let's have the village discuss, if that's all right, we'll have the village come up and then we'll ask questions as they go along. (Ms. Karney sworn.) MS. KARNEY: I'm Natalie Karney, K-a-r-n-e-y, Village Engineer. I think you all got a copy of my staff report. The first part of it summarizes what Joe Elias just said. And one of the things we looked at, of course, was this subdivision in line with the existing subdivision, and it is, and all of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. Okay. I think what we'll do is as people come up to talk about this and to discuss it, we will swear them in at that time. So is someone going to give a presentation? MR. ELIAS: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. We heard it here first. MR. ELIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So let's have the village discuss, if that's all right, we'll have the village come up and then we'll ask questions as they go along. (Ms. Karney sworn.) MS. KARNEY: I'm Natalie Karney, K-a-r-n-e-y, Village Engineer. I think you all got a copy of my staff report. The first part of it summarizes what Joe Elias just said. And one of the things we looked at, of course, was this subdivision in line with the existing subdivision, and it is, and all of the parameters and so on that go along with the zoning,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. Okay. I think what we'll do is as people come up to talk about this and to discuss it, we will swear them in at that time. So is someone going to give a presentation? MR. ELIAS: Yes. Thank you Chairman McCombie. Thank	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. We heard it here first. MR. ELIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So let's have the village discuss, if that's all right, we'll have the village come up and then we'll ask questions as they go along. (Ms. Karney sworn.) MS. KARNEY: I'm Natalie Karney, K-a-r-n-e-y, Village Engineer. I think you all got a copy of my staff report. The first part of it summarizes what Joe Elias just said. And one of the things we looked at, of course, was this subdivision in line with the existing subdivision, and it is, and all of the parameters and so on that go along with the zoning, size of lot, building setbacks and that sort of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. Okay. I think what we'll do is as people come up to talk about this and to discuss it, we will swear them in at that time. So is someone going to give a presentation? MR. ELIAS: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. We heard it here first. MR. ELIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So let's have the village discuss, if that's all right, we'll have the village come up and then we'll ask questions as they go along. (Ms. Karney sworn.) MS. KARNEY: I'm Natalie Karney, K-a-r-n-e-y, Village Engineer. I think you all got a copy of my staff report. The first part of it summarizes what Joe Elias just said. And one of the things we looked at, of course, was this subdivision in line with the existing subdivision, and it is, and all of the parameters and so on that go along with the zoning, size of lot, building setbacks and that sort of thing. We looked at various maps to make sure that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CMAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. Okay. I think what we'll do is as people come up to talk about this and to discuss it, we will swear them in at that time. So is someone going to give a presentation? MR. ELIAS: Yes. Thank you Chairman McCombie. Thank you Commissioners. My name is Joe Elias. (Mr. Elias sworn.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. We heard it here first. MR. ELIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So let's have the village discuss, if that's all right, we'll have the village discuss, if that's all right, we'll have the village come up and then we'll ask questions as they go along. (Ms. Karney sworn.) MS. KARNEY: I'm Natalie Karney, K-a-r-n-e-y, Village Engineer. I think you all got a copy of my staff report. The first part of it summarizes what Joe Elias just said. And one of the things we looked at, of course, was this subdivision in line with the existing subdivision, and it is, and all of the parameters and so on that go along with the zoning, size of lot, building setbacks and that sort of thing. We looked at various maps to make sure that there weren't any infringement on wetlands or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. Okay. I think what we'll do is as people come up to talk about this and to discuss it, we will swear them in at that time. So is someone going to give a presentation? MR. ELIAS: Yes. Thank you Chairman McCombie. Thank you Commissioners. My name is Joe Elias. (Mr. Elias sworn.) MR. ELIAS: We're here before you tonight to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. We heard it here first. MR. ELIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So let's have the village discuss, if that's all right, we'll have the village discuss, if that's all right, we'll have the village come up and then we'll ask questions as they go along. (Ms. Karney sworn.) MS. KARNEY: I'm Natalie Karney, K-a-r-n-e-y, Village Engineer. I think you all got a copy of my staff report. The first part of it summarizes what Joe Elias just said. And one of the things we looked at, of course, was this subdivision in line with the existing subdivision, and it is, and all of the parameters and so on that go along with the zoning, size of lot, building setbacks and that sort of thing. We looked at various maps to make sure that there weren't any infringement on wetlands or floodplains and things like that. The other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. Okay. I think what we'll do is as people come up to talk about this and to discuss it, we will swear them in at that time. So is someone going to give a presentation? MR. ELIAS: Yes. Thank you Chairman McCombie. Thank you Commissioners. My name is Joe Elias. (Mr. Elias sworn.) MR. ELIAS: We're here before you tonight to request a final approval of our land plan and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. We heard it here first. MR. ELIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So let's have the village discuss, if that's all right, we'll have the village discuss, if that's all right, we'll have the village come up and then we'll ask questions as they go along. (Ms. Karney sworn.) MS. KARNEY: I'm Natalie Karney, K-a-r-n-e-y, Village Engineer. I think you all got a copy of my staff report. The first part of it summarizes what Joe Elias just said. And one of the things we looked at, of course, was this subdivision in line with the existing subdivision, and it is, and all of the parameters and so on that go along with the zoning, size of lot, building setbacks and that sort of thing. We looked at various maps to make sure that there weren't any infringement on wetlands or floodplains and things like that. The other organizations that need to review this including the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. Okay. I think what we'll do is as people come up to talk about this and to discuss it, we will swear them in at that time. So is someone going to give a presentation? MR. ELIAS: Yes. Thank you Chairman McCombie. Thank you Commissioners. My name is Joe Elias. (Mr. Elias sworn.) MR. ELIAS: We're here before you tonight to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. We heard it here first. MR. ELIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So let's have the village discuss, if that's all right, we'll have the village come up and then we'll ask questions as they go along. (Ms. Karney sworn.) MS. KARNEY: I'm Natalie Karney, K-a-r-n-e-y, Village Engineer. I think you all got a copy of my staff report. The first part of it summarizes what Joe Elias just said. And one of the things we looked at, of course, was this subdivision in line with the existing subdivision, and it is, and all of the parameters and so on that go along with the zoning, size of lot, building setbacks and that sort of thing. We looked at various maps to make sure that there weren't any infringement on wetlands or floodplains and things like that. The other organizations that need to review this including the North Cook County Stormwater Conservation District;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. Okay. I think what we'll do is as people come up to talk about this and to discuss it, we will swear them in at that time. So is someone going to give a presentation? MR. ELIAS: Yes. Thank you Chairman McCombie. Thank you Commissioners. My name is Joe Elias. (Mr. Elias sworn.) MR. ELIAS: We're here before you tonight to request a final approval of our land plan and subdivision of approximately 6.4 acres of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. We heard it here first. MR. ELIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So let's have the village discuss, if that's all right, we'll have the village discuss, if that's all right, we'll have the village come up and then we'll ask questions as they go along. (Ms. Karney sworn.) MS. KARNEY: I'm Natalie Karney, K-a-r-n-e-y, Village Engineer. I think you all got a copy of my staff report. The first part of it summarizes what Joe Elias just said. And one of the things we looked at, of course, was this subdivision in line with the existing subdivision, and it is, and all of the parameters and so on that go along with the zoning, size of lot, building setbacks and that sort of thing. We looked at various maps to make sure that there weren't any infringement on wetlands or floodplains and things like that. The other organizations that need to review this including the

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

	Page 14		Page 16
1	incorporated on the plans; IDOT approved their	1	around, and I'm actually glad to see that it is going
2	drainage report, and at this point all they have to	2	to come around. And I think it will be the nice
3	do is send in the bond and permit forms. And their	3	completion of the whole area, which is really good.
4	Geotechnical technician asked the applicant if they	4	Does anybody have any questions?
5	will have a person onsite during construction, and I	5	COMMISSIONER ABRI: No, I agree with you.
6	believe they agreed to do that.	6	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Dave, do you have any?
7	The other thing that's included in	7	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: I don't know what you
8	the plans is the extension of a waterway on the north	8	would do with that land if you didn't make it part of
° 9	side of the project. It comes from the Enclave Phase		· · ·
10	1 and extends across to connect into the system	10	the Enclave. It would just be by itself. It doesn't make any sense, so I think it's great.
10	-	11	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yeah. A very logical
11	that's by the former Autumn Leaves. I forget what	12	
12	they call that now Encore. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Encore.	13	approach to the land, I thought.
13	MS. KARNEY: So that is shown on the plans.	14	Okay. Does anybody else have any other comments that they would like to bring forth at
14	Jason Fowler has reviewed them. His main comment is	15	this time during the public hearing in regards to
16	before we approve the final plans, we want an	16	this land and the use of this land?
17	observation dig done where the storm sewers is going	17	(No response.)
18	to cross the water main so we know that the sewer can	18	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Seeing none, at
19	go in at the elevation that's proposed. So we will	19	this point we can close the public hearing, and then
20	ask that that be done.	20	vote on the matter.
20	And I believe everything else meets	21	Okay. I will take a motion to
22	all of the requirements of the Watershed Development	22	close.
23	Ordinance. If you do decide to give a positive	23	COMMISSIONER ABRI: I will make a motion.
24	approval, we ask that you do it contingent upon	24	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: A second?
	approval, we ask that you do it containfont upon		
	Page 15		Page 17
1	Page 15 approval of the engineering plans by the village.	1	Page 17 COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Second.
1 2	-	1 2	-
	approval of the engineering plans by the village.		COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Second.
2	approval of the engineering plans by the village. There is a few minor things that we want to add and	2	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Second. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Roll call.
2 3	approval of the engineering plans by the village. There is a few minor things that we want to add and some things we talked about with their design team as	2 3	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Second. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Roll call. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri?
2 3 4	approval of the engineering plans by the village. There is a few minor things that we want to add and some things we talked about with their design team as far as changing the storm sewer elevation, which they	2 3 4	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Second. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Roll call. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye.
2 3 4 5	approval of the engineering plans by the village. There is a few minor things that we want to add and some things we talked about with their design team as far as changing the storm sewer elevation, which they agreed to do, which did not show up on these plans.	2 3 4 5	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Second. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Roll call. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox?
2 3 4 5 6	approval of the engineering plans by the village. There is a few minor things that we want to add and some things we talked about with their design team as far as changing the storm sewer elevation, which they agreed to do, which did not show up on these plans. We can get them out to you in a timely manner. And a	2 3 4 5 6	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Second. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Roll call. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	approval of the engineering plans by the village. There is a few minor things that we want to add and some things we talked about with their design team as far as changing the storm sewer elevation, which they agreed to do, which did not show up on these plans. We can get them out to you in a timely manner. And a couple other minor things.	2 3 4 5 6 7	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Second. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Roll call. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	approval of the engineering plans by the village. There is a few minor things that we want to add and some things we talked about with their design team as far as changing the storm sewer elevation, which they agreed to do, which did not show up on these plans. We can get them out to you in a timely manner. And a couple other minor things. So my recommendation would be based	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Second. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Roll call. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	approval of the engineering plans by the village. There is a few minor things that we want to add and some things we talked about with their design team as far as changing the storm sewer elevation, which they agreed to do, which did not show up on these plans. We can get them out to you in a timely manner. And a couple other minor things. So my recommendation would be based on the reviews, that for approval, contingent upon	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Second. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Roll call. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	approval of the engineering plans by the village. There is a few minor things that we want to add and some things we talked about with their design team as far as changing the storm sewer elevation, which they agreed to do, which did not show up on these plans. We can get them out to you in a timely manner. And a couple other minor things. So my recommendation would be based on the reviews, that for approval, contingent upon approval of the final engineering plans by the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Second. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Roll call. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	approval of the engineering plans by the village. There is a few minor things that we want to add and some things we talked about with their design team as far as changing the storm sewer elevation, which they agreed to do, which did not show up on these plans. We can get them out to you in a timely manner. And a couple other minor things. So my recommendation would be based on the reviews, that for approval, contingent upon approval of the final engineering plans by the village.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Second. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Roll call. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: And Murarka?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	approval of the engineering plans by the village. There is a few minor things that we want to add and some things we talked about with their design team as far as changing the storm sewer elevation, which they agreed to do, which did not show up on these plans. We can get them out to you in a timely manner. And a couple other minor things. So my recommendation would be based on the reviews, that for approval, contingent upon approval of the final engineering plans by the village. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. It sounds like	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Second. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Roll call. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: And Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	approval of the engineering plans by the village. There is a few minor things that we want to add and some things we talked about with their design team as far as changing the storm sewer elevation, which they agreed to do, which did not show up on these plans. We can get them out to you in a timely manner. And a couple other minor things. So my recommendation would be based on the reviews, that for approval, contingent upon approval of the final engineering plans by the village. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. It sounds like you're very close to that point, approving, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Second. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Roll call. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: And Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	approval of the engineering plans by the village. There is a few minor things that we want to add and some things we talked about with their design team as far as changing the storm sewer elevation, which they agreed to do, which did not show up on these plans. We can get them out to you in a timely manner. And a couple other minor things. So my recommendation would be based on the reviews, that for approval, contingent upon approval of the final engineering plans by the village. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. It sounds like you're very close to that point, approving, right? MS. KARNEY: Correct. Yeah. I think the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Second. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Roll call. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: And Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. So I think that the plan is a good plan. I think this is really an appropriate use of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	approval of the engineering plans by the village. There is a few minor things that we want to add and some things we talked about with their design team as far as changing the storm sewer elevation, which they agreed to do, which did not show up on these plans. We can get them out to you in a timely manner. And a couple other minor things. So my recommendation would be based on the reviews, that for approval, contingent upon approval of the final engineering plans by the village. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. It sounds like you're very close to that point, approving, right? MS. KARNEY: Correct. Yeah. I think the consultant is here and we discussed a few things even as late as today. They're going to update the plans with some of the measurements and stuff and add some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Second. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Roll call. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: And Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. So I think that the plan is a good plan. I think this is really an appropriate use of the land, and I think that I will take the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 approval of the engineering plans by the village. There is a few minor things that we want to add and some things we talked about with their design team as far as changing the storm sewer elevation, which they agreed to do, which did not show up on these plans. We can get them out to you in a timely manner. And a couple other minor things. So my recommendation would be based on the reviews, that for approval, contingent upon approval of the final engineering plans by the village. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. It sounds like you're very close to that point, approving, right? MS. KARNEY: Correct. Yeah. I think the consultant is here and we discussed a few things even as late as today. They're going to update the plans with some of the measurements and stuff and add some things like that. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Second. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Roll call. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: And Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. So I think that the plan is a good plan. I think this is really an appropriate use of the land, and I think that I will take the comments from the village engineer as contingent upon
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 approval of the engineering plans by the village. There is a few minor things that we want to add and some things we talked about with their design team as far as changing the storm sewer elevation, which they agreed to do, which did not show up on these plans. We can get them out to you in a timely manner. And a couple other minor things. So my recommendation would be based on the reviews, that for approval, contingent upon approval of the final engineering plans by the village. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. It sounds like you're very close to that point, approving, right? MS. KARNEY: Correct. Yeah. I think the consultant is here and we discussed a few things even as late as today. They're going to update the plans with some of the measurements and stuff and add some things like that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Does anybody have 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Second. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Roll call. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: And Murarka? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: And Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. So I think that the plan is a good plan. I think this is really an appropriate use of the land, and I think that I will take the comments from the village engineer as contingent upon final engineering design from final engineering
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 approval of the engineering plans by the village. There is a few minor things that we want to add and some things we talked about with their design team as far as changing the storm sewer elevation, which they agreed to do, which did not show up on these plans. We can get them out to you in a timely manner. And a couple other minor things. So my recommendation would be based on the reviews, that for approval, contingent upon approval of the final engineering plans by the village. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. It sounds like you're very close to that point, approving, right? MS. KARNEY: Correct. Yeah. I think the consultant is here and we discussed a few things even as late as today. They're going to update the plans with some of the measurements and stuff and add some things like that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Does anybody have any questions about the plan? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Second. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Roll call. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: And Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. So I think that the plan is a good plan. I think this is really an appropriate use of the land, and I think that I will take the comments from the village engineer as contingent upon final engineering design from final engineering approval from the village.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 approval of the engineering plans by the village. There is a few minor things that we want to add and some things we talked about with their design team as far as changing the storm sewer elevation, which they agreed to do, which did not show up on these plans. We can get them out to you in a timely manner. And a couple other minor things. So my recommendation would be based on the reviews, that for approval, contingent upon approval of the final engineering plans by the village. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. It sounds like you're very close to that point, approving, right? MS. KARNEY: Correct. Yeah. I think the consultant is here and we discussed a few things even as late as today. They're going to update the plans with some of the measurements and stuff and add some things like that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Does anybody have any questions about the plan? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Second. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Roll call. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: And Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. So I think that the plan is a good plan. I think this is really an appropriate use of the land, and I think that I will take the comments from the village engineer as contingent upon final engineering design from final engineering approval from the village. So with that in mind, we can take a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 approval of the engineering plans by the village. There is a few minor things that we want to add and some things we talked about with their design team as far as changing the storm sewer elevation, which they agreed to do, which did not show up on these plans. We can get them out to you in a timely manner. And a couple other minor things. So my recommendation would be based on the reviews, that for approval, contingent upon approval of the final engineering plans by the village. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. It sounds like you're very close to that point, approving, right? MS. KARNEY: Correct. Yeah. I think the consultant is here and we discussed a few things even as late as today. They're going to update the plans with some of the measurements and stuff and add some things like that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Does anybody have any questions about the plan? It seems This You know, when they originally When we originally approved this, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Second. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Roll call. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: And Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. So I think that the plan is a good plan. I think this is really an appropriate use of the land, and I think that I will take the comments from the village engineer as contingent upon final engineering design from final engineering approval from the village. So with that in mind, we can take a motion to approve this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 approval of the engineering plans by the village. There is a few minor things that we want to add and some things we talked about with their design team as far as changing the storm sewer elevation, which they agreed to do, which did not show up on these plans. We can get them out to you in a timely manner. And a couple other minor things. So my recommendation would be based on the reviews, that for approval, contingent upon approval of the final engineering plans by the village. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. It sounds like you're very close to that point, approving, right? MS. KARNEY: Correct. Yeah. I think the consultant is here and we discussed a few things even as late as today. They're going to update the plans with some of the measurements and stuff and add some things like that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Does anybody have any questions about the plan? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Second. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Roll call. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: And Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. So I think that the plan is a good plan. I think this is really an appropriate use of the land, and I think that I will take the comments from the village engineer as contingent upon final engineering design from final engineering approval from the village. So with that in mind, we can take a

5 (Pages 14 to 17)

	Page 18		Page 20
1	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Second?	1	presentation, you're going to go to the village and
2	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Second.	2	ask them for a public hearing, and at that time the
3	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Roll call vote?	3	complete scope of it, all of the design, the rest of
4	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri?	4	the information. I don't know if everybody went
5	COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye.	5	through all of the documents they have. There's a
6	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox?	6	lot of documents. I have only printed I only had
7	COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes.	7	the staff print the documents you have in front of
8	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis?	8	you, but as you read through they have covenants they
9	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Yes.	9	wrote. There's a lot of things that are in there
10	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi?	10	that we're going to have to look at and go over. But
11	COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye.	11	this, more than anything else is just a presentation
12	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Murarka?	12	to go over this development in that form.
13	COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes.	13	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Mr. Chairman,
14	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Murarka?	14	I can add that they have submitted all the materials
15	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. Thank you.	15	under the PUD, to the village. We have everything.
16	I have a question. How many of the	16	The record's complete on that.
17	homes in the lots, those 15 existing lots, have you	17	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Thank you.
18	actually sold?	18	So let's talk a little bit about the
19	MR. ELIAS: We have seven eight sold.	19	project then. Give us some scenarios about how you
20	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I'm just being nosey.	20	would build this thing. Would you you know, where
21	MR. ELIAS: I do want to add that the	21	you start and how you build it through.
22	billboard is coming down too. So there is two	22	VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Do you want
23	billboards, one that's a little further west. The	23	the screen on?
24	one that is on our property is coming down.	24	MR. PRASHANTHA: We kind of put some 3D
			-
	Page 19		Page 21
1	Page 19 CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Oh, okay.	1	Page 21 renderings up. We laid out the plan, and it's going
1 2	_	1 2	-
	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Oh, okay. MR. ELIAS: We look forward to that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I'm sure your people who		renderings up. We laid out the plan, and it's going
2	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Oh, okay. MR. ELIAS: We look forward to that.	2	renderings up. We laid out the plan, and it's going to be three different types of models, but it's
2 3	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Oh, okay. MR. ELIAS: We look forward to that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I'm sure your people who	2 3	renderings up. We laid out the plan, and it's going to be three different types of models, but it's completely custom. It could have 50, 60 and 70 feet wide. We are calling this Forest View Estates Subdivision, since it's right next to Forest View.
2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Oh, okay. MR. ELIAS: We look forward to that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I'm sure your people who are living there are looking forward to it also. Okay. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: The next item is a	2 3 4 5 6	renderings up. We laid out the plan, and it's going to be three different types of models, but it's completely custom. It could have 50, 60 and 70 feet wide. We are calling this Forest View Estates Subdivision, since it's right next to Forest View. Let's say if somebody wants to build
2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Oh, okay. MR. ELIAS: We look forward to that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I'm sure your people who are living there are looking forward to it also. Okay. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: The next item is a presentation from a proposed residential development	2 3 4 5 6 7	renderings up. We laid out the plan, and it's going to be three different types of models, but it's completely custom. It could have 50, 60 and 70 feet wide. We are calling this Forest View Estates Subdivision, since it's right next to Forest View. Let's say if somebody wants to build a home here, in the subdivision, we are asking them
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Oh, okay. MR. ELIAS: We look forward to that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I'm sure your people who are living there are looking forward to it also. Okay. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: The next item is a presentation from a proposed residential development located at Mundhank and Freeman Roads.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	renderings up. We laid out the plan, and it's going to be three different types of models, but it's completely custom. It could have 50, 60 and 70 feet wide. We are calling this Forest View Estates Subdivision, since it's right next to Forest View. Let's say if somebody wants to build a home here, in the subdivision, we are asking them to start with a lot that they want to choose, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Oh, okay. MR. ELIAS: We look forward to that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I'm sure your people who are living there are looking forward to it also. Okay. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: The next item is a presentation from a proposed residential development located at Mundhank and Freeman Roads. Okay. So if you would come forward,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	renderings up. We laid out the plan, and it's going to be three different types of models, but it's completely custom. It could have 50, 60 and 70 feet wide. We are calling this Forest View Estates Subdivision, since it's right next to Forest View. Let's say if somebody wants to build a home here, in the subdivision, we are asking them to start with a lot that they want to choose, and they are free to choose pretty much any design that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Oh, okay. MR. ELIAS: We look forward to that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I'm sure your people who are living there are looking forward to it also. Okay. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: The next item is a presentation from a proposed residential development located at Mundhank and Freeman Roads. Okay. So if you would come forward, state your name and information.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	renderings up. We laid out the plan, and it's going to be three different types of models, but it's completely custom. It could have 50, 60 and 70 feet wide. We are calling this Forest View Estates Subdivision, since it's right next to Forest View. Let's say if somebody wants to build a home here, in the subdivision, we are asking them to start with a lot that they want to choose, and they are free to choose pretty much any design that they desire. We have a wish list, whether you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Oh, okay. MR. ELIAS: We look forward to that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I'm sure your people who are living there are looking forward to it also. Okay. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: The next item is a presentation from a proposed residential development located at Mundhank and Freeman Roads. Okay. So if you would come forward, state your name and information. MR. PRASHANTHA: My name is Ram Prashantha.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	renderings up. We laid out the plan, and it's going to be three different types of models, but it's completely custom. It could have 50, 60 and 70 feet wide. We are calling this Forest View Estates Subdivision, since it's right next to Forest View. Let's say if somebody wants to build a home here, in the subdivision, we are asking them to start with a lot that they want to choose, and they are free to choose pretty much any design that they desire. We have a wish list, whether you know, bedroom, bathrooms, things that they can choose from.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Oh, okay. MR. ELIAS: We look forward to that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I'm sure your people who are living there are looking forward to it also. Okay. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: The next item is a presentation from a proposed residential development located at Mundhank and Freeman Roads. Okay. So if you would come forward, state your name and information. MR. PRASHANTHA: My name is Ram Prashantha. We are seeking approval for the 33	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	renderings up. We laid out the plan, and it's going to be three different types of models, but it's completely custom. It could have 50, 60 and 70 feet wide. We are calling this Forest View Estates Subdivision, since it's right next to Forest View. Let's say if somebody wants to build a home here, in the subdivision, we are asking them to start with a lot that they want to choose, and they are free to choose pretty much any design that they desire. We have a wish list, whether you know, bedroom, bathrooms, things that they can choose from. Then we will have an architect design and build it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Oh, okay. MR. ELIAS: We look forward to that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I'm sure your people who are living there are looking forward to it also. Okay. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: The next item is a presentation from a proposed residential development located at Mundhank and Freeman Roads. Okay. So if you would come forward, state your name and information. MR. PRASHANTHA: My name is Ram Prashantha. We are seeking approval for the 33 lot subdivision of the southwest corner of Mundhank	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	renderings up. We laid out the plan, and it's going to be three different types of models, but it's completely custom. It could have 50, 60 and 70 feet wide. We are calling this Forest View Estates Subdivision, since it's right next to Forest View. Let's say if somebody wants to build a home here, in the subdivision, we are asking them to start with a lot that they want to choose, and they are free to choose pretty much any design that they desire. We have a wish list, whether you know, bedroom, bathrooms, things that they can choose from. Then we will have an architect design and build it from scratch. We are not trying to make anything
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Oh, okay. MR. ELIAS: We look forward to that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I'm sure your people who are living there are looking forward to it also. Okay. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: The next item is a presentation from a proposed residential development located at Mundhank and Freeman Roads. Okay. So if you would come forward, state your name and information. MR. PRASHANTHA: My name is Ram Prashantha. We are seeking approval for the 33 lot subdivision of the southwest corner of Mundhank and Freeman. It's going to be custom homes with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	renderings up. We laid out the plan, and it's going to be three different types of models, but it's completely custom. It could have 50, 60 and 70 feet wide. We are calling this Forest View Estates Subdivision, since it's right next to Forest View. Let's say if somebody wants to build a home here, in the subdivision, we are asking them to start with a lot that they want to choose, and they are free to choose pretty much any design that they desire. We have a wish list, whether you know, bedroom, bathrooms, things that they can choose from. Then we will have an architect design and build it from scratch. We are not trying to make anything similar. We also have a lot of frame work put in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Oh, okay. MR. ELIAS: We look forward to that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I'm sure your people who are living there are looking forward to it also. Okay. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: The next item is a presentation from a proposed residential development located at Mundhank and Freeman Roads. Okay. So if you would come forward, state your name and information. MR. PRASHANTHA: My name is Ram Prashantha. We are seeking approval for the 33 lot subdivision of the southwest corner of Mundhank and Freeman. It's going to be custom homes with maintenance free, septic, private bio septic system.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	renderings up. We laid out the plan, and it's going to be three different types of models, but it's completely custom. It could have 50, 60 and 70 feet wide. We are calling this Forest View Estates Subdivision, since it's right next to Forest View. Let's say if somebody wants to build a home here, in the subdivision, we are asking them to start with a lot that they want to choose, and they are free to choose pretty much any design that they desire. We have a wish list, whether you know, bedroom, bathrooms, things that they can choose from. Then we will have an architect design and build it from scratch. We are not trying to make anything similar. We also have a lot of frame work put in terms of how it's going to look and feel. We did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Oh, okay. MR. ELIAS: We look forward to that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I'm sure your people who are living there are looking forward to it also. Okay. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: The next item is a presentation from a proposed residential development located at Mundhank and Freeman Roads. Okay. So if you would come forward, state your name and information. MR. PRASHANTHA: My name is Ram Prashantha. We are seeking approval for the 33 lot subdivision of the southwest corner of Mundhank and Freeman. It's going to be custom homes with maintenance free, septic, private bio septic system. And we have our engineer and architect here in case	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	renderings up. We laid out the plan, and it's going to be three different types of models, but it's completely custom. It could have 50, 60 and 70 feet wide. We are calling this Forest View Estates Subdivision, since it's right next to Forest View. Let's say if somebody wants to build a home here, in the subdivision, we are asking them to start with a lot that they want to choose, and they are free to choose pretty much any design that they desire. We have a wish list, whether you know, bedroom, bathrooms, things that they can choose from. Then we will have an architect design and build it from scratch. We are not trying to make anything similar. We also have a lot of frame work put in terms of how it's going to look and feel. We did We pretty much did a landscape plan, you know, topo
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Oh, okay. MR. ELIAS: We look forward to that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I'm sure your people who are living there are looking forward to it also. Okay. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: The next item is a presentation from a proposed residential development located at Mundhank and Freeman Roads. Okay. So if you would come forward, state your name and information. MR. PRASHANTHA: My name is Ram Prashantha. We are seeking approval for the 33 lot subdivision of the southwest corner of Mundhank and Freeman. It's going to be custom homes with maintenance free, septic, private bio septic system. And we have our engineer and architect here in case you have any technical questions that need to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	renderings up. We laid out the plan, and it's going to be three different types of models, but it's completely custom. It could have 50, 60 and 70 feet wide. We are calling this Forest View Estates Subdivision, since it's right next to Forest View. Let's say if somebody wants to build a home here, in the subdivision, we are asking them to start with a lot that they want to choose, and they are free to choose pretty much any design that they desire. We have a wish list, whether you know, bedroom, bathrooms, things that they can choose from. Then we will have an architect design and build it from scratch. We are not trying to make anything similar. We also have a lot of frame work put in terms of how it's going to look and feel. We did We pretty much did a landscape plan, you know, topo all the things are requested for a subdivision that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Oh, okay. MR. ELIAS: We look forward to that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I'm sure your people who are living there are looking forward to it also. Okay. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: The next item is a presentation from a proposed residential development located at Mundhank and Freeman Roads. Okay. So if you would come forward, state your name and information. MR. PRASHANTHA: My name is Ram Prashantha. We are seeking approval for the 33 lot subdivision of the southwest corner of Mundhank and Freeman. It's going to be custom homes with maintenance free, septic, private bio septic system. And we have our engineer and architect here in case you have any technical questions that need to be addressed. There will be a private road with a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	renderings up. We laid out the plan, and it's going to be three different types of models, but it's completely custom. It could have 50, 60 and 70 feet wide. We are calling this Forest View Estates Subdivision, since it's right next to Forest View. Let's say if somebody wants to build a home here, in the subdivision, we are asking them to start with a lot that they want to choose, and they are free to choose pretty much any design that they desire. We have a wish list, whether you know, bedroom, bathrooms, things that they can choose from. Then we will have an architect design and build it from scratch. We are not trying to make anything similar. We also have a lot of frame work put in terms of how it's going to look and feel. We did We pretty much did a landscape plan, you know, topo all the things are requested for a subdivision that was provided.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Oh, okay. MR. ELIAS: We look forward to that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I'm sure your people who are living there are looking forward to it also. Okay. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: The next item is a presentation from a proposed residential development located at Mundhank and Freeman Roads. Okay. So if you would come forward, state your name and information. MR. PRASHANTHA: My name is Ram Prashantha. We are seeking approval for the 33 lot subdivision of the southwest corner of Mundhank and Freeman. It's going to be custom homes with maintenance free, septic, private bio septic system. And we have our engineer and architect here in case you have any technical questions that need to be addressed. There will be a private road with a shared well. We are proposing two houses will have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	renderings up. We laid out the plan, and it's going to be three different types of models, but it's completely custom. It could have 50, 60 and 70 feet wide. We are calling this Forest View Estates Subdivision, since it's right next to Forest View. Let's say if somebody wants to build a home here, in the subdivision, we are asking them to start with a lot that they want to choose, and they are free to choose pretty much any design that they desire. We have a wish list, whether you know, bedroom, bathrooms, things that they can choose from. Then we will have an architect design and build it from scratch. We are not trying to make anything similar. We also have a lot of frame work put in terms of how it's going to look and feel. We did We pretty much did a landscape plan, you know, topo all the things are requested for a subdivision that was provided.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Oh, okay. MR. ELIAS: We look forward to that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I'm sure your people who are living there are looking forward to it also. Okay. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: The next item is a presentation from a proposed residential development located at Mundhank and Freeman Roads. Okay. So if you would come forward, state your name and information. MR. PRASHANTHA: My name is Ram Prashantha. We are seeking approval for the 33 lot subdivision of the southwest corner of Mundhank and Freeman. It's going to be custom homes with maintenance free, septic, private bio septic system. And we have our engineer and architect here in case you have any technical questions that need to be addressed. There will be a private road with a shared well. We are proposing two houses will have one well. We are trying to seek an approval, and we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	renderings up. We laid out the plan, and it's going to be three different types of models, but it's completely custom. It could have 50, 60 and 70 feet wide. We are calling this Forest View Estates Subdivision, since it's right next to Forest View. Let's say if somebody wants to build a home here, in the subdivision, we are asking them to start with a lot that they want to choose, and they are free to choose pretty much any design that they desire. We have a wish list, whether you know, bedroom, bathrooms, things that they can choose from. Then we will have an architect design and build it from scratch. We are not trying to make anything similar. We also have a lot of frame work put in terms of how it's going to look and feel. We did We pretty much did a landscape plan, you know, topo all the things are requested for a subdivision that was provided. Traffic study shows there is hardly an impact on this. We are requesting a right
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Oh, okay. MR. ELIAS: We look forward to that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I'm sure your people who are living there are looking forward to it also. Okay. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: The next item is a presentation from a proposed residential development located at Mundhank and Freeman Roads. Okay. So if you would come forward, state your name and information. MR. PRASHANTHA: My name is Ram Prashantha. We are seeking approval for the 33 lot subdivision of the southwest corner of Mundhank and Freeman. It's going to be custom homes with maintenance free, septic, private bio septic system. And we have our engineer and architect here in case you have any technical questions that need to be addressed. There will be a private road with a shared well. We are proposing two houses will have one well. We are trying to seek an approval, and we think this is the best use for this land. We came to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	renderings up. We laid out the plan, and it's going to be three different types of models, but it's completely custom. It could have 50, 60 and 70 feet wide. We are calling this Forest View Estates Subdivision, since it's right next to Forest View. Let's say if somebody wants to build a home here, in the subdivision, we are asking them to start with a lot that they want to choose, and they are free to choose pretty much any design that they desire. We have a wish list, whether you know, bedroom, bathrooms, things that they can choose from. Then we will have an architect design and build it from scratch. We are not trying to make anything similar. We also have a lot of frame work put in terms of how it's going to look and feel. We did We pretty much did a landscape plan, you know, topo all the things are requested for a subdivision that was provided. Traffic study shows there is hardly an impact on this. We are requesting a right in/right out access from Hoffman Estates, since
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Oh, okay. MR. ELIAS: We look forward to that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I'm sure your people who are living there are looking forward to it also. Okay. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: The next item is a presentation from a proposed residential development located at Mundhank and Freeman Roads. Okay. So if you would come forward, state your name and information. MR. PRASHANTHA: My name is Ram Prashantha. We are seeking approval for the 33 lot subdivision of the southwest corner of Mundhank and Freeman. It's going to be custom homes with maintenance free, septic, private bio septic system. And we have our engineer and architect here in case you have any technical questions that need to be addressed. There will be a private road with a shared well. We are proposing two houses will have one well. We are trying to seek an approval, and we think this is the best use for this land. We came to this board twice. This is the third time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	renderings up. We laid out the plan, and it's going to be three different types of models, but it's completely custom. It could have 50, 60 and 70 feet wide. We are calling this Forest View Estates Subdivision, since it's right next to Forest View. Let's say if somebody wants to build a home here, in the subdivision, we are asking them to start with a lot that they want to choose, and they are free to choose pretty much any design that they desire. We have a wish list, whether you know, bedroom, bathrooms, things that they can choose from. Then we will have an architect design and build it from scratch. We are not trying to make anything similar. We also have a lot of frame work put in terms of how it's going to look and feel. We did We pretty much did a landscape plan, you know, topo all the things are requested for a subdivision that was provided. Traffic study shows there is hardly an impact on this. We are requesting a right in/right out access from Hoffman Estates, since Freeman is in Hoffman Estates. Except that, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Oh, okay. MR. ELIAS: We look forward to that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I'm sure your people who are living there are looking forward to it also. Okay. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: The next item is a presentation from a proposed residential development located at Mundhank and Freeman Roads. Okay. So if you would come forward, state your name and information. MR. PRASHANTHA: My name is Ram Prashantha. We are seeking approval for the 33 lot subdivision of the southwest corner of Mundhank and Freeman. It's going to be custom homes with maintenance free, septic, private bio septic system. And we have our engineer and architect here in case you have any technical questions that need to be addressed. There will be a private road with a shared well. We are proposing two houses will have one well. We are trying to seek an approval, and we think this is the best use for this land. We came to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	renderings up. We laid out the plan, and it's going to be three different types of models, but it's completely custom. It could have 50, 60 and 70 feet wide. We are calling this Forest View Estates Subdivision, since it's right next to Forest View. Let's say if somebody wants to build a home here, in the subdivision, we are asking them to start with a lot that they want to choose, and they are free to choose pretty much any design that they desire. We have a wish list, whether you know, bedroom, bathrooms, things that they can choose from. Then we will have an architect design and build it from scratch. We are not trying to make anything similar. We also have a lot of frame work put in terms of how it's going to look and feel. We did We pretty much did a landscape plan, you know, topo all the things are requested for a subdivision that was provided. Traffic study shows there is hardly an impact on this. We are requesting a right in/right out access from Hoffman Estates, since

6 (Pages 18 to 21)

	Page 22		Page 24
1	of the subdivisions that I could put in myself.	1	COMMISSIONER ABRI: Looking at it from the
2	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: You said a hundred	2	outside, it seems like that the brick is kind of
3	percent custom, but you have shown homes. You show	3	seems to be alike.
4	different styles of homes. Are Is the thought	4	MR. PRASHANTHA: No, this is just a teeny
5	that you were going to as they come in, you would	5	rendering.
6	show them the homes and say this is what we're	6	COMMISSIONER ABRI: You're going to offer so
7	thinking would fill in the lots or?	7	many bricks and say choose from these bricks?
8	MR. PRASHANTHA: I mean, the majority of the	8	MR. PRASHANTHA: Yes. Yes. We have many
9	homes have 50 feet, and there are probably like eight	9	subdivisions, you know, some subdivisions we are not
10	to nine end up with having a little wider, and also	10	just going up to 12 to 16. We end up sending it to
11	closer to our lots we'll have a walk out. So if	11	the brick supplier, you go and choose once they
12	they Let's say some customer comes and says they	12	choose the brick, we let them go and see the house
13	want to build a home without a basement or with a	13	with that brick, because sometimes just looking at
14	basement, you know, we let them choose, and we give	14	the brick they will not be able to figure out how the
15	them not just the lot that they have, we also give	15	house is going to look. And once they see it, they
16	them the lots, how it looks and feels. Internally,	16	end up with pretty much choose every single look
17	we will decide whether to go with Let's say two	17	and feel feature for a house.
18	customers are coming up with the same design, we	18	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Do you have the
19	are suggesting them what kind of choices that they	19	architects as part of your staff?
20	have. It will be brick, stone and	20	MR. PRASHANTHA: Yes.
21	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay.	21	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: So the architects will
22	COMMISSIONER ABRI: So you offer a certain	22	They'll take the comments from the proposed buyer and
23	number of models that your customer can choose from?	23	say okay, just think about this, think about that and
24	MR. PRASHANTHA: I mean, no, not really.	24	they'll start doing some drawings.
		-	
	Page 23		Page 25
1		1	_
1 2	Because we have probably like 20 plans that we kind	1 2	Page 25 MR. PRASHANTHA: Right. See like, we kind of have meetings before we come to this stage. First we
			MR. PRASHANTHA: Right. See like, we kind of
2	Because we have probably like 20 plans that we kind of picked. We are not asking them to choose exactly	2	MR. PRASHANTHA: Right. See like, we kind of have meetings before we come to this stage. First we
2 3	Because we have probably like 20 plans that we kind of picked. We are not asking them to choose exactly that. They can take the template to modify. And not	2 3	MR. PRASHANTHA: Right. See like, we kind of have meetings before we come to this stage. First we collect the wish list. The wish list goes to the
2 3 4	Because we have probably like 20 plans that we kind of picked. We are not asking them to choose exactly that. They can take the template to modify. And not everybody knows how to build a home, but they need	2 3 4	MR. PRASHANTHA: Right. See like, we kind of have meetings before we come to this stage. First we collect the wish list. The wish list goes to the architect. That's how we do most of the custom
2 3 4 5	Because we have probably like 20 plans that we kind of picked. We are not asking them to choose exactly that. They can take the template to modify. And not everybody knows how to build a home, but they need some starting point, and they will have a wish list.	2 3 4 5	MR. PRASHANTHA: Right. See like, we kind of have meetings before we come to this stage. First we collect the wish list. The wish list goes to the architect. That's how we do most of the custom homes. So then they come up with basic. We are not
2 3 4 5 6	Because we have probably like 20 plans that we kind of picked. We are not asking them to choose exactly that. They can take the template to modify. And not everybody knows how to build a home, but they need some starting point, and they will have a wish list. Let's say someone wants an elevator, someone wants no	2 3 4 5 6	MR. PRASHANTHA: Right. See like, we kind of have meetings before we come to this stage. First we collect the wish list. The wish list goes to the architect. That's how we do most of the custom homes. So then they come up with basic. We are not going to look at the elevation at that stage. We look from the point of what type of floor plan they want. Once they have a floor plan, then we can
2 3 4 5 6 7	Because we have probably like 20 plans that we kind of picked. We are not asking them to choose exactly that. They can take the template to modify. And not everybody knows how to build a home, but they need some starting point, and they will have a wish list. Let's say someone wants an elevator, someone wants no basement, someone wants walk out and someone wants to	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. PRASHANTHA: Right. See like, we kind of have meetings before we come to this stage. First we collect the wish list. The wish list goes to the architect. That's how we do most of the custom homes. So then they come up with basic. We are not going to look at the elevation at that stage. We look from the point of what type of floor plan they want. Once they have a floor plan, then we can modify the elevations the way they like it. Then we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Because we have probably like 20 plans that we kind of picked. We are not asking them to choose exactly that. They can take the template to modify. And not everybody knows how to build a home, but they need some starting point, and they will have a wish list. Let's say someone wants an elevator, someone wants no basement, someone wants walk out and someone wants to look and feel in a certain way, we accommodate all of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. PRASHANTHA: Right. See like, we kind of have meetings before we come to this stage. First we collect the wish list. The wish list goes to the architect. That's how we do most of the custom homes. So then they come up with basic. We are not going to look at the elevation at that stage. We look from the point of what type of floor plan they want. Once they have a floor plan, then we can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Because we have probably like 20 plans that we kind of picked. We are not asking them to choose exactly that. They can take the template to modify. And not everybody knows how to build a home, but they need some starting point, and they will have a wish list. Let's say someone wants an elevator, someone wants no basement, someone wants walk out and someone wants to look and feel in a certain way, we accommodate all of those. COMMISSIONER ABRI: I'm more interested in like as far as the framing is concerned, if they are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. PRASHANTHA: Right. See like, we kind of have meetings before we come to this stage. First we collect the wish list. The wish list goes to the architect. That's how we do most of the custom homes. So then they come up with basic. We are not going to look at the elevation at that stage. We look from the point of what type of floor plan they want. Once they have a floor plan, then we can modify the elevations the way they like it. Then we end up presenting it to the customers. They have the option to change. Then they go back for the second
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Because we have probably like 20 plans that we kind of picked. We are not asking them to choose exactly that. They can take the template to modify. And not everybody knows how to build a home, but they need some starting point, and they will have a wish list. Let's say someone wants an elevator, someone wants no basement, someone wants walk out and someone wants to look and feel in a certain way, we accommodate all of those. COMMISSIONER ABRI: I'm more interested in like as far as the framing is concerned, if they are not very much alike. If three of them are not to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. PRASHANTHA: Right. See like, we kind of have meetings before we come to this stage. First we collect the wish list. The wish list goes to the architect. That's how we do most of the custom homes. So then they come up with basic. We are not going to look at the elevation at that stage. We look from the point of what type of floor plan they want. Once they have a floor plan, then we can modify the elevations the way they like it. Then we end up presenting it to the customers. They have the option to change. Then they go back for the second revisions and the final one we finalize it. That
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Because we have probably like 20 plans that we kind of picked. We are not asking them to choose exactly that. They can take the template to modify. And not everybody knows how to build a home, but they need some starting point, and they will have a wish list. Let's say someone wants an elevator, someone wants no basement, someone wants walk out and someone wants to look and feel in a certain way, we accommodate all of those. COMMISSIONER ABRI: I'm more interested in like as far as the framing is concerned, if they are not very much alike. If three of them are not to be ubiquitous throughout the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. PRASHANTHA: Right. See like, we kind of have meetings before we come to this stage. First we collect the wish list. The wish list goes to the architect. That's how we do most of the custom homes. So then they come up with basic. We are not going to look at the elevation at that stage. We look from the point of what type of floor plan they want. Once they have a floor plan, then we can modify the elevations the way they like it. Then we end up presenting it to the customers. They have the option to change. Then they go back for the second
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Because we have probably like 20 plans that we kind of picked. We are not asking them to choose exactly that. They can take the template to modify. And not everybody knows how to build a home, but they need some starting point, and they will have a wish list. Let's say someone wants an elevator, someone wants no basement, someone wants walk out and someone wants to look and feel in a certain way, we accommodate all of those. COMMISSIONER ABRI: I'm more interested in like as far as the framing is concerned, if they are not very much alike. If three of them are not to be ubiquitous throughout the MR. PRASHANTHA: We want to make sure this is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. PRASHANTHA: Right. See like, we kind of have meetings before we come to this stage. First we collect the wish list. The wish list goes to the architect. That's how we do most of the custom homes. So then they come up with basic. We are not going to look at the elevation at that stage. We look from the point of what type of floor plan they want. Once they have a floor plan, then we can modify the elevations the way they like it. Then we end up presenting it to the customers. They have the option to change. Then they go back for the second revisions and the final one we finalize it. That goes to the permanent site. What we see sometimes, you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Because we have probably like 20 plans that we kind of picked. We are not asking them to choose exactly that. They can take the template to modify. And not everybody knows how to build a home, but they need some starting point, and they will have a wish list. Let's say someone wants an elevator, someone wants no basement, someone wants walk out and someone wants to look and feel in a certain way, we accommodate all of those. COMMISSIONER ABRI: I'm more interested in like as far as the framing is concerned, if they are not very much alike. If three of them are not to be ubiquitous throughout the MR. PRASHANTHA: We want to make sure this is completely custom. We are not going to give them a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. PRASHANTHA: Right. See like, we kind of have meetings before we come to this stage. First we collect the wish list. The wish list goes to the architect. That's how we do most of the custom homes. So then they come up with basic. We are not going to look at the elevation at that stage. We look from the point of what type of floor plan they want. Once they have a floor plan, then we can modify the elevations the way they like it. Then we end up presenting it to the customers. They have the option to change. Then they go back for the second revisions and the final one we finalize it. That goes to the permanent site. What we see sometimes, you know, it's hard to figure out just by seeing on the we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Because we have probably like 20 plans that we kind of picked. We are not asking them to choose exactly that. They can take the template to modify. And not everybody knows how to build a home, but they need some starting point, and they will have a wish list. Let's say someone wants an elevator, someone wants no basement, someone wants an elevator, someone wants to look and feel in a certain way, we accommodate all of those. COMMISSIONER ABRI: I'm more interested in like as far as the framing is concerned, if they are not very much alike. If three of them are not to be ubiquitous throughout the MR. PRASHANTHA: We want to make sure this is completely custom. We are not going to give them a set model for them to choose, it's a good size	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. PRASHANTHA: Right. See like, we kind of have meetings before we come to this stage. First we collect the wish list. The wish list goes to the architect. That's how we do most of the custom homes. So then they come up with basic. We are not going to look at the elevation at that stage. We look from the point of what type of floor plan they want. Once they have a floor plan, then we can modify the elevations the way they like it. Then we end up presenting it to the customers. They have the option to change. Then they go back for the second revisions and the final one we finalize it. That goes to the permanent site. What we see sometimes, you know, it's hard to figure out just by seeing on the we end up with giving them all kinds of what should be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Because we have probably like 20 plans that we kind of picked. We are not asking them to choose exactly that. They can take the template to modify. And not everybody knows how to build a home, but they need some starting point, and they will have a wish list. Let's say someone wants an elevator, someone wants no basement, someone wants walk out and someone wants to look and feel in a certain way, we accommodate all of those. COMMISSIONER ABRI: I'm more interested in like as far as the framing is concerned, if they are not very much alike. If three of them are not to be ubiquitous throughout the MR. PRASHANTHA: We want to make sure this is completely custom. We are not going to give them a set model for them to choose, it's a good size subdivision for us could make it completely custom.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. PRASHANTHA: Right. See like, we kind of have meetings before we come to this stage. First we collect the wish list. The wish list goes to the architect. That's how we do most of the custom homes. So then they come up with basic. We are not going to look at the elevation at that stage. We look from the point of what type of floor plan they want. Once they have a floor plan, then we can modify the elevations the way they like it. Then we end up presenting it to the customers. They have the option to change. Then they go back for the second revisions and the final one we finalize it. That goes to the permanent site. What we see sometimes, you know, it's hard to figure out just by seeing on the we end up with giving them all kinds of what should be on there for them. That is how we are doing other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Because we have probably like 20 plans that we kind of picked. We are not asking them to choose exactly that. They can take the template to modify. And not everybody knows how to build a home, but they need some starting point, and they will have a wish list. Let's say someone wants an elevator, someone wants no basement, someone wants walk out and someone wants to look and feel in a certain way, we accommodate all of those. COMMISSIONER ABRI: I'm more interested in like as far as the framing is concerned, if they are not very much alike. If three of them are not to be ubiquitous throughout the MR. PRASHANTHA: We want to make sure this is completely custom. We are not going to give them a set model for them to choose, it's a good size subdivision for us could make it completely custom. Because it's the best way we can sell the homes,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. PRASHANTHA: Right. See like, we kind of have meetings before we come to this stage. First we collect the wish list. The wish list goes to the architect. That's how we do most of the custom homes. So then they come up with basic. We are not going to look at the elevation at that stage. We look from the point of what type of floor plan they want. Once they have a floor plan, then we can modify the elevations the way they like it. Then we end up presenting it to the customers. They have the option to change. Then they go back for the second revisions and the final one we finalize it. That goes to the permanent site. What we see sometimes, you know, it's hard to figure out just by seeing on the we end up with giving them all kinds of what should be on there for them. That is how we are doing other subdivisions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Because we have probably like 20 plans that we kind of picked. We are not asking them to choose exactly that. They can take the template to modify. And not everybody knows how to build a home, but they need some starting point, and they will have a wish list. Let's say someone wants an elevator, someone wants no basement, someone wants walk out and someone wants to look and feel in a certain way, we accommodate all of those. COMMISSIONER ABRI: I'm more interested in like as far as the framing is concerned, if they are not very much alike. If three of them are not to be ubiquitous throughout the MR. PRASHANTHA: We want to make sure this is completely custom. We are not going to give them a set model for them to choose, it's a good size subdivision for us could make it completely custom. Because it's the best way we can sell the homes, we're giving them this is what we do, rather we ask	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. PRASHANTHA: Right. See like, we kind of have meetings before we come to this stage. First we collect the wish list. The wish list goes to the architect. That's how we do most of the custom homes. So then they come up with basic. We are not going to look at the elevation at that stage. We look from the point of what type of floor plan they want. Once they have a floor plan, then we can modify the elevations the way they like it. Then we end up presenting it to the customers. They have the option to change. Then they go back for the second revisions and the final one we finalize it. That goes to the permanent site. What we see sometimes, you know, it's hard to figure out just by seeing on the we end up with giving them all kinds of what should be on there for them. That is how we are doing other subdivisions. COMMISSIONER ABRI: I guess what I'm really
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Because we have probably like 20 plans that we kind of picked. We are not asking them to choose exactly that. They can take the template to modify. And not everybody knows how to build a home, but they need some starting point, and they will have a wish list. Let's say someone wants an elevator, someone wants no basement, someone wants walk out and someone wants to look and feel in a certain way, we accommodate all of those. COMMISSIONER ABRI: I'm more interested in like as far as the framing is concerned, if they are not very much alike. If three of them are not to be ubiquitous throughout the MR. PRASHANTHA: We want to make sure this is completely custom. We are not going to give them a set model for them to choose, it's a good size subdivision for us could make it completely custom. Because it's the best way we can sell the homes, we're giving them this is what we do, rather we ask them tell us what you want. We'll make it to fit.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. PRASHANTHA: Right. See like, we kind of have meetings before we come to this stage. First we collect the wish list. The wish list goes to the architect. That's how we do most of the custom homes. So then they come up with basic. We are not going to look at the elevation at that stage. We look from the point of what type of floor plan they want. Once they have a floor plan, then we can modify the elevations the way they like it. Then we end up presenting it to the customers. They have the option to change. Then they go back for the second revisions and the final one we finalize it. That goes to the permanent site. What we see sometimes, you know, it's hard to figure out just by seeing on the we end up with giving them all kinds of what should be on there for them. That is how we are doing other subdivisions. COMMISSIONER ABRI: I guess what I'm really looking for, if I may, what I'm looking for is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Because we have probably like 20 plans that we kind of picked. We are not asking them to choose exactly that. They can take the template to modify. And not everybody knows how to build a home, but they need some starting point, and they will have a wish list. Let's say someone wants an elevator, someone wants no basement, someone wants walk out and someone wants to look and feel in a certain way, we accommodate all of those. COMMISSIONER ABRI: I'm more interested in like as far as the framing is concerned, if they are not very much alike. If three of them are not to be ubiquitous throughout the MR. PRASHANTHA: We want to make sure this is completely custom. We are not going to give them a set model for them to choose, it's a good size subdivision for us could make it completely custom. Because it's the best way we can sell the homes, we're giving them this is what we do, rather we ask them tell us what you want. We'll make it to fit. So our customers want a bedroom on the first floor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. PRASHANTHA: Right. See like, we kind of have meetings before we come to this stage. First we collect the wish list. The wish list goes to the architect. That's how we do most of the custom homes. So then they come up with basic. We are not going to look at the elevation at that stage. We look from the point of what type of floor plan they want. Once they have a floor plan, then we can modify the elevations the way they like it. Then we end up presenting it to the customers. They have the option to change. Then they go back for the second revisions and the final one we finalize it. That goes to the permanent site. What we see sometimes, you know, it's hard to figure out just by seeing on the we end up with giving them all kinds of what should be on there for them. That is how we are doing other subdivisions. COMMISSIONER ABRI: I guess what I'm really looking for, if I may, what I'm looking for is there what if somebody comes there and wants to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Because we have probably like 20 plans that we kind of picked. We are not asking them to choose exactly that. They can take the template to modify. And not everybody knows how to build a home, but they need some starting point, and they will have a wish list. Let's say someone wants an elevator, someone wants no basement, someone wants an elevator, someone wants to look and feel in a certain way, we accommodate all of those. COMMISSIONER ABRI: I'm more interested in like as far as the framing is concerned, if they are not very much alike. If three of them are not to be ubiquitous throughout the MR. PRASHANTHA: We want to make sure this is completely custom. We are not going to give them a set model for them to choose, it's a good size subdivision for us could make it completely custom. Because it's the best way we can sell the homes, we're giving them this is what we do, rather we ask them tell us what you want. We'll make it to fit. So our customers want a bedroom on the first floor. They want you know, some customers want AD access,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. PRASHANTHA: Right. See like, we kind of have meetings before we come to this stage. First we collect the wish list. The wish list goes to the architect. That's how we do most of the custom homes. So then they come up with basic. We are not going to look at the elevation at that stage. We look from the point of what type of floor plan they want. Once they have a floor plan, then we can modify the elevations the way they like it. Then we end up presenting it to the customers. They have the option to change. Then they go back for the second revisions and the final one we finalize it. That goes to the permanent site. What we see sometimes, you know, it's hard to figure out just by seeing on the we end up with giving them all kinds of what should be on there for them. That is how we are doing other subdivisions. COMMISSIONER ABRI: I guess what I'm really looking for, if I may, what I'm looking for is there what if somebody comes there and wants to build a round house, is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Because we have probably like 20 plans that we kind of picked. We are not asking them to choose exactly that. They can take the template to modify. And not everybody knows how to build a home, but they need some starting point, and they will have a wish list. Let's say someone wants an elevator, someone wants no basement, someone wants walk out and someone wants to look and feel in a certain way, we accommodate all of those. COMMISSIONER ABRI: I'm more interested in like as far as the framing is concerned, if they are not very much alike. If three of them are not to be ubiquitous throughout the MR. PRASHANTHA: We want to make sure this is completely custom. We are not going to give them a set model for them to choose, it's a good size subdivision for us could make it completely custom. Because it's the best way we can sell the homes, we're giving them this is what we do, rather we ask them tell us what you want. We'll make it to fit. So our customers want a bedroom on the first floor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. PRASHANTHA: Right. See like, we kind of have meetings before we come to this stage. First we collect the wish list. The wish list goes to the architect. That's how we do most of the custom homes. So then they come up with basic. We are not going to look at the elevation at that stage. We look from the point of what type of floor plan they want. Once they have a floor plan, then we can modify the elevations the way they like it. Then we end up presenting it to the customers. They have the option to change. Then they go back for the second revisions and the final one we finalize it. That goes to the permanent site. What we see sometimes, you know, it's hard to figure out just by seeing on the we end up with giving them all kinds of what should be on there for them. That is how we are doing other subdivisions. COMMISSIONER ABRI: I guess what I'm really looking for, if I may, what I'm looking for is there what if somebody comes there and wants to

7 (Pages 22 to 25)

	Page 26		Page 28
1	entertain that? I'm looking for some sort of	1	they're next door to homes that are on much larger
2	restraining, kind of like	2	acreage. So I'm just wondering how you guys decided
3	MR. PRASHANTHA: We are investing a lot of	3	on the size of the lots.
4	money in this. We don't want to build one house that	4	MR. PRASHANTHA: Sure. See, the It's hard
5	would spoil the whole subdivision. We have	5	to sell the million dollar homes, in our experience.
6	experience. We suggest to them not to do it, and	6	Bigger homes are kind of not in demand. People
7	inside they could pretty much choose whatever they	7	want nowadays empty nesters, want maintenance
8	want. Outside, we want that to be unique, and it has	8	free. One of the things we end up We went through
9	to be custom. You know, like somebody wants to	9	many designs. We came up with this. This is what we
10	build, you know, they're investing whatever amount,	10	think is the best use with all of the raising costs
11	they don't want to experiment. They want to make	11	and keeping the, you know, not the sewer line I'm
12	sure that they can sell it down the line. So we do	12	sorry, septic, you know, we are having private septic
13	have in our in some subdivisions we end up having	13	in all lots up to at least 20, 30 thousand per lot.
14	some crazy California design, which is not going to	14	We want to get certain density, and having a bigger
15	fit for our climate here. We knock down those	15	home it's not something feasible for this lot. An
16	designs. For example, we had a small subdivision in	16	acre lot, we cannot make any financial sense. Since
17	Schaumburg where the customer wanted a flat room. We	17	we do You know, we get the size, you know, you can
18	have everything with a pitch, with at least 6 and 8,	18	go anywhere from 2500 to say 4 or 5 thousand square
19	12. We said you have to stick with at least 4 to 6,	19	feet, you know. It's just that in So in keeping
20	for us to blend in with the subdivision. So we will	20	that open space all over, and it gives the customer
20		20	options to choose whatever they like and maintenance
21	make sure that, you know, it is fit to, you know,	22	
23	size and you know in terms of floors, they have option to choose whether it's two story or ranch, if	23	free. If you end up with eight or nine homes, bigger homes, let's say ten homes, it's not going to work
23	there is a walkout, they are forced to use walkout on	23	out. I mean, most of the time when we see the
24	there is a warkout, they are forced to use warkout on	24	out. I mean, most of the time when we see the
	Page 27		Page 29
			rage 29
1	that particular lot.	1	customer requirements now it's always They want
1 2	that particular lot. COMMISSIONER ABRI: Would you be picking out	1 2	-
	-	1	customer requirements now it's always They want
2	COMMISSIONER ABRI: Would you be picking out	2	customer requirements now it's always They want less stairs. They want to have a ranch home, not too
2 3	COMMISSIONER ABRI: Would you be picking out the individual permit for the house?	2 3	customer requirements now it's always They want less stairs. They want to have a ranch home, not too many stairs. Basement is an option. They want to
2 3 4	COMMISSIONER ABRI: Would you be picking out the individual permit for the house? MR. PRASHANTHA: Yes.	2 3 4	customer requirements now it's always They want less stairs. They want to have a ranch home, not too many stairs. Basement is an option. They want to finish it later on. Some people want to do it right
2 3 4 5	COMMISSIONER ABRI: Would you be picking out the individual permit for the house? MR. PRASHANTHA: Yes. COMMISSIONER ABRI: Yes. We are not looking	2 3 4 5	customer requirements now it's always They want less stairs. They want to have a ranch home, not too many stairs. Basement is an option. They want to finish it later on. Some people want to do it right away. That's what we see in the market. Big homes
2 3 4 5 6	COMMISSIONER ABRI: Would you be picking out the individual permit for the house? MR. PRASHANTHA: Yes. COMMISSIONER ABRI: Yes. We are not looking for unity permits. We are going to complete it we	2 3 4 5 6	customer requirements now it's always They want less stairs. They want to have a ranch home, not too many stairs. Basement is an option. They want to finish it later on. Some people want to do it right away. That's what we see in the market. Big homes is not something we see a lot. And with this lot,
2 3 4 5 6 7	COMMISSIONER ABRI: Would you be picking out the individual permit for the house? MR. PRASHANTHA: Yes. COMMISSIONER ABRI: Yes. We are not looking for unity permits. We are going to complete it we are going to each one comes in separately for the	2 3 4 5 6 7	customer requirements now it's always They want less stairs. They want to have a ranch home, not too many stairs. Basement is an option. They want to finish it later on. Some people want to do it right away. That's what we see in the market. Big homes is not something we see a lot. And with this lot, it's not possible to do a big home.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COMMISSIONER ABRI: Would you be picking out the individual permit for the house? MR. PRASHANTHA: Yes. COMMISSIONER ABRI: Yes. We are not looking for unity permits. We are going to complete it we are going to each one comes in separately for the permit.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	customer requirements now it's always They want less stairs. They want to have a ranch home, not too many stairs. Basement is an option. They want to finish it later on. Some people want to do it right away. That's what we see in the market. Big homes is not something we see a lot. And with this lot, it's not possible to do a big home. These are all of the elevations that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER ABRI: Would you be picking out the individual permit for the house? MR. PRASHANTHA: Yes. COMMISSIONER ABRI: Yes. We are not looking for unity permits. We are going to complete it we are going to each one comes in separately for the permit. COMMISSIONER ABRI: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	customer requirements now it's always They want less stairs. They want to have a ranch home, not too many stairs. Basement is an option. They want to finish it later on. Some people want to do it right away. That's what we see in the market. Big homes is not something we see a lot. And with this lot, it's not possible to do a big home. These are all of the elevations that we have. We kind of took on four models that we end
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COMMISSIONER ABRI: Would you be picking out the individual permit for the house? MR. PRASHANTHA: Yes. COMMISSIONER ABRI: Yes. We are not looking for unity permits. We are going to complete it we are going to each one comes in separately for the permit. COMMISSIONER ABRI: Thank you. COMMISSIONER GILLIS: You aren't going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	customer requirements now it's always They want less stairs. They want to have a ranch home, not too many stairs. Basement is an option. They want to finish it later on. Some people want to do it right away. That's what we see in the market. Big homes is not something we see a lot. And with this lot, it's not possible to do a big home. These are all of the elevations that we have. We kind of took on four models that we end up with. This is how it looks like, and we have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COMMISSIONER ABRI: Would you be picking out the individual permit for the house? MR. PRASHANTHA: Yes. COMMISSIONER ABRI: Yes. We are not looking for unity permits. We are going to complete it we are going to each one comes in separately for the permit. COMMISSIONER ABRI: Thank you. COMMISSIONER GILLIS: You aren't going to sell any lots unless you build a house.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	customer requirements now it's always They want less stairs. They want to have a ranch home, not too many stairs. Basement is an option. They want to finish it later on. Some people want to do it right away. That's what we see in the market. Big homes is not something we see a lot. And with this lot, it's not possible to do a big home. These are all of the elevations that we have. We kind of took on four models that we end up with. This is how it looks like, and we have entrance from Freeman, it loops through. We went
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COMMISSIONER ABRI: Would you be picking out the individual permit for the house? MR. PRASHANTHA: Yes. COMMISSIONER ABRI: Yes. We are not looking for unity permits. We are going to complete it we are going to each one comes in separately for the permit. COMMISSIONER ABRI: Thank you. COMMISSIONER GILLIS: You aren't going to sell any lots unless you build a house. MR. PRASHANTHA: Yes. We are not We don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	customer requirements now it's always They want less stairs. They want to have a ranch home, not too many stairs. Basement is an option. They want to finish it later on. Some people want to do it right away. That's what we see in the market. Big homes is not something we see a lot. And with this lot, it's not possible to do a big home. These are all of the elevations that we have. We kind of took on four models that we end up with. This is how it looks like, and we have entrance from Freeman, it loops through. We went through Our engineer looked at on the fire
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COMMISSIONER ABRI: Would you be picking out the individual permit for the house? MR. PRASHANTHA: Yes. COMMISSIONER ABRI: Yes. We are not looking for unity permits. We are going to complete it we are going to each one comes in separately for the permit. COMMISSIONER ABRI: Thank you. COMMISSIONER GILLIS: You aren't going to sell any lots unless you build a house. MR. PRASHANTHA: Yes. We are not We don't sell the lots.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	customer requirements now it's always They want less stairs. They want to have a ranch home, not too many stairs. Basement is an option. They want to finish it later on. Some people want to do it right away. That's what we see in the market. Big homes is not something we see a lot. And with this lot, it's not possible to do a big home. These are all of the elevations that we have. We kind of took on four models that we end up with. This is how it looks like, and we have entrance from Freeman, it loops through. We went through Our engineer looked at on the fire department, and there is access. We think it is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COMMISSIONER ABRI: Would you be picking out the individual permit for the house? MR. PRASHANTHA: Yes. COMMISSIONER ABRI: Yes. We are not looking for unity permits. We are going to complete it we are going to each one comes in separately for the permit. COMMISSIONER ABRI: Thank you. COMMISSIONER GILLIS: You aren't going to sell any lots unless you build a house. MR. PRASHANTHA: Yes. We are not We don't sell the lots. COMMISSIONER GILLIS: That way you have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	customer requirements now it's always They want less stairs. They want to have a ranch home, not too many stairs. Basement is an option. They want to finish it later on. Some people want to do it right away. That's what we see in the market. Big homes is not something we see a lot. And with this lot, it's not possible to do a big home. These are all of the elevations that we have. We kind of took on four models that we end up with. This is how it looks like, and we have entrance from Freeman, it loops through. We went through Our engineer looked at on the fire department, and there is access. We think it is really a best design for this. And we also submitted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COMMISSIONER ABRI: Would you be picking out the individual permit for the house? MR. PRASHANTHA: Yes. COMMISSIONER ABRI: Yes. We are not looking for unity permits. We are going to complete it we are going to each one comes in separately for the permit. COMMISSIONER ABRI: Thank you. COMMISSIONER GILLIS: You aren't going to sell any lots unless you build a house. MR. PRASHANTHA: Yes. We are not We don't sell the lots. COMMISSIONER GILLIS: That way you have control of what goes in there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	customer requirements now it's always They want less stairs. They want to have a ranch home, not too many stairs. Basement is an option. They want to finish it later on. Some people want to do it right away. That's what we see in the market. Big homes is not something we see a lot. And with this lot, it's not possible to do a big home. These are all of the elevations that we have. We kind of took on four models that we end up with. This is how it looks like, and we have entrance from Freeman, it loops through. We went through Our engineer looked at on the fire department, and there is access. We think it is really a best design for this. And we also submitted probably like five or six architect plans, kind of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COMMISSIONER ABRI: Would you be picking out the individual permit for the house? MR. PRASHANTHA: Yes. COMMISSIONER ABRI: Yes. We are not looking for unity permits. We are going to complete it we are going to each one comes in separately for the permit. COMMISSIONER ABRI: Thank you. COMMISSIONER GILLIS: You aren't going to sell any lots unless you build a house. MR. PRASHANTHA: Yes. We are not We don't sell the lots. COMMISSIONER GILLIS: That way you have control of what goes in there. MR. PRASHANTHA: Exactly. It will be the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	customer requirements now it's always They want less stairs. They want to have a ranch home, not too many stairs. Basement is an option. They want to finish it later on. Some people want to do it right away. That's what we see in the market. Big homes is not something we see a lot. And with this lot, it's not possible to do a big home. These are all of the elevations that we have. We kind of took on four models that we end up with. This is how it looks like, and we have entrance from Freeman, it loops through. We went through Our engineer looked at on the fire department, and there is access. We think it is really a best design for this. And we also submitted probably like five or six architect plans, kind of how it's going to look. We are thinking if we have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COMMISSIONER ABRI: Would you be picking out the individual permit for the house? MR. PRASHANTHA: Yes. COMMISSIONER ABRI: Yes. We are not looking for unity permits. We are going to complete it we are going to each one comes in separately for the permit. COMMISSIONER ABRI: Thank you. COMMISSIONER GILLIS: You aren't going to sell any lots unless you build a house. MR. PRASHANTHA: Yes. We are not We don't sell the lots. COMMISSIONER GILLIS: That way you have control of what goes in there. MR. PRASHANTHA: Exactly. It will be the same architect. We are not going to choose different	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	customer requirements now it's always They want less stairs. They want to have a ranch home, not too many stairs. Basement is an option. They want to finish it later on. Some people want to do it right away. That's what we see in the market. Big homes is not something we see a lot. And with this lot, it's not possible to do a big home. These are all of the elevations that we have. We kind of took on four models that we end up with. This is how it looks like, and we have entrance from Freeman, it loops through. We went through Our engineer looked at on the fire department, and there is access. We think it is really a best design for this. And we also submitted probably like five or six architect plans, kind of how it's going to look. We are thinking if we have to get that island that we have, having a fountain or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER ABRI: Would you be picking out the individual permit for the house? MR. PRASHANTHA: Yes. COMMISSIONER ABRI: Yes. We are not looking for unity permits. We are going to complete it we are going to each one comes in separately for the permit. COMMISSIONER ABRI: Thank you. COMMISSIONER GILLIS: You aren't going to sell any lots unless you build a house. MR. PRASHANTHA: Yes. We are not We don't sell the lots. COMMISSIONER GILLIS: That way you have control of what goes in there. MR. PRASHANTHA: Exactly. It will be the same architect. We are not going to choose different architects. So that makes it easier.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	customer requirements now it's always They want less stairs. They want to have a ranch home, not too many stairs. Basement is an option. They want to finish it later on. Some people want to do it right away. That's what we see in the market. Big homes is not something we see a lot. And with this lot, it's not possible to do a big home. These are all of the elevations that we have. We kind of took on four models that we end up with. This is how it looks like, and we have entrance from Freeman, it loops through. We went through Our engineer looked at on the fire department, and there is access. We think it is really a best design for this. And we also submitted probably like five or six architect plans, kind of how it's going to look. We are thinking if we have to get that island that we have, having a fountain or something like that. That's pretty much it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COMMISSIONER ABRI: Would you be picking out the individual permit for the house? MR. PRASHANTHA: Yes. COMMISSIONER ABRI: Yes. We are not looking for unity permits. We are going to complete it we are going to each one comes in separately for the permit. COMMISSIONER ABRI: Thank you. COMMISSIONER GILLIS: You aren't going to sell any lots unless you build a house. MR. PRASHANTHA: Yes. We are not We don't sell the lots. COMMISSIONER GILLIS: That way you have control of what goes in there. MR. PRASHANTHA: Exactly. It will be the same architect. We are not going to choose different architects. So that makes it easier. COMMISSIONER FOX: I was just wondering	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	customer requirements now it's always They want less stairs. They want to have a ranch home, not too many stairs. Basement is an option. They want to finish it later on. Some people want to do it right away. That's what we see in the market. Big homes is not something we see a lot. And with this lot, it's not possible to do a big home. These are all of the elevations that we have. We kind of took on four models that we end up with. This is how it looks like, and we have entrance from Freeman, it loops through. We went through Our engineer looked at on the fire department, and there is access. We think it is really a best design for this. And we also submitted probably like five or six architect plans, kind of how it's going to look. We are thinking if we have to get that island that we have, having a fountain or something like that. That's pretty much it. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: So again, the well is what, you have a central well or?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COMMISSIONER ABRI: Would you be picking out the individual permit for the house? MR. PRASHANTHA: Yes. COMMISSIONER ABRI: Yes. We are not looking for unity permits. We are going to complete it we are going to each one comes in separately for the permit. COMMISSIONER ABRI: Thank you. COMMISSIONER GILLIS: You aren't going to sell any lots unless you build a house. MR. PRASHANTHA: Yes. We are not We don't sell the lots. COMMISSIONER GILLIS: That way you have control of what goes in there. MR. PRASHANTHA: Exactly. It will be the same architect. We are not going to choose different architects. So that makes it easier. COMMISSIONER FOX: I was just wondering what How did you come up with the sizes? These	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	customer requirements now it's always They want less stairs. They want to have a ranch home, not too many stairs. Basement is an option. They want to finish it later on. Some people want to do it right away. That's what we see in the market. Big homes is not something we see a lot. And with this lot, it's not possible to do a big home. These are all of the elevations that we have. We kind of took on four models that we end up with. This is how it looks like, and we have entrance from Freeman, it loops through. We went through Our engineer looked at on the fire department, and there is access. We think it is really a best design for this. And we also submitted probably like five or six architect plans, kind of how it's going to look. We are thinking if we have to get that island that we have, having a fountain or something like that. That's pretty much it. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: So again, the well is what, you have a central well or? MR. PRASHANTHA: No, we are going to make
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER ABRI: Would you be picking out the individual permit for the house? MR. PRASHANTHA: Yes. COMMISSIONER ABRI: Yes. We are not looking for unity permits. We are going to complete it we are going to each one comes in separately for the permit. COMMISSIONER ABRI: Thank you. COMMISSIONER GILLIS: You aren't going to sell any lots unless you build a house. MR. PRASHANTHA: Yes. We are not We don't sell the lots. COMMISSIONER GILLIS: That way you have control of what goes in there. MR. PRASHANTHA: Exactly. It will be the same architect. We are not going to choose different architects. So that makes it easier. COMMISSIONER FOX: I was just wondering what How did you come up with the sizes? These are very small lots, especially for South Barrington.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	customer requirements now it's always They want less stairs. They want to have a ranch home, not too many stairs. Basement is an option. They want to finish it later on. Some people want to do it right away. That's what we see in the market. Big homes is not something we see a lot. And with this lot, it's not possible to do a big home. These are all of the elevations that we have. We kind of took on four models that we end up with. This is how it looks like, and we have entrance from Freeman, it loops through. We went through Our engineer looked at on the fire department, and there is access. We think it is really a best design for this. And we also submitted probably like five or six architect plans, kind of how it's going to look. We are thinking if we have to get that island that we have, having a fountain or something like that. That's pretty much it. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: So again, the well is what, you have a central well or?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER ABRI: Would you be picking out the individual permit for the house? MR. PRASHANTHA: Yes. COMMISSIONER ABRI: Yes. We are not looking for unity permits. We are going to complete it we are going to each one comes in separately for the permit. COMMISSIONER ABRI: Thank you. COMMISSIONER GILLIS: You aren't going to sell any lots unless you build a house. MR. PRASHANTHA: Yes. We are not We don't sell the lots. COMMISSIONER GILLIS: That way you have control of what goes in there. MR. PRASHANTHA: Exactly. It will be the same architect. We are not going to choose different architects. So that makes it easier. COMMISSIONER FOX: I was just wondering what How did you come up with the sizes? These are very small lots, especially for South Barrington. You know, you're looking at, you know .19 for some of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	customer requirements now it's always They want less stairs. They want to have a ranch home, not too many stairs. Basement is an option. They want to finish it later on. Some people want to do it right away. That's what we see in the market. Big homes is not something we see a lot. And with this lot, it's not possible to do a big home. These are all of the elevations that we have. We kind of took on four models that we end up with. This is how it looks like, and we have entrance from Freeman, it loops through. We went through Our engineer looked at on the fire department, and there is access. We think it is really a best design for this. And we also submitted probably like five or six architect plans, kind of how it's going to look. We are thinking if we have to get that island that we have, having a fountain or something like that. That's pretty much it. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: So again, the well is what, you have a central well or? MR. PRASHANTHA: No, we are going to make two, one drill, two pumps, one next to another. If

8 (Pages 26 to 29)

	Page 30		Page 32
1	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: And what about the	1	lot of water.
2	septic, how is that going to work? You have a	2	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yeah.
3	separate system for the whole development?	3	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: But that system can
4	MR. PRASHANTHA: We kind of We assume	4	work?
5	let's say every single home is five bedroom and bath,	5	MR. PRASHANTHA: Yeah. They have them, you
6	we sized it for, you know, that is what we are	6	know, you can just keep adding up as you increase the
7	bringing a BioBarrier system. It's going to be kept	7	number of bedroom, bathrooms.
8	on the northeast corner, and there will be a service.	8	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Todd, I see you're here.
9	This will be a maintenance free whether it's a water,	9	Do you have some comments about this?
10	septic or sewer. All of those things will be	10	MR. SHAFFER: Todd Shaffer, principal with
11	maintained by HOA.	11	Haeger Engineering, 100 East State Parkway,
12	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: I didn't understand the	12	Schaumburg, Illinois.
13	septic. Can you go over that again?	13	I was retained to assist the village
14	MR. PRASHANTHA: So we are going to connect	14	with the review with respect to the site plan,
15	all of these things to one place. A BioBarrier	15	stormwater management and other subdivision-related
16	system will end up putting it in one place. It will	16	items. I did a quick review. I received documents
17	be kind of underground, and it will be treated by a	17	on Friday. And in coordination with Natalie, there
18	third party.	18	are although the checkboxes are there with respect
19	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: So Natalie, have you	19	to the PUD supporting documents, we do have some
20	looked at that?	20	concerns that should be addressed before the public
21	MS. KEARNY: I've looked at. I haven't seen	21	hearing process starts, is our recommendation.
22	the specific sizing of the unit. I did ask them to	22	I can go through those concerns, if
23	make sure they designed each house for five bedrooms	23	you would like me to. If you pull the site plan it
24	so that when they get to the design stage of the	24	would be beneficial to the audience members and the
	Page 31		Page 33
1	Page 31 BioBarrier, it would be designed for five bedrooms	1	Page 33 Plan Commission to see that.
1 2	_	1 2	-
	BioBarrier, it would be designed for five bedrooms		Plan Commission to see that.
2	BioBarrier, it would be designed for five bedrooms per home. The question is going to be maintenance. They'll need a regular person that will	2	Plan Commission to see that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay.
2 3	BioBarrier, it would be designed for five bedrooms per home. The question is going to be maintenance. They'll need a regular person that will have to inspect it and test the outflow of the unit.	2 3	Plan Commission to see that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. MR. SHAFFER: I apologize my comments might be going in one direction and go back just because my the way I took my notes when I was reviewing
2 3 4	BioBarrier, it would be designed for five bedrooms per home. The question is going to be maintenance. They'll need a regular person that will have to inspect it and test the outflow of the unit. I believe you're going to discharge it into the	2 3 4	Plan Commission to see that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. MR. SHAFFER: I apologize my comments might be going in one direction and go back just because
2 3 4 5	BioBarrier, it would be designed for five bedrooms per home. The question is going to be maintenance. They'll need a regular person that will have to inspect it and test the outflow of the unit.	2 3 4 5	Plan Commission to see that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. MR. SHAFFER: I apologize my comments might be going in one direction and go back just because my the way I took my notes when I was reviewing all of the documents. So the technical nature as it
2 3 4 5 6	BioBarrier, it would be designed for five bedrooms per home. The question is going to be maintenance. They'll need a regular person that will have to inspect it and test the outflow of the unit. I believe you're going to discharge it into the	2 3 4 5 6	Plan Commission to see that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. MR. SHAFFER: I apologize my comments might be going in one direction and go back just because my the way I took my notes when I was reviewing all of the documents.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9	BioBarrier, it would be designed for five bedrooms per home. The question is going to be maintenance. They'll need a regular person that will have to inspect it and test the outflow of the unit. I believe you're going to discharge it into the detention pond first and then from there, since with detention pond, it will discharge where they're showing it into the ditch line, if you will, of	2 3 4 5 6 7	Plan Commission to see that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. MR. SHAFFER: I apologize my comments might be going in one direction and go back just because my the way I took my notes when I was reviewing all of the documents. So the technical nature as it relates to additional guidance for the design team for the developer, just from the existing conditions
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BioBarrier, it would be designed for five bedrooms per home. The question is going to be maintenance. They'll need a regular person that will have to inspect it and test the outflow of the unit. I believe you're going to discharge it into the detention pond first and then from there, since with detention pond, it will discharge where they're showing it into the ditch line, if you will, of Freeman Road. So it definitely has to be looked at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Plan Commission to see that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. MR. SHAFFER: I apologize my comments might be going in one direction and go back just because my the way I took my notes when I was reviewing all of the documents. So the technical nature as it relates to additional guidance for the design team for the developer, just from the existing conditions perspective, they submitted a topographic survey
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	BioBarrier, it would be designed for five bedrooms per home. The question is going to be maintenance. They'll need a regular person that will have to inspect it and test the outflow of the unit. I believe you're going to discharge it into the detention pond first and then from there, since with detention pond, it will discharge where they're showing it into the ditch line, if you will, of Freeman Road. So it definitely has to be looked at on a regular basis, reports done and that sort of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Plan Commission to see that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. MR. SHAFFER: I apologize my comments might be going in one direction and go back just because my the way I took my notes when I was reviewing all of the documents. So the technical nature as it relates to additional guidance for the design team for the developer, just from the existing conditions perspective, they submitted a topographic survey which includes the site and adjacent right-of-way.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BioBarrier, it would be designed for five bedrooms per home. The question is going to be maintenance. They'll need a regular person that will have to inspect it and test the outflow of the unit. I believe you're going to discharge it into the detention pond first and then from there, since with detention pond, it will discharge where they're showing it into the ditch line, if you will, of Freeman Road. So it definitely has to be looked at on a regular basis, reports done and that sort of thing to make sure that the outflow coming out is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Plan Commission to see that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. MR. SHAFFER: I apologize my comments might be going in one direction and go back just because my the way I took my notes when I was reviewing all of the documents. So the technical nature as it relates to additional guidance for the design team for the developer, just from the existing conditions perspective, they submitted a topographic survey which includes the site and adjacent right-of-way. They also submitted a tree survey. One of the items
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BioBarrier, it would be designed for five bedrooms per home. The question is going to be maintenance. They'll need a regular person that will have to inspect it and test the outflow of the unit. I believe you're going to discharge it into the detention pond first and then from there, since with detention pond, it will discharge where they're showing it into the ditch line, if you will, of Freeman Road. So it definitely has to be looked at on a regular basis, reports done and that sort of thing to make sure that the outflow coming out is properly treated.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Plan Commission to see that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. MR. SHAFFER: I apologize my comments might be going in one direction and go back just because my the way I took my notes when I was reviewing all of the documents. So the technical nature as it relates to additional guidance for the design team for the developer, just from the existing conditions perspective, they submitted a topographic survey which includes the site and adjacent right-of-way. They also submitted a tree survey. One of the items that's lacking is there are some storm sewers that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BioBarrier, it would be designed for five bedrooms per home. The question is going to be maintenance. They'll need a regular person that will have to inspect it and test the outflow of the unit. I believe you're going to discharge it into the detention pond first and then from there, since with detention pond, it will discharge where they're showing it into the ditch line, if you will, of Freeman Road. So it definitely has to be looked at on a regular basis, reports done and that sort of thing to make sure that the outflow coming out is properly treated. MR. PRASHANTHA: It's not something new.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Plan Commission to see that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. MR. SHAFFER: I apologize my comments might be going in one direction and go back just because my the way I took my notes when I was reviewing all of the documents. So the technical nature as it relates to additional guidance for the design team for the developer, just from the existing conditions perspective, they submitted a topographic survey which includes the site and adjacent right-of-way. They also submitted a tree survey. One of the items that's lacking is there are some storm sewers that are running underneath the adjacent roadways where
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BioBarrier, it would be designed for five bedrooms per home. The question is going to be maintenance. They'll need a regular person that will have to inspect it and test the outflow of the unit. I believe you're going to discharge it into the detention pond first and then from there, since with detention pond, it will discharge where they're showing it into the ditch line, if you will, of Freeman Road. So it definitely has to be looked at on a regular basis, reports done and that sort of thing to make sure that the outflow coming out is properly treated. MR. PRASHANTHA: It's not something new. It's been there. So they have very good system.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Plan Commission to see that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. MR. SHAFFER: I apologize my comments might be going in one direction and go back just because my the way I took my notes when I was reviewing all of the documents. So the technical nature as it relates to additional guidance for the design team for the developer, just from the existing conditions perspective, they submitted a topographic survey which includes the site and adjacent right-of-way. They also submitted a tree survey. One of the items that's lacking is there are some storm sewers that are running underneath the adjacent roadways where only one end of the pipe was located, and in order
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BioBarrier, it would be designed for five bedrooms per home. The question is going to be maintenance. They'll need a regular person that will have to inspect it and test the outflow of the unit. I believe you're going to discharge it into the detention pond first and then from there, since with detention pond, it will discharge where they're showing it into the ditch line, if you will, of Freeman Road. So it definitely has to be looked at on a regular basis, reports done and that sort of thing to make sure that the outflow coming out is properly treated. MR. PRASHANTHA: It's not something new. It's been there. So they have very good system. MS. KARNEY: Yeah, they'll need an IEPA	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Plan Commission to see that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. MR. SHAFFER: I apologize my comments might be going in one direction and go back just because my the way I took my notes when I was reviewing all of the documents. So the technical nature as it relates to additional guidance for the design team for the developer, just from the existing conditions perspective, they submitted a topographic survey which includes the site and adjacent right-of-way. They also submitted a tree survey. One of the items that's lacking is there are some storm sewers that are running underneath the adjacent roadways where only one end of the pipe was located, and in order for me to do the review of the stormwater management,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BioBarrier, it would be designed for five bedrooms per home. The question is going to be maintenance. They'll need a regular person that will have to inspect it and test the outflow of the unit. I believe you're going to discharge it into the detention pond first and then from there, since with detention pond, it will discharge where they're showing it into the ditch line, if you will, of Freeman Road. So it definitely has to be looked at on a regular basis, reports done and that sort of thing to make sure that the outflow coming out is properly treated. MR. PRASHANTHA: It's not something new. It's been there. So they have very good system. MS. KARNEY: Yeah, they'll need an IEPA permit for this. It won't go through the county, per	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Plan Commission to see that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. MR. SHAFFER: I apologize my comments might be going in one direction and go back just because my the way I took my notes when I was reviewing all of the documents. So the technical nature as it relates to additional guidance for the design team for the developer, just from the existing conditions perspective, they submitted a topographic survey which includes the site and adjacent right-of-way. They also submitted a tree survey. One of the items that's lacking is there are some storm sewers that are running underneath the adjacent roadways where only one end of the pipe was located, and in order for me to do the review of the stormwater management, I need to know where that pipe ends. So we could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BioBarrier, it would be designed for five bedrooms per home. The question is going to be maintenance. They'll need a regular person that will have to inspect it and test the outflow of the unit. I believe you're going to discharge it into the detention pond first and then from there, since with detention pond, it will discharge where they're showing it into the ditch line, if you will, of Freeman Road. So it definitely has to be looked at on a regular basis, reports done and that sort of thing to make sure that the outflow coming out is properly treated. MR. PRASHANTHA: It's not something new. It's been there. So they have very good system. MS. KARNEY: Yeah, they'll need an IEPA permit for this. It won't go through the county, per se.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Plan Commission to see that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. MR. SHAFFER: I apologize my comments might be going in one direction and go back just because my the way I took my notes when I was reviewing all of the documents. So the technical nature as it relates to additional guidance for the design team for the developer, just from the existing conditions perspective, they submitted a topographic survey which includes the site and adjacent right-of-way. They also submitted a tree survey. One of the items that's lacking is there are some storm sewers that are running underneath the adjacent roadways where only one end of the pipe was located, and in order for me to do the review of the stormwater management, I need to know where that pipe ends. So we could find out if water is coming into the site or going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	BioBarrier, it would be designed for five bedrooms per home. The question is going to be maintenance. They'll need a regular person that will have to inspect it and test the outflow of the unit. I believe you're going to discharge it into the detention pond first and then from there, since with detention pond, it will discharge where they're showing it into the ditch line, if you will, of Freeman Road. So it definitely has to be looked at on a regular basis, reports done and that sort of thing to make sure that the outflow coming out is properly treated. MR. PRASHANTHA: It's not something new. It's been there. So they have very good system. MS. KARNEY: Yeah, they'll need an IEPA permit for this. It won't go through the county, per se. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Does anybody have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Plan Commission to see that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. MR. SHAFFER: I apologize my comments might be going in one direction and go back just because my the way I took my notes when I was reviewing all of the documents. So the technical nature as it relates to additional guidance for the design team for the developer, just from the existing conditions perspective, they submitted a topographic survey which includes the site and adjacent right-of-way. They also submitted a tree survey. One of the items that's lacking is there are some storm sewers that are running underneath the adjacent roadways where only one end of the pipe was located, and in order for me to do the review of the stormwater management, I need to know where that pipe ends. So we could find out if water is coming into the site or going away from the site.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BioBarrier, it would be designed for five bedrooms per home. The question is going to be maintenance. They'll need a regular person that will have to inspect it and test the outflow of the unit. I believe you're going to discharge it into the detention pond first and then from there, since with detention pond, it will discharge where they're showing it into the ditch line, if you will, of Freeman Road. So it definitely has to be looked at on a regular basis, reports done and that sort of thing to make sure that the outflow coming out is properly treated. MR. PRASHANTHA: It's not something new. It's been there. So they have very good system. MS. KARNEY: Yeah, they'll need an IEPA permit for this. It won't go through the county, per se. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Does anybody have any other questions?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Plan Commission to see that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. MR. SHAFFER: I apologize my comments might be going in one direction and go back just because my the way I took my notes when I was reviewing all of the documents. So the technical nature as it relates to additional guidance for the design team for the developer, just from the existing conditions perspective, they submitted a topographic survey which includes the site and adjacent right-of-way. They also submitted a tree survey. One of the items that's lacking is there are some storm sewers that are running underneath the adjacent roadways where only one end of the pipe was located, and in order for me to do the review of the stormwater management, I need to know where that pipe ends. So we could find out if water is coming into the site or going away from the site.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 BioBarrier, it would be designed for five bedrooms per home. The question is going to be maintenance. They'll need a regular person that will have to inspect it and test the outflow of the unit. I believe you're going to discharge it into the detention pond first and then from there, since with detention pond, it will discharge where they're showing it into the ditch line, if you will, of Freeman Road. So it definitely has to be looked at on a regular basis, reports done and that sort of thing to make sure that the outflow coming out is properly treated. MR. PRASHANTHA: It's not something new. It's been there. So they have very good system. MS. KARNEY: Yeah, they'll need an IEPA permit for this. It won't go through the county, per se. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Does anybody have any other questions? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: I was thinking, you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Plan Commission to see that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. MR. SHAFFER: I apologize my comments might be going in one direction and go back just because my the way I took my notes when I was reviewing all of the documents. So the technical nature as it relates to additional guidance for the design team for the developer, just from the existing conditions perspective, they submitted a topographic survey which includes the site and adjacent right-of-way. They also submitted a tree survey. One of the items that's lacking is there are some storm sewers that are running underneath the adjacent roadways where only one end of the pipe was located, and in order for me to do the review of the stormwater management, I need to know where that pipe ends. So we could find out if water is coming into the site or going away from the site. From a standpoint of the tree removal and preservation plan, when I reviewed the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 BioBarrier, it would be designed for five bedrooms per home. The question is going to be maintenance. They'll need a regular person that will have to inspect it and test the outflow of the unit. I believe you're going to discharge it into the detention pond first and then from there, since with detention pond, it will discharge where they're showing it into the ditch line, if you will, of Freeman Road. So it definitely has to be looked at on a regular basis, reports done and that sort of thing to make sure that the outflow coming out is properly treated. MR. PRASHANTHA: It's not something new. It's been there. So they have very good system. MS. KARNEY: Yeah, they'll need an IEPA permit for this. It won't go through the county, per se. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Does anybody have any other questions? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: I was thinking, you know, my home when I lived in The Glen had two 500 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Plan Commission to see that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. MR. SHAFFER: I apologize my comments might be going in one direction and go back just because my the way I took my notes when I was reviewing all of the documents. So the technical nature as it relates to additional guidance for the design team for the developer, just from the existing conditions perspective, they submitted a topographic survey which includes the site and adjacent right-of-way. They also submitted a tree survey. One of the items that's lacking is there are some storm sewers that are running underneath the adjacent roadways where only one end of the pipe was located, and in order for me to do the review of the stormwater management, I need to know where that pipe ends. So we could find out if water is coming into the site or going away from the site. From a standpoint of the tree removal and preservation plan, when I reviewed the tree removal and preservation plan, it appeared to me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 BioBarrier, it would be designed for five bedrooms per home. The question is going to be maintenance. They'll need a regular person that will have to inspect it and test the outflow of the unit. I believe you're going to discharge it into the detention pond first and then from there, since with detention pond, it will discharge where they're showing it into the ditch line, if you will, of Freeman Road. So it definitely has to be looked at on a regular basis, reports done and that sort of thing to make sure that the outflow coming out is properly treated. MR. PRASHANTHA: It's not something new. It's been there. So they have very good system. MS. KARNEY: Yeah, they'll need an IEPA permit for this. It won't go through the county, per se. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Does anybody have any other questions? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: I was thinking, you know, my home when I lived in The Glen had two 500 gallon tanks, one house. Here you have 35 lots with 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Plan Commission to see that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. MR. SHAFFER: I apologize my comments might be going in one direction and go back just because my the way I took my notes when I was reviewing all of the documents. So the technical nature as it relates to additional guidance for the design team for the developer, just from the existing conditions perspective, they submitted a topographic survey which includes the site and adjacent right-of-way. They also submitted a tree survey. One of the items that's lacking is there are some storm sewers that are running underneath the adjacent roadways where only one end of the pipe was located, and in order for me to do the review of the stormwater management, I need to know where that pipe ends. So we could find out if water is coming into the site or going away from the site. From a standpoint of the tree removal and preservation plan, when I reviewed the tree removal and preservation plan, it appeared to me that the tree preservation was looked at more on a 2D
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 BioBarrier, it would be designed for five bedrooms per home. The question is going to be maintenance. They'll need a regular person that will have to inspect it and test the outflow of the unit. I believe you're going to discharge it into the detention pond first and then from there, since with detention pond, it will discharge where they're showing it into the ditch line, if you will, of Freeman Road. So it definitely has to be looked at on a regular basis, reports done and that sort of thing to make sure that the outflow coming out is properly treated. MR. PRASHANTHA: It's not something new. It's been there. So they have very good system. MS. KARNEY: Yeah, they'll need an IEPA permit for this. It won't go through the county, per se. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Does anybody have any other questions? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: I was thinking, you know, my home when I lived in The Glen had two 500 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Plan Commission to see that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. MR. SHAFFER: I apologize my comments might be going in one direction and go back just because my the way I took my notes when I was reviewing all of the documents. So the technical nature as it relates to additional guidance for the design team for the developer, just from the existing conditions perspective, they submitted a topographic survey which includes the site and adjacent right-of-way. They also submitted a tree survey. One of the items that's lacking is there are some storm sewers that are running underneath the adjacent roadways where only one end of the pipe was located, and in order for me to do the review of the stormwater management, I need to know where that pipe ends. So we could find out if water is coming into the site or going away from the site. From a standpoint of the tree removal and preservation plan, when I reviewed the tree removal and preservation plan, it appeared to me

9 (Pages 30 to 33)

	Page 34		Page 36
1	that are shown as saved that are impossible to save	1	hearing process. But at the price point and
2	based on the grading, utilities and proposed	2	aesthetics and in my experience with developments
3	endurance. So I urge the landscape architect to	3	like this, people do want some outdoor space, and so
4	review the trees in conjunction with the engineering	4	take that in to consideration.
5	plans and come back with a more realistic tree	5	As it relates to the driveways, all
6	preservation as to how many existing trees within the	6	of the homes are proposed to be side-loaded houses,
7	site can actually be saved.	7	and the concern that we have is that the back-up
8	From a standpoint of site plan, and	8	maneuvering, the distance from the garage to the edge
9	this is based on information I received two hours	9	of the driveway needs to be increased in order for a
10	ago, Village of Hoffman Estates gave a comment	10	car to back out of their garage and then pull into
11	Freeman Road is under the jurisdiction of Hoffman	11	the street. As drawn, I don't believe a car can make
12	Estates adjacent to the site, north of Mundhank is	12	that movement. They'd be forced to back up entirely
13	under the jurisdiction of the Cook County Highway	13	from the garage into the street. And so with
14	Department. So Freeman Road is a dual jurisdictional	14	maneuvering with some auto turns to prove those can
15	in this area, but this is in a location where access	15	work out, this car should be pulling on to the street
16	is controlled by Hoffman Estates, not the Cook County	16	from the standpoint of having side-loaded garage.
17	Highway Department with respect to Freeman Road.	17	I don't know if the Fire Protection
18	Mundhank is Cook County Highway Department.	18	District was approached from a standpoint of roadway
19	A comment that was received by the	19	widths. They are adequate for fire protection.
20	Village of Hoffman Estates, Jim Donohue, is that	20	There was some radius to be labeled on the plan to
21	Hoffman Estates does not support a single access to	21	make sure they are in conformance with South
22	Freeman Road. So that is something that the	22	Barrington, as well as fire truck turning. The one
23	developer is going to have to work out and their	23	question that I would have for the Fire Protection
24	traffic consultant to satisfy Hoffman Estates as to	24	District is the entry roadway width. It's currently
			Pare 37
	Page 35		Page 37
1	what that comment entails, if it means secondary full	1	noted as 15 feet back of curve to back of curve, 14
2	what that comment entails, if it means secondary full access or restricted access to Mundhank, two access	2	noted as 15 feet back of curve to back of curve, 14 feet face to face. It just depends on the width.
2 3	what that comment entails, if it means secondary full access or restricted access to Mundhank, two access points to Freeman, emergency access to Mundhank. I	2 3	noted as 15 feet back of curve to back of curve, 14 feet face to face. It just depends on the width. These are adjacent houses, and they are considered a
2 3 4	what that comment entails, if it means secondary full access or restricted access to Mundhank, two access points to Freeman, emergency access to Mundhank. I don't know what where their comments come from.	2 3 4	noted as 15 feet back of curve to back of curve, 14 feet face to face. It just depends on the width. These are adjacent houses, and they are considered a fire lane, not an access drive. So from a standpoint
2 3 4 5	what that comment entails, if it means secondary full access or restricted access to Mundhank, two access points to Freeman, emergency access to Mundhank. I don't know what where their comments come from. They gave it to us right before the meeting.	2 3 4 5	noted as 15 feet back of curve to back of curve, 14 feet face to face. It just depends on the width. These are adjacent houses, and they are considered a fire lane, not an access drive. So from a standpoint of that, I defer to fire department to make a ruling
2 3 4 5 6	what that comment entails, if it means secondary full access or restricted access to Mundhank, two access points to Freeman, emergency access to Mundhank. I don't know what where their comments come from. They gave it to us right before the meeting. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6	noted as 15 feet back of curve to back of curve, 14 feet face to face. It just depends on the width. These are adjacent houses, and they are considered a fire lane, not an access drive. So from a standpoint of that, I defer to fire department to make a ruling on the width of the entry road. They are providing a
2 3 4 5 6 7	what that comment entails, if it means secondary full access or restricted access to Mundhank, two access points to Freeman, emergency access to Mundhank. I don't know what where their comments come from. They gave it to us right before the meeting. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. MR. SHAFFER: From the standpoint of the site	2 3 4 5 6 7	noted as 15 feet back of curve to back of curve, 14 feet face to face. It just depends on the width. These are adjacent houses, and they are considered a fire lane, not an access drive. So from a standpoint of that, I defer to fire department to make a ruling on the width of the entry road. They are providing a median. One question we do have for the Petitioner
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	what that comment entails, if it means secondary full access or restricted access to Mundhank, two access points to Freeman, emergency access to Mundhank. I don't know what where their comments come from. They gave it to us right before the meeting. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. MR. SHAFFER: From the standpoint of the site plan in general, the data table that was presented in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	noted as 15 feet back of curve to back of curve, 14 feet face to face. It just depends on the width. These are adjacent houses, and they are considered a fire lane, not an access drive. So from a standpoint of that, I defer to fire department to make a ruling on the width of the entry road. They are providing a median. One question we do have for the Petitioner is if there is ever was Is there any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	what that comment entails, if it means secondary full access or restricted access to Mundhank, two access points to Freeman, emergency access to Mundhank. I don't know what where their comments come from. They gave it to us right before the meeting. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. MR. SHAFFER: From the standpoint of the site plan in general, the data table that was presented in the submittal package includes front, side and rear	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	noted as 15 feet back of curve to back of curve, 14 feet face to face. It just depends on the width. These are adjacent houses, and they are considered a fire lane, not an access drive. So from a standpoint of that, I defer to fire department to make a ruling on the width of the entry road. They are providing a median. One question we do have for the Petitioner is if there is ever was Is there any consideration of making this a gated community?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	what that comment entails, if it means secondary full access or restricted access to Mundhank, two access points to Freeman, emergency access to Mundhank. I don't know what where their comments come from. They gave it to us right before the meeting. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. MR. SHAFFER: From the standpoint of the site plan in general, the data table that was presented in the submittal package includes front, side and rear yard setbacks. And the rear yard setback is listed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	noted as 15 feet back of curve to back of curve, 14 feet face to face. It just depends on the width. These are adjacent houses, and they are considered a fire lane, not an access drive. So from a standpoint of that, I defer to fire department to make a ruling on the width of the entry road. They are providing a median. One question we do have for the Petitioner is if there is ever was Is there any consideration of making this a gated community? MR. PRASHANTHA: We are evaluating that.
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	what that comment entails, if it means secondary full access or restricted access to Mundhank, two access points to Freeman, emergency access to Mundhank. I don't know what where their comments come from. They gave it to us right before the meeting. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. MR. SHAFFER: From the standpoint of the site plan in general, the data table that was presented in the submittal package includes front, side and rear yard setbacks. And the rear yard setback is listed as 25 feet, and that's consistent with some of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	noted as 15 feet back of curve to back of curve, 14 feet face to face. It just depends on the width. These are adjacent houses, and they are considered a fire lane, not an access drive. So from a standpoint of that, I defer to fire department to make a ruling on the width of the entry road. They are providing a median. One question we do have for the Petitioner is if there is ever was Is there any consideration of making this a gated community? MR. PRASHANTHA: We are evaluating that. That is one of the things. I will also saw this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	what that comment entails, if it means secondary full access or restricted access to Mundhank, two access points to Freeman, emergency access to Mundhank. I don't know what where their comments come from. They gave it to us right before the meeting. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. MR. SHAFFER: From the standpoint of the site plan in general, the data table that was presented in the submittal package includes front, side and rear yard setbacks. And the rear yard setback is listed as 25 feet, and that's consistent with some of the other zoning within the Village of South Barrington.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	noted as 15 feet back of curve to back of curve, 14 feet face to face. It just depends on the width. These are adjacent houses, and they are considered a fire lane, not an access drive. So from a standpoint of that, I defer to fire department to make a ruling on the width of the entry road. They are providing a median. One question we do have for the Petitioner is if there is ever was Is there any consideration of making this a gated community? MR. PRASHANTHA: We are evaluating that. That is one of the things. I will also saw this comment from Hoffman Estates a couple of hours back.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	what that comment entails, if it means secondary full access or restricted access to Mundhank, two access points to Freeman, emergency access to Mundhank. I don't know what where their comments come from. They gave it to us right before the meeting. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. MR. SHAFFER: From the standpoint of the site plan in general, the data table that was presented in the submittal package includes front, side and rear yard setbacks. And the rear yard setback is listed as 25 feet, and that's consistent with some of the other zoning within the Village of South Barrington. But there's one thing that really concerns me and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	noted as 15 feet back of curve to back of curve, 14 feet face to face. It just depends on the width. These are adjacent houses, and they are considered a fire lane, not an access drive. So from a standpoint of that, I defer to fire department to make a ruling on the width of the entry road. They are providing a median. One question we do have for the Petitioner is if there is ever was Is there any consideration of making this a gated community? MR. PRASHANTHA: We are evaluating that. That is one of the things. I will also saw this comment from Hoffman Estates a couple of hours back. I was just talking to my engineer to see how we can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	what that comment entails, if it means secondary full access or restricted access to Mundhank, two access points to Freeman, emergency access to Mundhank. I don't know what where their comments come from. They gave it to us right before the meeting. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. MR. SHAFFER: From the standpoint of the site plan in general, the data table that was presented in the submittal package includes front, side and rear yard setbacks. And the rear yard setback is listed as 25 feet, and that's consistent with some of the other zoning within the Village of South Barrington. But there's one thing that really concerns me and that is in my experience, South Barrington does not	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	noted as 15 feet back of curve to back of curve, 14 feet face to face. It just depends on the width. These are adjacent houses, and they are considered a fire lane, not an access drive. So from a standpoint of that, I defer to fire department to make a ruling on the width of the entry road. They are providing a median. One question we do have for the Petitioner is if there is ever was Is there any consideration of making this a gated community? MR. PRASHANTHA: We are evaluating that. That is one of the things. I will also saw this comment from Hoffman Estates a couple of hours back. I was just talking to my engineer to see how we can work this out and what are their concerns in terms
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	what that comment entails, if it means secondary full access or restricted access to Mundhank, two access points to Freeman, emergency access to Mundhank. I don't know what where their comments come from. They gave it to us right before the meeting. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. MR. SHAFFER: From the standpoint of the site plan in general, the data table that was presented in the submittal package includes front, side and rear yard setbacks. And the rear yard setback is listed as 25 feet, and that's consistent with some of the other zoning within the Village of South Barrington. But there's one thing that really concerns me and that is in my experience, South Barrington does not allow any accessory items within the setback area,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	noted as 15 feet back of curve to back of curve, 14 feet face to face. It just depends on the width. These are adjacent houses, and they are considered a fire lane, not an access drive. So from a standpoint of that, I defer to fire department to make a ruling on the width of the entry road. They are providing a median. One question we do have for the Petitioner is if there is ever was Is there any consideration of making this a gated community? MR. PRASHANTHA: We are evaluating that. That is one of the things. I will also saw this comment from Hoffman Estates a couple of hours back. I was just talking to my engineer to see how we can work this out and what are their concerns in terms of, you know, what is first to have just one access.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	what that comment entails, if it means secondary full access or restricted access to Mundhank, two access points to Freeman, emergency access to Mundhank. I don't know what where their comments come from. They gave it to us right before the meeting. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. MR. SHAFFER: From the standpoint of the site plan in general, the data table that was presented in the submittal package includes front, side and rear yard setbacks. And the rear yard setback is listed as 25 feet, and that's consistent with some of the other zoning within the Village of South Barrington. But there's one thing that really concerns me and that is in my experience, South Barrington does not allow any accessory items within the setback area, including patios, decks, anything in that manner, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	noted as 15 feet back of curve to back of curve, 14 feet face to face. It just depends on the width. These are adjacent houses, and they are considered a fire lane, not an access drive. So from a standpoint of that, I defer to fire department to make a ruling on the width of the entry road. They are providing a median. One question we do have for the Petitioner is if there is ever was Is there any consideration of making this a gated community? MR. PRASHANTHA: We are evaluating that. That is one of the things. I will also saw this comment from Hoffman Estates a couple of hours back. I was just talking to my engineer to see how we can work this out and what are their concerns in terms of, you know, what is first to have just one access. I'll be talking with my traffic consultant to talk
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	what that comment entails, if it means secondary full access or restricted access to Mundhank, two access points to Freeman, emergency access to Mundhank. I don't know what where their comments come from. They gave it to us right before the meeting. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. MR. SHAFFER: From the standpoint of the site plan in general, the data table that was presented in the submittal package includes front, side and rear yard setbacks. And the rear yard setback is listed as 25 feet, and that's consistent with some of the other zoning within the Village of South Barrington. But there's one thing that really concerns me and that is in my experience, South Barrington does not allow any accessory items within the setback area, including patios, decks, anything in that manner, and those items are illustrated on architectural plans.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	noted as 15 feet back of curve to back of curve, 14 feet face to face. It just depends on the width. These are adjacent houses, and they are considered a fire lane, not an access drive. So from a standpoint of that, I defer to fire department to make a ruling on the width of the entry road. They are providing a median. One question we do have for the Petitioner is if there is ever was Is there any consideration of making this a gated community? MR. PRASHANTHA: We are evaluating that. That is one of the things. I will also saw this comment from Hoffman Estates a couple of hours back. I was just talking to my engineer to see how we can work this out and what are their concerns in terms of, you know, what is first to have just one access. I'll be talking with my traffic consultant to talk with the village engineer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	what that comment entails, if it means secondary full access or restricted access to Mundhank, two access points to Freeman, emergency access to Mundhank. I don't know what where their comments come from. They gave it to us right before the meeting. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. MR. SHAFFER: From the standpoint of the site plan in general, the data table that was presented in the submittal package includes front, side and rear yard setbacks. And the rear yard setback is listed as 25 feet, and that's consistent with some of the other zoning within the Village of South Barrington. But there's one thing that really concerns me and that is in my experience, South Barrington does not allow any accessory items within the setback area, including patios, decks, anything in that manner, and those items are illustrated on architectural plans. They are not illustrated on the site plan. And so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	noted as 15 feet back of curve to back of curve, 14 feet face to face. It just depends on the width. These are adjacent houses, and they are considered a fire lane, not an access drive. So from a standpoint of that, I defer to fire department to make a ruling on the width of the entry road. They are providing a median. One question we do have for the Petitioner is if there is ever was Is there any consideration of making this a gated community? MR. PRASHANTHA: We are evaluating that. That is one of the things. I will also saw this comment from Hoffman Estates a couple of hours back. I was just talking to my engineer to see how we can work this out and what are their concerns in terms of, you know, what is first to have just one access. I'll be talking with my traffic consultant to talk with the village engineer. MR. SHAFFER: So my comment on the gated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	what that comment entails, if it means secondary full access or restricted access to Mundhank, two access points to Freeman, emergency access to Mundhank. I don't know what where their comments come from. They gave it to us right before the meeting. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. MR. SHAFFER: From the standpoint of the site plan in general, the data table that was presented in the submittal package includes front, side and rear yard setbacks. And the rear yard setback is listed as 25 feet, and that's consistent with some of the other zoning within the Village of South Barrington. But there's one thing that really concerns me and that is in my experience, South Barrington does not allow any accessory items within the setback area, including patios, decks, anything in that manner, and those items are illustrated on architectural plans. They are not illustrated on the site plan. And so some of these houses are butt up right against the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	noted as 15 feet back of curve to back of curve, 14 feet face to face. It just depends on the width. These are adjacent houses, and they are considered a fire lane, not an access drive. So from a standpoint of that, I defer to fire department to make a ruling on the width of the entry road. They are providing a median. One question we do have for the Petitioner is if there is ever was Is there any consideration of making this a gated community? MR. PRASHANTHA: We are evaluating that. That is one of the things. I will also saw this comment from Hoffman Estates a couple of hours back. I was just talking to my engineer to see how we can work this out and what are their concerns in terms of, you know, what is first to have just one access. I'll be talking with my traffic consultant to talk with the village engineer. MR. SHAFFER: So my comment on the gated community is the gated community allow for private
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	what that comment entails, if it means secondary full access or restricted access to Mundhank, two access points to Freeman, emergency access to Mundhank. I don't know what where their comments come from. They gave it to us right before the meeting. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. MR. SHAFFER: From the standpoint of the site plan in general, the data table that was presented in the submittal package includes front, side and rear yard setbacks. And the rear yard setback is listed as 25 feet, and that's consistent with some of the other zoning within the Village of South Barrington. But there's one thing that really concerns me and that is in my experience, South Barrington does not allow any accessory items within the setback area, including patios, decks, anything in that manner, and those items are illustrated on architectural plans. They are not illustrated on the site plan. And so some of these houses are butt up right against the 25 setback. It would be impossible for any patios	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	noted as 15 feet back of curve to back of curve, 14 feet face to face. It just depends on the width. These are adjacent houses, and they are considered a fire lane, not an access drive. So from a standpoint of that, I defer to fire department to make a ruling on the width of the entry road. They are providing a median. One question we do have for the Petitioner is if there is ever was Is there any consideration of making this a gated community? MR. PRASHANTHA: We are evaluating that. That is one of the things. I will also saw this comment from Hoffman Estates a couple of hours back. I was just talking to my engineer to see how we can work this out and what are their concerns in terms of, you know, what is first to have just one access. I'll be talking with my traffic consultant to talk with the village engineer. MR. SHAFFER: So my comment on the gated community is the gated community allow for private streets, not allow for public streets, but there are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	what that comment entails, if it means secondary full access or restricted access to Mundhank, two access points to Freeman, emergency access to Mundhank. I don't know what where their comments come from. They gave it to us right before the meeting. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. MR. SHAFFER: From the standpoint of the site plan in general, the data table that was presented in the submittal package includes front, side and rear yard setbacks. And the rear yard setback is listed as 25 feet, and that's consistent with some of the other zoning within the Village of South Barrington. But there's one thing that really concerns me and that is in my experience, South Barrington does not allow any accessory items within the setback area, including patios, decks, anything in that manner, and those items are illustrated on architectural plans. They are not illustrated on the site plan. And so some of these houses are butt up right against the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	noted as 15 feet back of curve to back of curve, 14 feet face to face. It just depends on the width. These are adjacent houses, and they are considered a fire lane, not an access drive. So from a standpoint of that, I defer to fire department to make a ruling on the width of the entry road. They are providing a median. One question we do have for the Petitioner is if there is ever was Is there any consideration of making this a gated community? MR. PRASHANTHA: We are evaluating that. That is one of the things. I will also saw this comment from Hoffman Estates a couple of hours back. I was just talking to my engineer to see how we can work this out and what are their concerns in terms of, you know, what is first to have just one access. I'll be talking with my traffic consultant to talk with the village engineer. MR. SHAFFER: So my comment on the gated community is the gated community allow for private streets, not allow for public streets, but there are certain turning that needs to be accounted for, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	what that comment entails, if it means secondary full access or restricted access to Mundhank, two access points to Freeman, emergency access to Mundhank. I don't know what where their comments come from. They gave it to us right before the meeting. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. MR. SHAFFER: From the standpoint of the site plan in general, the data table that was presented in the submittal package includes front, side and rear yard setbacks. And the rear yard setback is listed as 25 feet, and that's consistent with some of the other zoning within the Village of South Barrington. But there's one thing that really concerns me and that is in my experience, South Barrington does not allow any accessory items within the setback area, including patios, decks, anything in that manner, and those items are illustrated on architectural plans. They are not illustrated on the site plan. And so some of these houses are butt up right against the 25 setback. It would be impossible for any patios and livable outdoor situation. I think that is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	noted as 15 feet back of curve to back of curve, 14 feet face to face. It just depends on the width. These are adjacent houses, and they are considered a fire lane, not an access drive. So from a standpoint of that, I defer to fire department to make a ruling on the width of the entry road. They are providing a median. One question we do have for the Petitioner is if there is ever was Is there any consideration of making this a gated community? MR. PRASHANTHA: We are evaluating that. That is one of the things. I will also saw this comment from Hoffman Estates a couple of hours back. I was just talking to my engineer to see how we can work this out and what are their concerns in terms of, you know, what is first to have just one access. I'll be talking with my traffic consultant to talk with the village engineer. MR. SHAFFER: So my comment on the gated community is the gated community allow for private streets, not allow for public streets, but there are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	what that comment entails, if it means secondary full access or restricted access to Mundhank, two access points to Freeman, emergency access to Mundhank. I don't know what where their comments come from. They gave it to us right before the meeting. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. MR. SHAFFER: From the standpoint of the site plan in general, the data table that was presented in the submittal package includes front, side and rear yard setbacks. And the rear yard setback is listed as 25 feet, and that's consistent with some of the other zoning within the Village of South Barrington. But there's one thing that really concerns me and that is in my experience, South Barrington does not allow any accessory items within the setback area, including patios, decks, anything in that manner, and those items are illustrated on architectural plans. They are not illustrated on the site plan. And so some of these houses are butt up right against the 25 setback. It would be impossible for any patios and livable outdoor situation. I think that is something that needs to be reviewed and addressed as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	noted as 15 feet back of curve to back of curve, 14 feet face to face. It just depends on the width. These are adjacent houses, and they are considered a fire lane, not an access drive. So from a standpoint of that, I defer to fire department to make a ruling on the width of the entry road. They are providing a median. One question we do have for the Petitioner is if there is ever was Is there any consideration of making this a gated community? MR. PRASHANTHA: We are evaluating that. That is one of the things. I will also saw this comment from Hoffman Estates a couple of hours back. I was just talking to my engineer to see how we can work this out and what are their concerns in terms of, you know, what is first to have just one access. I'll be talking with my traffic consultant to talk with the village engineer. MR. SHAFFER: So my comment on the gated community is the gated community allow for private streets, not allow for public streets, but there are certain turning that needs to be accounted for, and so if there is a consideration now or in the future

10 (Pages 34 to 37)

1	Page 38		Page 40
	lots and density.	1	plan really should be nailed down to address
2	I talked about the rear yard	2	concerns, the Plan Commission concerns, Hoffman
3	setback. I want to bring your attention specifically	3	Estates, et cetera before to try to save money and
4	lots 5, 7, 28 and 29. They have been 25 feet	4	time from all sides.
5	setbacks dimensions adjacent high water line	5	There are some engineering things
6	elevation which is the rear property line. So the	6	that are easy to revise, such as utility structures
7	lot line should be revised to reflect a building	7	shown on some of the driveways, just need to be
8	setback from the property line, not the high water	8	tweaked to get them out of driveways and into
9	line.	9	landscaping areas or just to minimize future
10	The grading on this site is	10	maintenance.
11	significant, meaning from an elevation perspective.	11	From a standpoint of grading, a
12	There is a lot of changes in grading. If They're	12	concept grading plan and storm sewer plan is
13	going to be retaining walls in some way shape and	13	provided, as well as a concept sanitary sewer and
14	form, and a lot of them are custom homes, we can't	14	water layout. We are suggesting from a grading plan
15	we don't know what those retaining walls would look	15	that additional spot elevations are needed because of
16	like for some custom homes until we know what the	16	the complexity of the grading changes on this job.
17	architecture and the footprint, walk out, look out,	17	Contours, proposed contours, are not a checklist
18	et cetera is going to be. But from the standpoint if	18	requirement for the preliminary PUD submittal, but
19	there is any known retaining walls around the	19	item 17 in the PUD says other information, depending
20	perimeter of the site and the out lots in the	20	on scale and unique characteristics of a particular
21	stormwater management areas, those should be shown on	21	PUD other authorities may waive or modify any of
22	the site plan.	22	these conditions or requirements. I'm highly
23	The We're recommending that the	23	recommending that one of the contours be provided
24	site plan include indicators as to which lots are	24	during the preliminary stage to help gauge what are
	Page 39		Page 41
1	standard, which lots are look out and which lots are	1	some of these rear yards going to look like. For
2	walkout. They are illustrated on the engineering	2	example, along the west property line, there is a 25
3	plans but from a PUD perspective, the controlling	1	
		3	
4	document is the site plan. So having all of the	3 4	foot setback with approximately 5 to 8 foot elevation
4 5	document is the site plan. So having all of the information contained in a single document is		foot setback with approximately 5 to 8 foot elevation difference, and how that's going to be made up,
	information contained in a single document is	4	foot setback with approximately 5 to 8 foot elevation
5	information contained in a single document is beneficial to the applicant, as well as the village	4 5	foot setback with approximately 5 to 8 foot elevation difference, and how that's going to be made up, whether it's going to be made up of a look out, what it's going made up with. So we have a better
5 6	information contained in a single document is beneficial to the applicant, as well as the village for housekeeping purposes in the future, et cetera.	4 5 6	foot setback with approximately 5 to 8 foot elevation difference, and how that's going to be made up, whether it's going to be made up of a look out, what
5 6 7	information contained in a single document is beneficial to the applicant, as well as the village	4 5 6 7	foot setback with approximately 5 to 8 foot elevation difference, and how that's going to be made up, whether it's going to be made up of a look out, what it's going made up with. So we have a better understanding where the drainage is going to go,
5 6 7 8	information contained in a single document is beneficial to the applicant, as well as the village for housekeeping purposes in the future, et cetera. We're recommending that the	4 5 7 8	foot setback with approximately 5 to 8 foot elevation difference, and how that's going to be made up, whether it's going to be made up of a look out, what it's going made up with. So we have a better understanding where the drainage is going to go, drainage ditches, overflow routes and et cetera.
5 6 7 8 9	information contained in a single document is beneficial to the applicant, as well as the village for housekeeping purposes in the future, et cetera. We're recommending that the applicant review the locations of the mailbox	4 5 6 7 8 9	foot setback with approximately 5 to 8 foot elevation difference, and how that's going to be made up, whether it's going to be made up of a look out, what it's going made up with. So we have a better understanding where the drainage is going to go, drainage ditches, overflow routes and et cetera. That will just help the project long term. And if we
5 6 7 8 9 10	information contained in a single document is beneficial to the applicant, as well as the village for housekeeping purposes in the future, et cetera. We're recommending that the applicant review the locations of the mailbox clusters. What I see on the plans is that there are	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	foot setback with approximately 5 to 8 foot elevation difference, and how that's going to be made up, whether it's going to be made up of a look out, what it's going made up with. So we have a better understanding where the drainage is going to go, drainage ditches, overflow routes and et cetera. That will just help the project long term. And if we get into final engineering, I would rather have these
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	information contained in a single document is beneficial to the applicant, as well as the village for housekeeping purposes in the future, et cetera. We're recommending that the applicant review the locations of the mailbox clusters. What I see on the plans is that there are two. There are 32 homes, only two mailbox clusters.	4 5 7 8 9 10 11	foot setback with approximately 5 to 8 foot elevation difference, and how that's going to be made up, whether it's going to be made up of a look out, what it's going made up with. So we have a better understanding where the drainage is going to go, drainage ditches, overflow routes and et cetera. That will just help the project long term. And if we get into final engineering, I would rather have these comments known at the front end so that if we get to
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	information contained in a single document is beneficial to the applicant, as well as the village for housekeeping purposes in the future, et cetera. We're recommending that the applicant review the locations of the mailbox clusters. What I see on the plans is that there are two. There are 32 homes, only two mailbox clusters. It's a long way for lot 1 to walk to get their mail	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	foot setback with approximately 5 to 8 foot elevation difference, and how that's going to be made up, whether it's going to be made up of a look out, what it's going made up with. So we have a better understanding where the drainage is going to go, drainage ditches, overflow routes and et cetera. That will just help the project long term. And if we get into final engineering, I would rather have these comments known at the front end so that if we get to final engineering and grading issue that may impact
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	information contained in a single document is beneficial to the applicant, as well as the village for housekeeping purposes in the future, et cetera. We're recommending that the applicant review the locations of the mailbox clusters. What I see on the plans is that there are two. There are 32 homes, only two mailbox clusters. It's a long way for lot 1 to walk to get their mail or drive their car, get out of the car, get the mail	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	foot setback with approximately 5 to 8 foot elevation difference, and how that's going to be made up, whether it's going to be made up of a look out, what it's going made up with. So we have a better understanding where the drainage is going to go, drainage ditches, overflow routes and et cetera. That will just help the project long term. And if we get into final engineering, I would rather have these comments known at the front end so that if we get to final engineering and grading issue that may impact density, development, site plans, that they're
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	information contained in a single document is beneficial to the applicant, as well as the village for housekeeping purposes in the future, et cetera. We're recommending that the applicant review the locations of the mailbox clusters. What I see on the plans is that there are two. There are 32 homes, only two mailbox clusters. It's a long way for lot 1 to walk to get their mail or drive their car, get out of the car, get the mail and come out. So I'm suggesting a consideration give	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	foot setback with approximately 5 to 8 foot elevation difference, and how that's going to be made up, whether it's going to be made up of a look out, what it's going made up with. So we have a better understanding where the drainage is going to go, drainage ditches, overflow routes and et cetera. That will just help the project long term. And if we get into final engineering, I would rather have these comments known at the front end so that if we get to final engineering and grading issue that may impact density, development, site plans, that they're addressed now.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	information contained in a single document is beneficial to the applicant, as well as the village for housekeeping purposes in the future, et cetera. We're recommending that the applicant review the locations of the mailbox clusters. What I see on the plans is that there are two. There are 32 homes, only two mailbox clusters. It's a long way for lot 1 to walk to get their mail or drive their car, get out of the car, get the mail and come out. So I'm suggesting a consideration give to increase strategically increasing the number of	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	foot setback with approximately 5 to 8 foot elevation difference, and how that's going to be made up, whether it's going to be made up of a look out, what it's going made up with. So we have a better understanding where the drainage is going to go, drainage ditches, overflow routes and et cetera. That will just help the project long term. And if we get into final engineering, I would rather have these comments known at the front end so that if we get to final engineering and grading issue that may impact density, development, site plans, that they're addressed now. Just from an engineering
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	information contained in a single document is beneficial to the applicant, as well as the village for housekeeping purposes in the future, et cetera. We're recommending that the applicant review the locations of the mailbox clusters. What I see on the plans is that there are two. There are 32 homes, only two mailbox clusters. It's a long way for lot 1 to walk to get their mail or drive their car, get out of the car, get the mail and come out. So I'm suggesting a consideration give to increase strategically increasing the number of mailbox clusters to minimize the walking path for	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	foot setback with approximately 5 to 8 foot elevation difference, and how that's going to be made up, whether it's going to be made up of a look out, what it's going made up with. So we have a better understanding where the drainage is going to go, drainage ditches, overflow routes and et cetera. That will just help the project long term. And if we get into final engineering, I would rather have these comments known at the front end so that if we get to final engineering and grading issue that may impact density, development, site plans, that they're addressed now. Just from an engineering perspective, the applicant is proposing to do volume
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 information contained in a single document is beneficial to the applicant, as well as the village for housekeeping purposes in the future, et cetera. We're recommending that the applicant review the locations of the mailbox clusters. What I see on the plans is that there are two. There are 32 homes, only two mailbox clusters. It's a long way for lot 1 to walk to get their mail or drive their car, get out of the car, get the mail and come out. So I'm suggesting a consideration give to increase strategically increasing the number of mailbox clusters to minimize the walking path for those that want to walk and get their mail instead of 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	foot setback with approximately 5 to 8 foot elevation difference, and how that's going to be made up, whether it's going to be made up of a look out, what it's going made up with. So we have a better understanding where the drainage is going to go, drainage ditches, overflow routes and et cetera. That will just help the project long term. And if we get into final engineering, I would rather have these comments known at the front end so that if we get to final engineering and grading issue that may impact density, development, site plans, that they're addressed now. Just from an engineering perspective, the applicant is proposing to do volume control at the bottom of the basins. So just to
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	information contained in a single document is beneficial to the applicant, as well as the village for housekeeping purposes in the future, et cetera. We're recommending that the applicant review the locations of the mailbox clusters. What I see on the plans is that there are two. There are 32 homes, only two mailbox clusters. It's a long way for lot 1 to walk to get their mail or drive their car, get out of the car, get the mail and come out. So I'm suggesting a consideration give to increase strategically increasing the number of mailbox clusters to minimize the walking path for those that want to walk and get their mail instead of drive to get the mail.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	foot setback with approximately 5 to 8 foot elevation difference, and how that's going to be made up, whether it's going to be made up of a look out, what it's going made up with. So we have a better understanding where the drainage is going to go, drainage ditches, overflow routes and et cetera. That will just help the project long term. And if we get into final engineering, I would rather have these comments known at the front end so that if we get to final engineering and grading issue that may impact density, development, site plans, that they're addressed now. Just from an engineering perspective, the applicant is proposing to do volume control at the bottom of the basins. So just to visual for people in South Barrington a wetland
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	information contained in a single document is beneficial to the applicant, as well as the village for housekeeping purposes in the future, et cetera. We're recommending that the applicant review the locations of the mailbox clusters. What I see on the plans is that there are two. There are 32 homes, only two mailbox clusters. It's a long way for lot 1 to walk to get their mail or drive their car, get out of the car, get the mail and come out. So I'm suggesting a consideration give to increase strategically increasing the number of mailbox clusters to minimize the walking path for those that want to walk and get their mail instead of drive to get the mail. The comments that I'm making	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	foot setback with approximately 5 to 8 foot elevation difference, and how that's going to be made up, whether it's going to be made up of a look out, what it's going made up with. So we have a better understanding where the drainage is going to go, drainage ditches, overflow routes and et cetera. That will just help the project long term. And if we get into final engineering, I would rather have these comments known at the front end so that if we get to final engineering and grading issue that may impact density, development, site plans, that they're addressed now. Just from an engineering perspective, the applicant is proposing to do volume control at the bottom of the basins. So just to visual for people in South Barrington a wetland bottom type of basin where it would always be when
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	information contained in a single document is beneficial to the applicant, as well as the village for housekeeping purposes in the future, et cetera. We're recommending that the applicant review the locations of the mailbox clusters. What I see on the plans is that there are two. There are 32 homes, only two mailbox clusters. It's a long way for lot 1 to walk to get their mail or drive their car, get out of the car, get the mail and come out. So I'm suggesting a consideration give to increase strategically increasing the number of mailbox clusters to minimize the walking path for those that want to walk and get their mail instead of drive to get the mail. The comments that I'm making upcoming, they all go towards the site plan, and the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	foot setback with approximately 5 to 8 foot elevation difference, and how that's going to be made up, whether it's going to be made up of a look out, what it's going made up with. So we have a better understanding where the drainage is going to go, drainage ditches, overflow routes and et cetera. That will just help the project long term. And if we get into final engineering, I would rather have these comments known at the front end so that if we get to final engineering and grading issue that may impact density, development, site plans, that they're addressed now. Just from an engineering perspective, the applicant is proposing to do volume control at the bottom of the basins. So just to visual for people in South Barrington a wetland bottom type of basin where it would always be when it rains that has water in that's meant to infiltrate
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 information contained in a single document is beneficial to the applicant, as well as the village for housekeeping purposes in the future, et cetera. We're recommending that the applicant review the locations of the mailbox clusters. What I see on the plans is that there are two. There are 32 homes, only two mailbox clusters. It's a long way for lot 1 to walk to get their mail or drive their car, get out of the car, get the mail and come out. So I'm suggesting a consideration give to increase strategically increasing the number of mailbox clusters to minimize the walking path for those that want to walk and get their mail instead of drive to get the mail. The comments that I'm making upcoming, they all go towards the site plan, and the site plan is the key document. I'm not suggesting 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	foot setback with approximately 5 to 8 foot elevation difference, and how that's going to be made up, whether it's going to be made up of a look out, what it's going made up with. So we have a better understanding where the drainage is going to go, drainage ditches, overflow routes and et cetera. That will just help the project long term. And if we get into final engineering, I would rather have these comments known at the front end so that if we get to final engineering and grading issue that may impact density, development, site plans, that they're addressed now. Just from an engineering perspective, the applicant is proposing to do volume control at the bottom of the basins. So just to visual for people in South Barrington a wetland bottom type of basin where it would always be when it rains that has water in that's meant to infiltrate into the ground going to the under-drain system. The

11 (Pages 38 to 41)

		T	
	Page 42		Page 44
1	Mundhank Road requires a certain setback from	1	tenth of an acre. Wetland two, however, is .12
2	Mundhank (sic). I'm not saying these plans are in	2	acres. It's in the southeast quad of the site
3	conformance. I don't know the answer to the	3	located adjacent to Freeman Road and the perimeter of
4	question, essentially it will clear that up. With	4	the property. And the MWRD's code is very specific
5	Freeman Road being under Hoffman Estates's	5	in that the applicant needs to show that there are no
6	jurisdiction, I'm not aware of Hoffman Estates having	6	practical alternatives to wetland modification before
7	to follow the Illinois berm law setback. It's	7	the wetland can be impacted. And so this goes to why
8	typically an IDOT and county requirement. But that	8	is this wetland being impacted, are there
9	would just impact the detention pond from that	9	alternatives that could eliminate some of the
10	perspective.	10	wetlands, for example, reduction in density to shift
11	From the standpoint of the utility	11	the basins to the south to preserve that wetland.
12	plan, we are recommending a storm sewer be added to	12	Arguments could be made on the quality of the
13	the utility plan to show storm and sanitary and	13	wetland, but there is, based on my review of the
14	water. So we can review conflicting utility paths,	14	wetland maps, there is wetland on the other side of
15	et cetera.	15	Freeman. That all needs to be addressed between now
16	More of a technical nature, Geotech	16	and the formal public hearing and review of
17	was not submitted. I know Geotech is in process. We	17	documents. There needs to be some sort of
18	do need to determine what the ground water elevation	18	alternative analysis to basically prove to the
19	is in this area. It does impact the stormwater	19	village there are alternatives to modifying the
20	management basin that's being provided from a	20	wetland.
21	standpoint of it may have to be raised or it could be	21	Another item related to the wetland
22	lowered, depending on the results of those studies.	22	and stormwater management basin is that the MWRD
23	One point of clarification is because there are	23	requires a 100 foot setback from a volume control to
24	wetlands onsite and offsite water coming onto the	24	an underground tank. So the proposed method of
		<u> </u>	
	Page 43		Page 45
1	Page 43 site, the nomographic method cannot be used for final	1	Page 45 sanitary sewer treatment needs to take that into
1 2		1 2	
	site, the nomographic method cannot be used for final		sanitary sewer treatment needs to take that into
2	site, the nomographic method cannot be used for final engineering calculations. They are submission for	2	sanitary sewer treatment needs to take that into consideration in its placement, which may modify the
2 3	site, the nomographic method cannot be used for final engineering calculations. They are submission for big picture preliminary, but the final engineering on	2 3	sanitary sewer treatment needs to take that into consideration in its placement, which may modify the plan. The applicant mentioned this is a below grade treatment facility. If any portion is above grade, information should be provided as to what does that
2 3 4	site, the nomographic method cannot be used for final engineering calculations. They are submission for big picture preliminary, but the final engineering on this needs to more detailed drainage analysis that	2 3 4	sanitary sewer treatment needs to take that into consideration in its placement, which may modify the plan. The applicant mentioned this is a below grade treatment facility. If any portion is above grade, information should be provided as to what does that look like, what kind of screen is being proposed.
2 3 4 5	site, the nomographic method cannot be used for final engineering calculations. They are submission for big picture preliminary, but the final engineering on this needs to more detailed drainage analysis that may or may not increase or decrease the requirements. The MWRD requires a 2 foot offset from the high water table. The Village of South Barrington has a more	2 3 4 5	sanitary sewer treatment needs to take that into consideration in its placement, which may modify the plan. The applicant mentioned this is a below grade treatment facility. If any portion is above grade, information should be provided as to what does that look like, what kind of screen is being proposed. Because this site is adjacent to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	site, the nomographic method cannot be used for final engineering calculations. They are submission for big picture preliminary, but the final engineering on this needs to more detailed drainage analysis that may or may not increase or decrease the requirements. The MWRD requires a 2 foot offset from the high water table. The Village of South Barrington has a more stringent requirement with the bottom infiltration	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	sanitary sewer treatment needs to take that into consideration in its placement, which may modify the plan. The applicant mentioned this is a below grade treatment facility. If any portion is above grade, information should be provided as to what does that look like, what kind of screen is being proposed. Because this site is adjacent to the Forest Preserve District, MWRD requires that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	site, the nomographic method cannot be used for final engineering calculations. They are submission for big picture preliminary, but the final engineering on this needs to more detailed drainage analysis that may or may not increase or decrease the requirements. The MWRD requires a 2 foot offset from the high water table. The Village of South Barrington has a more stringent requirement with the bottom infiltration facilities of 4 feet. I don't object to 2 feet for a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	sanitary sewer treatment needs to take that into consideration in its placement, which may modify the plan. The applicant mentioned this is a below grade treatment facility. If any portion is above grade, information should be provided as to what does that look like, what kind of screen is being proposed. Because this site is adjacent to the Forest Preserve District, MWRD requires that the Forest Preserve review the plans. I know you're not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	site, the nomographic method cannot be used for final engineering calculations. They are submission for big picture preliminary, but the final engineering on this needs to more detailed drainage analysis that may or may not increase or decrease the requirements. The MWRD requires a 2 foot offset from the high water table. The Village of South Barrington has a more stringent requirement with the bottom infiltration facilities of 4 feet. I don't object to 2 feet for a stormwater management basin if it was a septic type	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	sanitary sewer treatment needs to take that into consideration in its placement, which may modify the plan. The applicant mentioned this is a below grade treatment facility. If any portion is above grade, information should be provided as to what does that look like, what kind of screen is being proposed. Because this site is adjacent to the Forest Preserve District, MWRD requires that the Forest Preserve review the plans. I know you're not impacting the Forest Preserve. You are not doing the
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	site, the nomographic method cannot be used for final engineering calculations. They are submission for big picture preliminary, but the final engineering on this needs to more detailed drainage analysis that may or may not increase or decrease the requirements. The MWRD requires a 2 foot offset from the high water table. The Village of South Barrington has a more stringent requirement with the bottom infiltration facilities of 4 feet. I don't object to 2 feet for a stormwater management basin if it was a septic type of system. The village is 4 feet. But from the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	sanitary sewer treatment needs to take that into consideration in its placement, which may modify the plan. The applicant mentioned this is a below grade treatment facility. If any portion is above grade, information should be provided as to what does that look like, what kind of screen is being proposed. Because this site is adjacent to the Forest Preserve District, MWRD requires that the Forest Preserve review the plans. I know you're not impacting the Forest Preserve, You are not doing the work on the Forest Preserve, but they must be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	site, the nomographic method cannot be used for final engineering calculations. They are submission for big picture preliminary, but the final engineering on this needs to more detailed drainage analysis that may or may not increase or decrease the requirements. The MWRD requires a 2 foot offset from the high water table. The Village of South Barrington has a more stringent requirement with the bottom infiltration facilities of 4 feet. I don't object to 2 feet for a stormwater management basin if it was a septic type of system. The village is 4 feet. But from the standpoint of volume control, the ordinance states	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	sanitary sewer treatment needs to take that into consideration in its placement, which may modify the plan. The applicant mentioned this is a below grade treatment facility. If any portion is above grade, information should be provided as to what does that look like, what kind of screen is being proposed. Because this site is adjacent to the Forest Preserve District, MWRD requires that the Forest Preserve review the plans. I know you're not impacting the Forest Preserve. You are not doing the work on the Forest Preserve, but they must be approached because there is a stormwater discharge
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	site, the nomographic method cannot be used for final engineering calculations. They are submission for big picture preliminary, but the final engineering on this needs to more detailed drainage analysis that may or may not increase or decrease the requirements. The MWRD requires a 2 foot offset from the high water table. The Village of South Barrington has a more stringent requirement with the bottom infiltration facilities of 4 feet. I don't object to 2 feet for a stormwater management basin if it was a septic type of system. The village is 4 feet. But from the standpoint of volume control, the ordinance states unless otherwise approved by the enforcement officer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	sanitary sewer treatment needs to take that into consideration in its placement, which may modify the plan. The applicant mentioned this is a below grade treatment facility. If any portion is above grade, information should be provided as to what does that look like, what kind of screen is being proposed. Because this site is adjacent to the Forest Preserve District, MWRD requires that the Forest Preserve review the plans. I know you're not impacting the Forest Preserve, but they must be approached because there is a stormwater discharge into the Forest Preserve.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	site, the nomographic method cannot be used for final engineering calculations. They are submission for big picture preliminary, but the final engineering on this needs to more detailed drainage analysis that may or may not increase or decrease the requirements. The MWRD requires a 2 foot offset from the high water table. The Village of South Barrington has a more stringent requirement with the bottom infiltration facilities of 4 feet. I don't object to 2 feet for a stormwater management basin if it was a septic type of system. The village is 4 feet. But from the standpoint of volume control, the ordinance states unless otherwise approved by the enforcement officer. MWRD code, which is adopted by the Village of South	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	sanitary sewer treatment needs to take that into consideration in its placement, which may modify the plan. The applicant mentioned this is a below grade treatment facility. If any portion is above grade, information should be provided as to what does that look like, what kind of screen is being proposed. Because this site is adjacent to the Forest Preserve District, MWRD requires that the Forest Preserve review the plans. I know you're not impacting the Forest Preserve. You are not doing the work on the Forest Preserve, but they must be approached because there is a stormwater discharge into the Forest Preserve. And with that, and along with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	site, the nomographic method cannot be used for final engineering calculations. They are submission for big picture preliminary, but the final engineering on this needs to more detailed drainage analysis that may or may not increase or decrease the requirements. The MWRD requires a 2 foot offset from the high water table. The Village of South Barrington has a more stringent requirement with the bottom infiltration facilities of 4 feet. I don't object to 2 feet for a stormwater management basin if it was a septic type of system. The village is 4 feet. But from the standpoint of volume control, the ordinance states unless otherwise approved by the enforcement officer. MWRD code, which is adopted by the Village of South Barrington, does allow 2 feet for separate sewer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	sanitary sewer treatment needs to take that into consideration in its placement, which may modify the plan. The applicant mentioned this is a below grade treatment facility. If any portion is above grade, information should be provided as to what does that look like, what kind of screen is being proposed. Because this site is adjacent to the Forest Preserve District, MWRD requires that the Forest Preserve review the plans. I know you're not impacting the Forest Preserve, You are not doing the work on the Forest Preserve, but they must be approached because there is a stormwater discharge into the Forest Preserve. And with that, and along with Hoffman estates, please have your reports talk
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	site, the nomographic method cannot be used for final engineering calculations. They are submission for big picture preliminary, but the final engineering on this needs to more detailed drainage analysis that may or may not increase or decrease the requirements. The MWRD requires a 2 foot offset from the high water table. The Village of South Barrington has a more stringent requirement with the bottom infiltration facilities of 4 feet. I don't object to 2 feet for a stormwater management basin if it was a septic type of system. The village is 4 feet. But from the standpoint of volume control, the ordinance states unless otherwise approved by the enforcement officer. MWRD code, which is adopted by the Village of South Barrington, does allow 2 feet for separate sewer areas, 3 1/2 feet for combined sewer areas.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	sanitary sewer treatment needs to take that into consideration in its placement, which may modify the plan. The applicant mentioned this is a below grade treatment facility. If any portion is above grade, information should be provided as to what does that look like, what kind of screen is being proposed. Because this site is adjacent to the Forest Preserve District, MWRD requires that the Forest Preserve review the plans. I know you're not impacting the Forest Preserve. You are not doing the work on the Forest Preserve, but they must be approached because there is a stormwater discharge into the Forest Preserve. And with that, and along with Hoffman estates, please have your reports talk specifically about effluent from the sanitary sewer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	site, the nomographic method cannot be used for final engineering calculations. They are submission for big picture preliminary, but the final engineering on this needs to more detailed drainage analysis that may or may not increase or decrease the requirements. The MWRD requires a 2 foot offset from the high water table. The Village of South Barrington has a more stringent requirement with the bottom infiltration facilities of 4 feet. I don't object to 2 feet for a stormwater management basin if it was a septic type of system. The village is 4 feet. But from the standpoint of volume control, the ordinance states unless otherwise approved by the enforcement officer. MWRD code, which is adopted by the Village of South Barrington, does allow 2 feet for separate sewer areas, 3 1/2 feet for combined sewer areas. From a standpoint of wetlands, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	sanitary sewer treatment needs to take that into consideration in its placement, which may modify the plan. The applicant mentioned this is a below grade treatment facility. If any portion is above grade, information should be provided as to what does that look like, what kind of screen is being proposed. Because this site is adjacent to the Forest Preserve District, MWRD requires that the Forest Preserve review the plans. I know you're not impacting the Forest Preserve. You are not doing the work on the Forest Preserve, but they must be approached because there is a stormwater discharge into the Forest Preserve. And with that, and along with Hoffman estates, please have your reports talk specifically about effluent from the sanitary sewer system and how that meets Department of Public Health
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	site, the nomographic method cannot be used for final engineering calculations. They are submission for big picture preliminary, but the final engineering on this needs to more detailed drainage analysis that may or may not increase or decrease the requirements. The MWRD requires a 2 foot offset from the high water table. The Village of South Barrington has a more stringent requirement with the bottom infiltration facilities of 4 feet. I don't object to 2 feet for a stormwater management basin if it was a septic type of system. The village is 4 feet. But from the standpoint of volume control, the ordinance states unless otherwise approved by the enforcement officer. MWRD code, which is adopted by the Village of South Barrington, does allow 2 feet for separate sewer areas, 3 1/2 feet for combined sewer areas. From a standpoint of wetlands, I know the village is going to engage the services of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	sanitary sewer treatment needs to take that into consideration in its placement, which may modify the plan. The applicant mentioned this is a below grade treatment facility. If any portion is above grade, information should be provided as to what does that look like, what kind of screen is being proposed. Because this site is adjacent to the Forest Preserve District, MWRD requires that the Forest Preserve review the plans. I know you're not impacting the Forest Preserve. You are not doing the work on the Forest Preserve, but they must be approached because there is a stormwater discharge into the Forest Preserve. And with that, and along with Hoffman estates, please have your reports talk specifically about effluent from the sanitary sewer system and how that meets Department of Public Health requires, IEPA requirements and South Barrington and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	site, the nomographic method cannot be used for final engineering calculations. They are submission for big picture preliminary, but the final engineering on this needs to more detailed drainage analysis that may or may not increase or decrease the requirements. The MWRD requires a 2 foot offset from the high water table. The Village of South Barrington has a more stringent requirement with the bottom infiltration facilities of 4 feet. I don't object to 2 feet for a stormwater management basin if it was a septic type of system. The village is 4 feet. But from the standpoint of volume control, the ordinance states unless otherwise approved by the enforcement officer. MWRD code, which is adopted by the Village of South Barrington, does allow 2 feet for separate sewer areas, 3 1/2 feet for combined sewer areas. From a standpoint of wetlands, I know the village is going to engage the services of Hey & Associates to verify the wetland boundaries and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	sanitary sewer treatment needs to take that into consideration in its placement, which may modify the plan. The applicant mentioned this is a below grade treatment facility. If any portion is above grade, information should be provided as to what does that look like, what kind of screen is being proposed. Because this site is adjacent to the Forest Preserve District, MWRD requires that the Forest Preserve review the plans. I know you're not impacting the Forest Preserve. You are not doing the work on the Forest Preserve, but they must be approached because there is a stormwater discharge into the Forest Preserve. And with that, and along with Hoffman estates, please have your reports talk specifically about effluent from the sanitary sewer system and how that meets Department of Public Health requires, IEPA requirements and South Barrington and Hoffman Estates requirements.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	site, the nomographic method cannot be used for final engineering calculations. They are submission for big picture preliminary, but the final engineering on this needs to more detailed drainage analysis that may or may not increase or decrease the requirements. The MWRD requires a 2 foot offset from the high water table. The Village of South Barrington has a more stringent requirement with the bottom infiltration facilities of 4 feet. I don't object to 2 feet for a stormwater management basin if it was a septic type of system. The village is 4 feet. But from the standpoint of volume control, the ordinance states unless otherwise approved by the enforcement officer. MWRD code, which is adopted by the Village of South Barrington, does allow 2 feet for separate sewer areas, 3 1/2 feet for combined sewer areas. From a standpoint of wetlands, I know the village is going to engage the services of Hey & Associates to verify the wetland boundaries and how the wetlands report. But from a stormwater	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	sanitary sewer treatment needs to take that into consideration in its placement, which may modify the plan. The applicant mentioned this is a below grade treatment facility. If any portion is above grade, information should be provided as to what does that look like, what kind of screen is being proposed. Because this site is adjacent to the Forest Preserve District, MWRD requires that the Forest Preserve review the plans. I know you're not impacting the Forest Preserve. You are not doing the work on the Forest Preserve, but they must be approached because there is a stormwater discharge into the Forest Preserve. And with that, and along with Hoffman estates, please have your reports talk specifically about effluent from the sanitary sewer system and how that meets Department of Public Health requires, IEPA requirements and South Barrington and Hoffman Estates requirements. The wetland report does make an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	site, the nomographic method cannot be used for final engineering calculations. They are submission for big picture preliminary, but the final engineering on this needs to more detailed drainage analysis that may or may not increase or decrease the requirements. The MWRD requires a 2 foot offset from the high water table. The Village of South Barrington has a more stringent requirement with the bottom infiltration facilities of 4 feet. I don't object to 2 feet for a stormwater management basin if it was a septic type of system. The village is 4 feet. But from the standpoint of volume control, the ordinance states unless otherwise approved by the enforcement officer. MWRD code, which is adopted by the Village of South Barrington, does allow 2 feet for separate sewer areas, 3 1/2 feet for combined sewer areas. From a standpoint of wetlands, I know the village is going to engage the services of Hey & Associates to verify the wetland boundaries and how the wetlands report. But from a stormwater management perspective, there are two, potentially	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	sanitary sewer treatment needs to take that into consideration in its placement, which may modify the plan. The applicant mentioned this is a below grade treatment facility. If any portion is above grade, information should be provided as to what does that look like, what kind of screen is being proposed. Because this site is adjacent to the Forest Preserve District, MWRD requires that the Forest Preserve review the plans. I know you're not impacting the Forest Preserve, You are not doing the work on the Forest Preserve, but they must be approached because there is a stormwater discharge into the Forest Preserve. And with that, and along with Hoffman estates, please have your reports talk specifically about effluent from the sanitary sewer system and how that meets Department of Public Health requires, IEPA requirements and South Barrington and Hoffman Estates requirements. The wetland report does make an opinion that the wetlands onsite are isolated with a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	site, the nomographic method cannot be used for final engineering calculations. They are submission for big picture preliminary, but the final engineering on this needs to more detailed drainage analysis that may or may not increase or decrease the requirements. The MWRD requires a 2 foot offset from the high water table. The Village of South Barrington has a more stringent requirement with the bottom infiltration facilities of 4 feet. I don't object to 2 feet for a stormwater management basin if it was a septic type of system. The village is 4 feet. But from the standpoint of volume control, the ordinance states unless otherwise approved by the enforcement officer. MWRD code, which is adopted by the Village of South Barrington, does allow 2 feet for separate sewer areas, 3 1/2 feet for combined sewer areas. From a standpoint of wetlands, I know the village is going to engage the services of Hey & Associates to verify the wetland boundaries and how the wetlands report. But from a stormwater management perspective, there are two, potentially three wetlands onsite. The more dense one, number	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	sanitary sewer treatment needs to take that into consideration in its placement, which may modify the plan. The applicant mentioned this is a below grade treatment facility. If any portion is above grade, information should be provided as to what does that look like, what kind of screen is being proposed. Because this site is adjacent to the Forest Preserve District, MWRD requires that the Forest Preserve review the plans. I know you're not impacting the Forest Preserve, You are not doing the work on the Forest Preserve, but they must be approached because there is a stormwater discharge into the Forest Preserve. And with that, and along with Hoffman estates, please have your reports talk specifically about effluent from the sanitary sewer system and how that meets Department of Public Health requires, IEPA requirements. The wetland report does make an opinion that the existing pond in the middle of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	site, the nomographic method cannot be used for final engineering calculations. They are submission for big picture preliminary, but the final engineering on this needs to more detailed drainage analysis that may or may not increase or decrease the requirements. The MWRD requires a 2 foot offset from the high water table. The Village of South Barrington has a more stringent requirement with the bottom infiltration facilities of 4 feet. I don't object to 2 feet for a stormwater management basin if it was a septic type of system. The village is 4 feet. But from the standpoint of volume control, the ordinance states unless otherwise approved by the enforcement officer. MWRD code, which is adopted by the Village of South Barrington, does allow 2 feet for separate sewer areas, 3 1/2 feet for combined sewer areas. From a standpoint of wetlands, I know the village is going to engage the services of Hey & Associates to verify the wetland boundaries and how the wetlands report. But from a stormwater management perspective, there are two, potentially	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	sanitary sewer treatment needs to take that into consideration in its placement, which may modify the plan. The applicant mentioned this is a below grade treatment facility. If any portion is above grade, information should be provided as to what does that look like, what kind of screen is being proposed. Because this site is adjacent to the Forest Preserve District, MWRD requires that the Forest Preserve review the plans. I know you're not impacting the Forest Preserve, You are not doing the work on the Forest Preserve, but they must be approached because there is a stormwater discharge into the Forest Preserve. And with that, and along with Hoffman estates, please have your reports talk specifically about effluent from the sanitary sewer system and how that meets Department of Public Health requires, IEPA requirements and South Barrington and Hoffman Estates requirements. The wetland report does make an opinion that the wetlands onsite are isolated with a

12 (Pages 42 to 45)

	Page 46		Page 48
1	Hey & Associates can weigh in on that. It's my	1	you know, going before the fire chief, make sure
2	understanding the applicant has submitted U.S. Army	2	everything all right, getting Hey to look at the
3	Corps of Engineers, and they are waiting for that	3	wetlands, going through that, you have some work you
4	opinion, if any of the three areas are under the	4	have to do yet on this whole thing.
5	jurisdiction of the Corps of Engineers.	5	MR. PRASHANTHA: Right.
6	As it relates to the schedule, what	6	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: And you've been before us
7	is submitted is not feasible. So if you could update	7	several times, so I know you're working on it.
8	that, for example, it contemplates starting grating	8	MR. PFLARE: I have a comment. Chris Pflare, 5
9	on October 2nd, which is not realistic.	9	Enclave Court.
10	MR. PRASHANTHA: If we get a permit, we will.	10	That applicant said that the buyers
11	MR. SHAFFER: We haven't even started the	11	didn't want staircases and big houses. And the
12	public hearing process yet, so Starting on	12	acreage was what .19, many were well under quarter
13	October 2nd is not feasible, but there are other	13	acre. What type of size range can you fit on a .19
14	areas that should be pushed out. For example,	14	acreage lot?
15	landscaping is proposed in December, that's not going	15	MR. PRASHANTHA: 15 acres, 32 lots.
16	to happen.	16	MR. PFLARE: Right, per lot. Let's say it's
17	MR. PRASHANTHA: Yeah.	17	.19. Did I misunderstand that was the average size?
18	MR. SHAFFER: So if you could revise the	18	COMMISSIONER FOX: My understanding is most
19	schedule prior to going into the public hearing.	19	of the lots are less than a quarter of an acre,
20	That's the best I can do in a week.	20	unless I'm looking at this incorrectly.
21	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Nice job.	21	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I agree. Most of the
22	COMMISSIONER ABRI: Nice job.	22	lots are under.
23	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: And that information	23	COMMISSIONER FOX: Under .2 actually.
24	you're going to give it to him, right, the written	24	MR. PFLARE: So there's an infinity for
	Page 47		Page 49
1	Page 47 thing. So you're not going to wait for the court	1	Page 49 ranches and also put on under a quarter acre lots,
1 2		1 2	_
	thing. So you're not going to wait for the court reporter. MR. SHAFFER: Yes, I will issue a permit	2 3	ranches and also put on under a quarter acre lots, what kind of house size would those be looking like? MR. PRASHANTHA: The 65 You could get kind
2 3 4	thing. So you're not going to wait for the court reporter. MR. SHAFFER: Yes, I will issue a permit review memo, as stated, summarizes the items that I	2 3 4	ranches and also put on under a quarter acre lots, what kind of house size would those be looking like? MR. PRASHANTHA: The 65 You could get kind of like 50 x 50. So you could get 2,500. I mean,
2 3 4 5	thing. So you're not going to wait for the court reporter. MR. SHAFFER: Yes, I will issue a permit review memo, as stated, summarizes the items that I discussed tonight.	2 3 4 5	ranches and also put on under a quarter acre lots, what kind of house size would those be looking like? MR. PRASHANTHA: The 65 You could get kind of like 50 x 50. So you could get 2,500. I mean, taking out the garage space. If you go two story,
2 3 4 5 6	thing. So you're not going to wait for the court reporter. MR. SHAFFER: Yes, I will issue a permit review memo, as stated, summarizes the items that I discussed tonight. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Good. Okay. Well, I	2 3 4 5 6	ranches and also put on under a quarter acre lots, what kind of house size would those be looking like? MR. PRASHANTHA: The 65 You could get kind of like 50 x 50. So you could get 2,500. I mean, taking out the garage space. If you go two story, you will be over 3, 4,000 square feet.
2 3 4 5 6 7	thing. So you're not going to wait for the court reporter. MR. SHAFFER: Yes, I will issue a permit review memo, as stated, summarizes the items that I discussed tonight. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Good. Okay. Well, I think So you have before us information on the	2 3 4 5 6 7	ranches and also put on under a quarter acre lots, what kind of house size would those be looking like? MR. PRASHANTHA: The 65 You could get kind of like 50 x 50. So you could get 2,500. I mean, taking out the garage space. If you go two story, you will be over 3, 4,000 square feet. So I mean, not all of the lots.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	thing. So you're not going to wait for the court reporter. MR. SHAFFER: Yes, I will issue a permit review memo, as stated, summarizes the items that I discussed tonight. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Good. Okay. Well, I think So you have before us information on the proposed subdivision. I see a lot of people out	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ranches and also put on under a quarter acre lots, what kind of house size would those be looking like? MR. PRASHANTHA: The 65 You could get kind of like 50 x 50. So you could get 2,500. I mean, taking out the garage space. If you go two story, you will be over 3, 4,000 square feet. So I mean, not all of the lots. Some lots are kind of .38 say. For example, lot 15,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	thing. So you're not going to wait for the court reporter. MR. SHAFFER: Yes, I will issue a permit review memo, as stated, summarizes the items that I discussed tonight. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Good. Okay. Well, I think So you have before us information on the proposed subdivision. I see a lot of people out here. Does anybody else with Would you like to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ranches and also put on under a quarter acre lots, what kind of house size would those be looking like? MR. PRASHANTHA: The 65 You could get kind of like 50 x 50. So you could get 2,500. I mean, taking out the garage space. If you go two story, you will be over 3, 4,000 square feet. So I mean, not all of the lots. Some lots are kind of .38 say. For example, lot 15, it's like .38 is the average we have. You could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	thing. So you're not going to wait for the court reporter. MR. SHAFFER: Yes, I will issue a permit review memo, as stated, summarizes the items that I discussed tonight. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Good. Okay. Well, I think So you have before us information on the proposed subdivision. I see a lot of people out here. Does anybody else with Would you like to talk about this at all or any comments to us?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ranches and also put on under a quarter acre lots, what kind of house size would those be looking like? MR. PRASHANTHA: The 65 You could get kind of like 50 x 50. So you could get 2,500. I mean, taking out the garage space. If you go two story, you will be over 3, 4,000 square feet. So I mean, not all of the lots. Some lots are kind of .38 say. For example, lot 15, it's like .38 is the average we have. You could build minimum on every single lot somewhere around
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	thing. So you're not going to wait for the court reporter. MR. SHAFFER: Yes, I will issue a permit review memo, as stated, summarizes the items that I discussed tonight. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Good. Okay. Well, I think So you have before us information on the proposed subdivision. I see a lot of people out here. Does anybody else with Would you like to talk about this at all or any comments to us? This is not a public hearing, but we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ranches and also put on under a quarter acre lots, what kind of house size would those be looking like? MR. PRASHANTHA: The 65 You could get kind of like 50 x 50. So you could get 2,500. I mean, taking out the garage space. If you go two story, you will be over 3, 4,000 square feet. So I mean, not all of the lots. Some lots are kind of .38 say. For example, lot 15, it's like .38 is the average we have. You could build minimum on every single lot somewhere around 3,000 square feet at least. And you can go 5, 6,000.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	thing. So you're not going to wait for the court reporter. MR. SHAFFER: Yes, I will issue a permit review memo, as stated, summarizes the items that I discussed tonight. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Good. Okay. Well, I think So you have before us information on the proposed subdivision. I see a lot of people out here. Does anybody else with Would you like to talk about this at all or any comments to us? This is not a public hearing, but we would welcome any comments that you have.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	ranches and also put on under a quarter acre lots, what kind of house size would those be looking like? MR. PRASHANTHA: The 65 You could get kind of like 50 x 50. So you could get 2,500. I mean, taking out the garage space. If you go two story, you will be over 3, 4,000 square feet. So I mean, not all of the lots. Some lots are kind of .38 say. For example, lot 15, it's like .38 is the average we have. You could build minimum on every single lot somewhere around 3,000 square feet at least. And you can go 5, 6,000. We are trying to restrict how big a home is going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	thing. So you're not going to wait for the court reporter. MR. SHAFFER: Yes, I will issue a permit review memo, as stated, summarizes the items that I discussed tonight. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Good. Okay. Well, I think So you have before us information on the proposed subdivision. I see a lot of people out here. Does anybody else with Would you like to talk about this at all or any comments to us? This is not a public hearing, but we would welcome any comments that you have. MR. PRASHANTHA: I mean, Hoffman Estates is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ranches and also put on under a quarter acre lots, what kind of house size would those be looking like? MR. PRASHANTHA: The 65 You could get kind of like 50 x 50. So you could get 2,500. I mean, taking out the garage space. If you go two story, you will be over 3, 4,000 square feet. So I mean, not all of the lots. Some lots are kind of .38 say. For example, lot 15, it's like .38 is the average we have. You could build minimum on every single lot somewhere around 3,000 square feet at least. And you can go 5, 6,000. We are trying to restrict how big a home is going to be and how small. We can have a range. So customers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	thing. So you're not going to wait for the court reporter. MR. SHAFFER: Yes, I will issue a permit review memo, as stated, summarizes the items that I discussed tonight. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Good. Okay. Well, I think So you have before us information on the proposed subdivision. I see a lot of people out here. Does anybody else with Would you like to talk about this at all or any comments to us? This is not a public hearing, but we would welcome any comments that you have. MR. PRASHANTHA: I mean, Hoffman Estates is requesting to have a secondary entrance is kind of a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ranches and also put on under a quarter acre lots, what kind of house size would those be looking like? MR. PRASHANTHA: The 65 You could get kind of like 50 x 50. So you could get 2,500. I mean, taking out the garage space. If you go two story, you will be over 3, 4,000 square feet. So I mean, not all of the lots. Some lots are kind of .38 say. For example, lot 15, it's like .38 is the average we have. You could build minimum on every single lot somewhere around 3,000 square feet at least. And you can go 5, 6,000. We are trying to restrict how big a home is going to be and how small. We can have a range. So customers can choose the way they want it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	thing. So you're not going to wait for the court reporter. MR. SHAFFER: Yes, I will issue a permit review memo, as stated, summarizes the items that I discussed tonight. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Good. Okay. Well, I think So you have before us information on the proposed subdivision. I see a lot of people out here. Does anybody else with Would you like to talk about this at all or any comments to us? This is not a public hearing, but we would welcome any comments that you have. MR. PRASHANTHA: I mean, Hoffman Estates is requesting to have a secondary entrance is kind of a closer closed our site plan that we have. We'll	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ranches and also put on under a quarter acre lots, what kind of house size would those be looking like? MR. PRASHANTHA: The 65 You could get kind of like 50 x 50. So you could get 2,500. I mean, taking out the garage space. If you go two story, you will be over 3, 4,000 square feet. So I mean, not all of the lots. Some lots are kind of .38 say. For example, lot 15, it's like .38 is the average we have. You could build minimum on every single lot somewhere around 3,000 square feet at least. And you can go 5, 6,000. We are trying to restrict how big a home is going to be and how small. We can have a range. So customers can choose the way they want it. MR. ELIAS: What is your sideyard setbacks?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	thing. So you're not going to wait for the court reporter. MR. SHAFFER: Yes, I will issue a permit review memo, as stated, summarizes the items that I discussed tonight. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Good. Okay. Well, I think So you have before us information on the proposed subdivision. I see a lot of people out here. Does anybody else with Would you like to talk about this at all or any comments to us? This is not a public hearing, but we would welcome any comments that you have. MR. PRASHANTHA: I mean, Hoffman Estates is requesting to have a secondary entrance is kind of a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ranches and also put on under a quarter acre lots, what kind of house size would those be looking like? MR. PRASHANTHA: The 65 You could get kind of like 50 x 50. So you could get 2,500. I mean, taking out the garage space. If you go two story, you will be over 3, 4,000 square feet. So I mean, not all of the lots. Some lots are kind of .38 say. For example, lot 15, it's like .38 is the average we have. You could build minimum on every single lot somewhere around 3,000 square feet at least. And you can go 5, 6,000. We are trying to restrict how big a home is going to be and how small. We can have a range. So customers can choose the way they want it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	thing. So you're not going to wait for the court reporter. MR. SHAFFER: Yes, I will issue a permit review memo, as stated, summarizes the items that I discussed tonight. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Good. Okay. Well, I think So you have before us information on the proposed subdivision. I see a lot of people out here. Does anybody else with Would you like to talk about this at all or any comments to us? This is not a public hearing, but we would welcome any comments that you have. MR. PRASHANTHA: I mean, Hoffman Estates is requesting to have a secondary entrance is kind of a closer closed our site plan that we have. We'll look and see what is the reason not to have access. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ranches and also put on under a quarter acre lots, what kind of house size would those be looking like? MR. PRASHANTHA: The 65 You could get kind of like 50 x 50. So you could get 2,500. I mean, taking out the garage space. If you go two story, you will be over 3, 4,000 square feet. So I mean, not all of the lots. Some lots are kind of .38 say. For example, lot 15, it's like .38 is the average we have. You could build minimum on every single lot somewhere around 3,000 square feet at least. And you can go 5, 6,000. We are trying to restrict how big a home is going to be and how small. We can have a range. So customers can choose the way they want it. MR. ELIAS: What is your sideyard setbacks? MR. PRASHANTHA: 10 feet. MR. ELIAS: And the rear is 25.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	thing. So you're not going to wait for the court reporter. MR. SHAFFER: Yes, I will issue a permit review memo, as stated, summarizes the items that I discussed tonight. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Good. Okay. Well, I think So you have before us information on the proposed subdivision. I see a lot of people out here. Does anybody else with Would you like to talk about this at all or any comments to us? This is not a public hearing, but we would welcome any comments that you have. MR. PRASHANTHA: I mean, Hoffman Estates is requesting to have a secondary entrance is kind of a closer closed our site plan that we have. We'll look and see what is the reason not to have access.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ranches and also put on under a quarter acre lots, what kind of house size would those be looking like? MR. PRASHANTHA: The 65 You could get kind of like 50 x 50. So you could get 2,500. I mean, taking out the garage space. If you go two story, you will be over 3, 4,000 square feet. So I mean, not all of the lots. Some lots are kind of .38 say. For example, lot 15, it's like .38 is the average we have. You could build minimum on every single lot somewhere around 3,000 square feet at least. And you can go 5, 6,000. We are trying to restrict how big a home is going to be and how small. We can have a range. So customers can choose the way they want it. MR. ELIAS: What is your sideyard setbacks? MR. PRASHANTHA: 10 feet.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	thing. So you're not going to wait for the court reporter. MR. SHAFFER: Yes, I will issue a permit review memo, as stated, summarizes the items that I discussed tonight. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Good. Okay. Well, I think So you have before us information on the proposed subdivision. I see a lot of people out here. Does anybody else with Would you like to talk about this at all or any comments to us? This is not a public hearing, but we would welcome any comments that you have. MR. PRASHANTHA: I mean, Hoffman Estates is requesting to have a secondary entrance is kind of a closer closed our site plan that we have. We'll look and see what is the reason not to have access. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yeah. MR. PRASHANTHA: Except for everything else,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ranches and also put on under a quarter acre lots, what kind of house size would those be looking like? MR. PRASHANTHA: The 65 You could get kind of like 50 x 50. So you could get 2,500. I mean, taking out the garage space. If you go two story, you will be over 3, 4,000 square feet. So I mean, not all of the lots. Some lots are kind of .38 say. For example, lot 15, it's like .38 is the average we have. You could build minimum on every single lot somewhere around 3,000 square feet at least. And you can go 5, 6,000. We are trying to restrict how big a home is going to be and how small. We can have a range. So customers can choose the way they want it. MR. ELIAS: What is your sideyard setbacks? MR. PRASHANTHA: 10 feet. MR. ELIAS: And the rear is 25. MR. PRASHANTHA: 25.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	thing. So you're not going to wait for the court reporter. MR. SHAFFER: Yes, I will issue a permit review memo, as stated, summarizes the items that I discussed tonight. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Good. Okay. Well, I think So you have before us information on the proposed subdivision. I see a lot of people out here. Does anybody else with Would you like to talk about this at all or any comments to us? This is not a public hearing, but we would welcome any comments that you have. MR. PRASHANTHA: I mean, Hoffman Estates is requesting to have a secondary entrance is kind of a closer closed our site plan that we have. We'll look and see what is the reason not to have access. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yeah. MR. PRASHANTHA: Except for everything else, we'll address it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	ranches and also put on under a quarter acre lots, what kind of house size would those be looking like? MR. PRASHANTHA: The 65 You could get kind of like 50 x 50. So you could get 2,500. I mean, taking out the garage space. If you go two story, you will be over 3, 4,000 square feet. So I mean, not all of the lots. Some lots are kind of .38 say. For example, lot 15, it's like .38 is the average we have. You could build minimum on every single lot somewhere around 3,000 square feet at least. And you can go 5, 6,000. We are trying to restrict how big a home is going to be and how small. We can have a range. So customers can choose the way they want it. MR. ELIAS: What is your sideyard setbacks? MR. PRASHANTHA: 10 feet. MR. ELIAS: And the rear is 25. MR. PRASHANTHA: 25. MR. ELIAS: And front is?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 thing. So you're not going to wait for the court reporter. MR. SHAFFER: Yes, I will issue a permit review memo, as stated, summarizes the items that I discussed tonight. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Good. Okay. Well, I think So you have before us information on the proposed subdivision. I see a lot of people out here. Does anybody else with Would you like to talk about this at all or any comments to us? This is not a public hearing, but we would welcome any comments that you have. MR. PRASHANTHA: I mean, Hoffman Estates is requesting to have a secondary entrance is kind of a closer closed our site plan that we have. We'll look and see what is the reason not to have access. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yeah. MR. PRASHANTHA: Except for everything else, we'll address it. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yeah. I mean, I think 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ranches and also put on under a quarter acre lots, what kind of house size would those be looking like? MR. PRASHANTHA: The 65 You could get kind of like 50 x 50. So you could get 2,500. I mean, taking out the garage space. If you go two story, you will be over 3, 4,000 square feet. So I mean, not all of the lots. Some lots are kind of .38 say. For example, lot 15, it's like .38 is the average we have. You could build minimum on every single lot somewhere around 3,000 square feet at least. And you can go 5, 6,000. We are trying to restrict how big a home is going to be and how small. We can have a range. So customers can choose the way they want it. MR. ELIAS: What is your sideyard setbacks? MR. PRASHANTHA: 10 feet. MR. ELIAS: And the rear is 25. MR. PRASHANTHA: 25. MR. PRASHANTHA: 25. MR. PLIAS: And front is? MR. PRASHANTHA: So we have It depends.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 thing. So you're not going to wait for the court reporter. MR. SHAFFER: Yes, I will issue a permit review memo, as stated, summarizes the items that I discussed tonight. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Good. Okay. Well, I think So you have before us information on the proposed subdivision. I see a lot of people out here. Does anybody else with Would you like to talk about this at all or any comments to us? This is not a public hearing, but we would welcome any comments that you have. MR. PRASHANTHA: I mean, Hoffman Estates is requesting to have a secondary entrance is kind of a closer closed our site plan that we have. We'll look and see what is the reason not to have access. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yeah. MR. PRASHANTHA: Except for everything else, we'll address it. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yeah. I mean, I think the big thing is, you know, Hoffman Estates having 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ranches and also put on under a quarter acre lots, what kind of house size would those be looking like? MR. PRASHANTHA: The 65 You could get kind of like 50 x 50. So you could get 2,500. I mean, taking out the garage space. If you go two story, you will be over 3, 4,000 square feet. So I mean, not all of the lots. Some lots are kind of .38 say. For example, lot 15, it's like .38 is the average we have. You could build minimum on every single lot somewhere around 3,000 square feet at least. And you can go 5, 6,000. We are trying to restrict how big a home is going to be and how small. We can have a range. So customers can choose the way they want it. MR. ELIAS: What is your sideyard setbacks? MR. PRASHANTHA: 10 feet. MR. ELIAS: And the rear is 25. MR. PRASHANTHA: 25. MR. PRASHANTHA: 25. MR. PRASHANTHA: So we have It depends. I'm just giving you the minimum. So you have 20 feet

13 (Pages 46 to 49)

		1	
	Page 50		Page 52
1	MR. PRASHANTHA: The front it goes, you know,	1	have the site plan?
2	25 to 30. 25 is the minimum.	2	MR. ELIAS: So the depth of this illustration
3	MR. SHAFFER: The data tells us 20.	3	that I got some of the dimensions may not be
4	MR. PRASHANTHA: 20 is the minimum.	4	accurately depicted, I'm just going off eye not
5	MR. ELIAS: What is your lot dimension again?	5	scale, but you have the garage depth is at least 21.
6	MR. PRASHANTHA: 50 x 50 with a garage in the	6	MR. PRASHANTHA: I have a 40 foot lot size.
7	front.	7	So I could fit this.
8	MR. ELIAS: No, the lot dimension.	8	MR. ELIAS: Here, just to illustrate. From
9	MR. PRASHANTHA: Lot It's not one	9	here to this point, the depth of that is say 20 to 22
10	particular lot size. The minimum lot size that I	10	feet, depending on the depth of your garage. Your
11	have is somewhere around 9,000 7,500. The maximum	11	front door is 3 feet plus the side lights 4 feet. So
12	we have is 16,500. So the minimum lot size is .17.	12	just eyeballing this, this looks like it's about 12
13	The maximum is .38.	13	feet coming out of the side of the garage. So I
14	MR. ELIAS: Do you have lot dimensions	14	believe the driveway is more over here to make that
15	though, like a minimum lot width or depth?	15	exit out of the garage.
16	MR. PRASHANTHA: Yeah. It varies from one	16	MR. PRASHANTHA: What is your question?
17	lot to another. So you have I don't have the	17	MR. ELIAS: My point is that you're only
18	exact dimensions per se, but I have the whole square	18	showing a 12 foot wide driveway when in fact to
19	feet. Minimum we have is 7,500, maximum 16,000.	19	maneuver a car out of a driveway you need at least 25
20	MR. ELIAS: So based on those setbacks, I	20	feet.
21	think to Chris's point, a ranch house is probably	21	MR. PRASHANTHA: You have to look at it from
22	going to be somewhere without the garage maybe 1,500	22	two points, this is a rendering. I would look at the
23	square feet.	23	site plan. That is actual that I go off of. Let's
24	MR. PRASHANTHA: 25, 50 x 50.	24	say if you say okay, my door size is something, it's
	Page 51		Page 53
	Page 51		Page 53
1	MR. ELIAS: I don't think that math is	1	not gong to make any sense. I have to go to the
2	MR. ELIAS: I don't think that math is working out though. I can't calculate it exactly,	2	not gong to make any sense. I have to go to the actual site plan.
2 3	MR. ELIAS: I don't think that math is working out though. I can't calculate it exactly, because I don't have the lot size dimensions, but you	2 3	not gong to make any sense. I have to go to the actual site plan. MR. ELIAS: So what is your driveway width in
2 3 4	MR. ELIAS: I don't think that math is working out though. I can't calculate it exactly, because I don't have the lot size dimensions, but you know, 7,000 square foot lot	2 3 4	not gong to make any sense. I have to go to the actual site plan. MR. ELIAS: So what is your driveway width in front of your garage?
2 3 4 5	MR. ELIAS: I don't think that math is working out though. I can't calculate it exactly, because I don't have the lot size dimensions, but you know, 7,000 square foot lot MR. PRASHANTHA: We have a site plan that we	2 3 4 5	not gong to make any sense. I have to go to the actual site plan. MR. ELIAS: So what is your driveway width in front of your garage? MR. PRASHANTHA: So I have 20 minimum.
2 3 4 5 6	MR. ELIAS: I don't think that math is working out though. I can't calculate it exactly, because I don't have the lot size dimensions, but you know, 7,000 square foot lot MR. PRASHANTHA: We have a site plan that we put together, the site plan minimum, and the lot size	2 3 4 5 6	not gong to make any sense. I have to go to the actual site plan. MR. ELIAS: So what is your driveway width in front of your garage? MR. PRASHANTHA: So I have 20 minimum. MR. ELIAS: So if this is a rendering
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. ELIAS: I don't think that math is working out though. I can't calculate it exactly, because I don't have the lot size dimensions, but you know, 7,000 square foot lot MR. PRASHANTHA: We have a site plan that we put together, the site plan minimum, and the lot size we put designed was 65 and 50 in the front part. So	2 3 4 5 6 7	not gong to make any sense. I have to go to the actual site plan. MR. ELIAS: So what is your driveway width in front of your garage? MR. PRASHANTHA: So I have 20 minimum. MR. ELIAS: So if this is a rendering depicting what your proposal is.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. ELIAS: I don't think that math is working out though. I can't calculate it exactly, because I don't have the lot size dimensions, but you know, 7,000 square foot lot MR. PRASHANTHA: We have a site plan that we put together, the site plan minimum, and the lot size we put designed was 65 and 50 in the front part. So you will have 50 x 50 minimum. So 50 x 50 will give	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	not gong to make any sense. I have to go to the actual site plan. MR. ELIAS: So what is your driveway width in front of your garage? MR. PRASHANTHA: So I have 20 minimum. MR. ELIAS: So if this is a rendering depicting what your proposal is. MR. PRASHANTHA: I could show you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. ELIAS: I don't think that math is working out though. I can't calculate it exactly, because I don't have the lot size dimensions, but you know, 7,000 square foot lot MR. PRASHANTHA: We have a site plan that we put together, the site plan minimum, and the lot size we put designed was 65 and 50 in the front part. So you will have 50 x 50 minimum. So 50 x 50 will give you 2,500 square feet. You will have 2,500 square	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	not gong to make any sense. I have to go to the actual site plan. MR. ELIAS: So what is your driveway width in front of your garage? MR. PRASHANTHA: So I have 20 minimum. MR. ELIAS: So if this is a rendering depicting what your proposal is. MR. PRASHANTHA: I could show you. MR. ELIAS: I would say that this would look
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. ELIAS: I don't think that math is working out though. I can't calculate it exactly, because I don't have the lot size dimensions, but you know, 7,000 square foot lot MR. PRASHANTHA: We have a site plan that we put together, the site plan minimum, and the lot size we put designed was 65 and 50 in the front part. So you will have 50 x 50 minimum. So 50 x 50 will give you 2,500 square feet. You will have 2,500 square feet ranch.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	not gong to make any sense. I have to go to the actual site plan. MR. ELIAS: So what is your driveway width in front of your garage? MR. PRASHANTHA: So I have 20 minimum. MR. ELIAS: So if this is a rendering depicting what your proposal is. MR. PRASHANTHA: I could show you. MR. ELIAS: I would say that this would look entirely different if the driveway was over here. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. ELIAS: I don't think that math is working out though. I can't calculate it exactly, because I don't have the lot size dimensions, but you know, 7,000 square foot lot MR. PRASHANTHA: We have a site plan that we put together, the site plan minimum, and the lot size we put designed was 65 and 50 in the front part. So you will have 50 x 50 minimum. So 50 x 50 will give you 2,500 square feet. You will have 2,500 square feet ranch. MR. ELIAS: So on a 7,000 square foot lot,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	not gong to make any sense. I have to go to the actual site plan. MR. ELIAS: So what is your driveway width in front of your garage? MR. PRASHANTHA: So I have 20 minimum. MR. ELIAS: So if this is a rendering depicting what your proposal is. MR. PRASHANTHA: I could show you. MR. ELIAS: I would say that this would look entirely different if the driveway was over here. So the whole front yard would be a driveway.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. ELIAS: I don't think that math is working out though. I can't calculate it exactly, because I don't have the lot size dimensions, but you know, 7,000 square foot lot MR. PRASHANTHA: We have a site plan that we put together, the site plan minimum, and the lot size we put designed was 65 and 50 in the front part. So you will have 50 x 50 minimum. So 50 x 50 will give you 2,500 square feet. You will have 2,500 square feet ranch. MR. ELIAS: So on a 7,000 square foot lot, you have to subtract the garage, which is 1,200	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	not gong to make any sense. I have to go to the actual site plan. MR. ELIAS: So what is your driveway width in front of your garage? MR. PRASHANTHA: So I have 20 minimum. MR. ELIAS: So if this is a rendering depicting what your proposal is. MR. PRASHANTHA: I could show you. MR. ELIAS: I would say that this would look entirely different if the driveway was over here. So the whole front yard would be a driveway. Natalie, is a 25 feet width
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. ELIAS: I don't think that math is working out though. I can't calculate it exactly, because I don't have the lot size dimensions, but you know, 7,000 square foot lot MR. PRASHANTHA: We have a site plan that we put together, the site plan minimum, and the lot size we put designed was 65 and 50 in the front part. So you will have 50 x 50 minimum. So 50 x 50 will give you 2,500 square feet. You will have 2,500 square feet ranch. MR. ELIAS: So on a 7,000 square foot lot, you have to subtract the garage, which is 1,200 square feet. You have to subtract the driveway.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	not gong to make any sense. I have to go to the actual site plan. MR. ELIAS: So what is your driveway width in front of your garage? MR. PRASHANTHA: So I have 20 minimum. MR. ELIAS: So if this is a rendering depicting what your proposal is. MR. PRASHANTHA: I could show you. MR. ELIAS: I would say that this would look entirely different if the driveway was over here. So the whole front yard would be a driveway. Natalie, is a 25 feet width required?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. ELIAS: I don't think that math is working out though. I can't calculate it exactly, because I don't have the lot size dimensions, but you know, 7,000 square foot lot MR. PRASHANTHA: We have a site plan that we put together, the site plan minimum, and the lot size we put designed was 65 and 50 in the front part. So you will have 50 x 50 minimum. So 50 x 50 will give you 2,500 square feet. You will have 2,500 square feet ranch. MR. ELIAS: So on a 7,000 square foot lot, you have to subtract the garage, which is 1,200 square feet. You have to subtract the driveway. MR. PRASHANTHA: It's not going to be 1,200	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	not gong to make any sense. I have to go to the actual site plan. MR. ELIAS: So what is your driveway width in front of your garage? MR. PRASHANTHA: So I have 20 minimum. MR. ELIAS: So if this is a rendering depicting what your proposal is. MR. PRASHANTHA: I could show you. MR. ELIAS: I would say that this would look entirely different if the driveway was over here. So the whole front yard would be a driveway. Natalie, is a 25 feet width required? MS. KARNEY: Bare minimum.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. ELIAS: I don't think that math is working out though. I can't calculate it exactly, because I don't have the lot size dimensions, but you know, 7,000 square foot lot MR. PRASHANTHA: We have a site plan that we put together, the site plan minimum, and the lot size we put designed was 65 and 50 in the front part. So you will have 50 x 50 minimum. So 50 x 50 will give you 2,500 square feet. You will have 2,500 square feet ranch. MR. ELIAS: So on a 7,000 square foot lot, you have to subtract the garage, which is 1,200 square feet. You have to subtract the driveway. MR. PRASHANTHA: It's not going to be 1,200 for that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	not gong to make any sense. I have to go to the actual site plan. MR. ELIAS: So what is your driveway width in front of your garage? MR. PRASHANTHA: So I have 20 minimum. MR. ELIAS: So if this is a rendering depicting what your proposal is. MR. PRASHANTHA: I could show you. MR. ELIAS: I would say that this would look entirely different if the driveway was over here. So the whole front yard would be a driveway. Natalie, is a 25 feet width required? MS. KARNEY: Bare minimum. MR. ELIAS: 25 feet.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. ELIAS: I don't think that math is working out though. I can't calculate it exactly, because I don't have the lot size dimensions, but you know, 7,000 square foot lot MR. PRASHANTHA: We have a site plan that we put together, the site plan minimum, and the lot size we put designed was 65 and 50 in the front part. So you will have 50 x 50 minimum. So 50 x 50 will give you 2,500 square feet. You will have 2,500 square feet ranch. MR. ELIAS: So on a 7,000 square foot lot, you have to subtract the garage, which is 1,200 square feet. You have to subtract the driveway. MR. PRASHANTHA: It's not going to be 1,200 for that. MR. ELIAS: Without the lot dimensions, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	not gong to make any sense. I have to go to the actual site plan. MR. ELIAS: So what is your driveway width in front of your garage? MR. PRASHANTHA: So I have 20 minimum. MR. ELIAS: So if this is a rendering depicting what your proposal is. MR. PRASHANTHA: I could show you. MR. ELIAS: I would say that this would look entirely different if the driveway was over here. So the whole front yard would be a driveway. Natalie, is a 25 feet width required? MS. KARNEY: Bare minimum.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. ELIAS: I don't think that math is working out though. I can't calculate it exactly, because I don't have the lot size dimensions, but you know, 7,000 square foot lot MR. PRASHANTHA: We have a site plan that we put together, the site plan minimum, and the lot size we put designed was 65 and 50 in the front part. So you will have 50 x 50 minimum. So 50 x 50 will give you 2,500 square feet. You will have 2,500 square feet ranch. MR. ELIAS: So on a 7,000 square foot lot, you have to subtract the garage, which is 1,200 square feet. You have to subtract the driveway. MR. PRASHANTHA: It's not going to be 1,200 for that. MR. ELIAS: Without the lot dimensions, I can't really give you the calculations, but I believe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	not gong to make any sense. I have to go to the actual site plan. MR. ELIAS: So what is your driveway width in front of your garage? MR. PRASHANTHA: So I have 20 minimum. MR. ELIAS: So if this is a rendering depicting what your proposal is. MR. PRASHANTHA: I could show you. MR. ELIAS: I would say that this would look entirely different if the driveway was over here. So the whole front yard would be a driveway. Natalie, is a 25 feet width required? MS. KARNEY: Bare minimum. MR. ELIAS: 25 feet. MS. KARNEY: 30 is preferred.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. ELIAS: I don't think that math is working out though. I can't calculate it exactly, because I don't have the lot size dimensions, but you know, 7,000 square foot lot MR. PRASHANTHA: We have a site plan that we put together, the site plan minimum, and the lot size we put designed was 65 and 50 in the front part. So you will have 50 x 50 minimum. So 50 x 50 will give you 2,500 square feet. You will have 2,500 square feet ranch. MR. ELIAS: So on a 7,000 square foot lot, you have to subtract the garage, which is 1,200 square feet. You have to subtract the driveway. MR. PRASHANTHA: It's not going to be 1,200 for that. MR. ELIAS: Without the lot dimensions, I can't really give you the calculations, but I believe it seems like it's off a little bit.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	not gong to make any sense. I have to go to the actual site plan. MR. ELIAS: So what is your driveway width in front of your garage? MR. PRASHANTHA: So I have 20 minimum. MR. ELIAS: So if this is a rendering depicting what your proposal is. MR. PRASHANTHA: I could show you. MR. ELIAS: I would say that this would look entirely different if the driveway was over here. So the whole front yard would be a driveway. Natalie, is a 25 feet width required? MS. KARNEY: Bare minimum. MR. ELIAS: 25 feet. MS. KARNEY: 30 is preferred. MR. ELIAS: So if this is 25 feet, then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. ELIAS: I don't think that math is working out though. I can't calculate it exactly, because I don't have the lot size dimensions, but you know, 7,000 square foot lot MR. PRASHANTHA: We have a site plan that we put together, the site plan minimum, and the lot size we put designed was 65 and 50 in the front part. So you will have 50 x 50 minimum. So 50 x 50 will give you 2,500 square feet. You will have 2,500 square feet ranch. MR. ELIAS: So on a 7,000 square foot lot, you have to subtract the garage, which is 1,200 square feet. You have to subtract the driveway. MR. PRASHANTHA: It's not going to be 1,200 for that. MR. ELIAS: Without the lot dimensions, I can't really give you the calculations, but I believe it seems like it's off a little bit. And the other point I wanted to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	not gong to make any sense. I have to go to the actual site plan. MR. ELIAS: So what is your driveway width in front of your garage? MR. PRASHANTHA: So I have 20 minimum. MR. ELIAS: So if this is a rendering depicting what your proposal is. MR. PRASHANTHA: I could show you. MR. ELIAS: I would say that this would look entirely different if the driveway was over here. So the whole front yard would be a driveway. Natalie, is a 25 feet width required? MS. KARNEY: Bare minimum. MR. ELIAS: 25 feet. MS. KARNEY: 30 is preferred. MR. ELIAS: So if this is 25 feet, then really all of this is not here. The driveway would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. ELIAS: I don't think that math is working out though. I can't calculate it exactly, because I don't have the lot size dimensions, but you know, 7,000 square foot lot MR. PRASHANTHA: We have a site plan that we put together, the site plan minimum, and the lot size we put designed was 65 and 50 in the front part. So you will have 50 x 50 minimum. So 50 x 50 will give you 2,500 square feet. You will have 2,500 square feet ranch. MR. ELIAS: So on a 7,000 square foot lot, you have to subtract the garage, which is 1,200 square feet. You have to subtract the driveway. MR. PRASHANTHA: It's not going to be 1,200 for that. MR. ELIAS: Without the lot dimensions, I can't really give you the calculations, but I believe it seems like it's off a little bit. And the other point I wanted to point out, Todd Shaffer made a comment about the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	not gong to make any sense. I have to go to the actual site plan. MR. ELIAS: So what is your driveway width in front of your garage? MR. PRASHANTHA: So I have 20 minimum. MR. ELIAS: So if this is a rendering depicting what your proposal is. MR. PRASHANTHA: I could show you. MR. ELIAS: I would say that this would look entirely different if the driveway was over here. So the whole front yard would be a driveway. Natalie, is a 25 feet width required? MS. KARNEY: Bare minimum. MR. ELIAS: 25 feet. MS. KARNEY: 30 is preferred. MR. ELIAS: So if this is 25 feet, then really all of this is not here. The driveway would be here. So your whole front yard is a driveway, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. ELIAS: I don't think that math is working out though. I can't calculate it exactly, because I don't have the lot size dimensions, but you know, 7,000 square foot lot MR. PRASHANTHA: We have a site plan that we put together, the site plan minimum, and the lot size we put designed was 65 and 50 in the front part. So you will have 50 x 50 minimum. So 50 x 50 will give you 2,500 square feet. You will have 2,500 square feet ranch. MR. ELIAS: So on a 7,000 square foot lot, you have to subtract the garage, which is 1,200 square feet. You have to subtract the driveway. MR. PRASHANTHA: It's not going to be 1,200 for that. MR. ELIAS: Without the lot dimensions, I can't really give you the calculations, but I believe it seems like it's off a little bit. And the other point I wanted to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	not gong to make any sense. I have to go to the actual site plan. MR. ELIAS: So what is your driveway width in front of your garage? MR. PRASHANTHA: So I have 20 minimum. MR. ELIAS: So if this is a rendering depicting what your proposal is. MR. PRASHANTHA: I could show you. MR. ELIAS: I would say that this would look entirely different if the driveway was over here. So the whole front yard would be a driveway. Natalie, is a 25 feet width required? MS. KARNEY: Bare minimum. MR. ELIAS: 25 feet. MS. KARNEY: 30 is preferred. MR. ELIAS: So if this is 25 feet, then really all of this is not here. The driveway would be here. So your whole front yard is a driveway, and I think an illustration of that would depicting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. ELIAS: I don't think that math is working out though. I can't calculate it exactly, because I don't have the lot size dimensions, but you know, 7,000 square foot lot MR. PRASHANTHA: We have a site plan that we put together, the site plan minimum, and the lot size we put designed was 65 and 50 in the front part. So you will have 50 x 50 minimum. So 50 x 50 will give you 2,500 square feet. You will have 2,500 square feet ranch. MR. ELIAS: So on a 7,000 square foot lot, you have to subtract the garage, which is 1,200 square feet. You have to subtract the driveway. MR. PRASHANTHA: It's not going to be 1,200 for that. MR. ELIAS: Without the lot dimensions, I can't really give you the calculations, but I believe it seems like it's off a little bit. And the other point I wanted to point out, Todd Shaffer made a comment about the driveways and Could you switch to the screen?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	not gong to make any sense. I have to go to the actual site plan. MR. ELIAS: So what is your driveway width in front of your garage? MR. PRASHANTHA: So I have 20 minimum. MR. ELIAS: So if this is a rendering depicting what your proposal is. MR. PRASHANTHA: I could show you. MR. ELIAS: I would say that this would look entirely different if the driveway was over here. So the whole front yard would be a driveway. Natalie, is a 25 feet width required? MS. KARNEY: Bare minimum. MR. ELIAS: 25 feet. MS. KARNEY: 30 is preferred. MR. ELIAS: So if this is 25 feet, then really all of this is not here. The driveway would be here. So your whole front yard is a driveway, and I think an illustration of that would depicting that correctly would give a better idea of your site
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. ELIAS: I don't think that math is working out though. I can't calculate it exactly, because I don't have the lot size dimensions, but you know, 7,000 square foot lot MR. PRASHANTHA: We have a site plan that we put together, the site plan minimum, and the lot size we put designed was 65 and 50 in the front part. So you will have 50 x 50 minimum. So 50 x 50 will give you 2,500 square feet. You will have 2,500 square feet ranch. MR. ELIAS: So on a 7,000 square foot lot, you have to subtract the garage, which is 1,200 square feet. You have to subtract the driveway. MR. PRASHANTHA: It's not going to be 1,200 for that. MR. ELIAS: Without the lot dimensions, I can't really give you the calculations, but I believe it seems like it's off a little bit. And the other point I wanted to point out, Todd Shaffer made a comment about the driveways and Could you switch to the screen? The one where it has the front	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	not gong to make any sense. I have to go to the actual site plan. MR. ELIAS: So what is your driveway width in front of your garage? MR. PRASHANTHA: So I have 20 minimum. MR. ELIAS: So if this is a rendering depicting what your proposal is. MR. PRASHANTHA: I could show you. MR. ELIAS: I would say that this would look entirely different if the driveway was over here. So the whole front yard would be a driveway. Natalie, is a 25 feet width required? MS. KARNEY: Bare minimum. MR. ELIAS: 25 feet. MS. KARNEY: 30 is preferred. MR. ELIAS: So if this is 25 feet, then really all of this is not here. The driveway would be here. So your whole front yard is a driveway, and I think an illustration of that would depicting that correctly would give a better idea of your site plan is really something that the village would want.

14 (Pages 50 to 53)

	Page 54		Page 56
1	we proposed in the beginning.	1	happens if you start running into well problems?
2	MR. ELIAS: Sure. I understand. But the	2	MR. PRASHANTHA: If you think we're going to
3	rendering is a depiction of the site plan, correct?	3	build 5,000 square feet home on all of this, then our
4	MR. PRASHANTHA: We have four different	4	calculations are wrong.
5	sizes. Some have 70 feet. I have 50 is the minimum.	5	MR. JASKOT: You say you can build it. Every
6	I have 60. I can fit this in 70 foot lot.	6	single one could be a 5,000 square feet home.
7	MR. ELIAS: I'm merely speaking of the	7	MR. PRASHANTHA: Based on the sales that you
8	driveway width that is depicted in your illustration,	8	see, I don't see bigger homes are sold. We are
9	which is misleading.	9	getting this from mainly empty nesters and the people
10	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: So this is just	10	who want to have ranches are, you know, maintenance-
11	preliminary. They're just showing stuff. This is	11	free subdivisions.
12	really not a submittal. This is a starting point.	12	MR. JASKOT: There's no covenant that says
13	It's a jump off. Todd's given him a lot of thoughts,	13	they have to be that. That's our concern.
14	including the driveway. So they're aware of it.	14	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: So I think what we're
15	They have to show us.	15	going to base the discussion on for that when we get
16	MR. SHAFFER: Chairman, a comment on the	16	to that will be from the village engineer and the
17	driveway just to close out the driveway issue.	17	village consultants, and we very definitely want to
18	Does the village allow driveways to	18	make sure we're not robbing from Peter to pay Paul.
19	encroach on the sideyard setback?	19	So we will hear from them, and we will have their
20	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. Always have.	20	analysis of the whole thing.
21	Always has. Mine does.	21	MR. JASKOT: And the waste water drainage
22	MR. JASKOT: Ray Jaskot, 12 Mohawk Drive.	22	too, because we have flooding
23	We live in the subdivision right	23	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Right. I think from the
24	next to this property. Some concerns are I guess	24	way I understand it, it sounded like the wastewater
	Page 55		Page 57
1	wastewater and what kind of affect that would have on	1	
		1	is going to go into a detention, which is on Freeman,
2	our subdivision.	2	is going to go into a detention, which is on Freeman, and then out of Freeman into the swale. Did I hear
2 3			and then out of Freeman into the swale. Did I hear
	The other is what kind of impact are	2	and then out of Freeman into the swale. Did I hear that correctly?
3		2 3	and then out of Freeman into the swale. Did I hear
3 4	The other is what kind of impact are we talking, 17 wells in such a small area, what kind of impact will that have on the well water for our	2 3 4	and then out of Freeman into the swale. Did I hear that correctly? MS. KARNEY: That's the way it's shown on the
3 4 5	The other is what kind of impact are we talking, 17 wells in such a small area, what kind	2 3 4 5	and then out of Freeman into the swale. Did I hear that correctly? MS. KARNEY: That's the way it's shown on the plan, correct.
3 4 5 6	The other is what kind of impact are we talking, 17 wells in such a small area, what kind of impact will that have on the well water for our subdivision and the surrounding community? How much	2 3 4 5 6	and then out of Freeman into the swale. Did I hear that correctly? MS. KARNEY: That's the way it's shown on the plan, correct. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I think it's going away
3 4 5 6 7	The other is what kind of impact are we talking, 17 wells in such a small area, what kind of impact will that have on the well water for our subdivision and the surrounding community? How much of it is pulling out? And it's going to affect our	2 3 4 5 6 7	and then out of Freeman into the swale. Did I hear that correctly? MS. KARNEY: That's the way it's shown on the plan, correct. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I think it's going away from your subdivision, but it's really preliminary.
3 4 5 6 7 8	The other is what kind of impact are we talking, 17 wells in such a small area, what kind of impact will that have on the well water for our subdivision and the surrounding community? How much of it is pulling out? And it's going to affect our wells going to go dry because you are pulling so much	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and then out of Freeman into the swale. Did I hear that correctly? MS. KARNEY: That's the way it's shown on the plan, correct. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I think it's going away from your subdivision, but it's really preliminary. I haven't seen enough to like It's very
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	The other is what kind of impact are we talking, 17 wells in such a small area, what kind of impact will that have on the well water for our subdivision and the surrounding community? How much of it is pulling out? And it's going to affect our wells going to go dry because you are pulling so much water out, especially for you are gearing for five	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and then out of Freeman into the swale. Did I hear that correctly? MS. KARNEY: That's the way it's shown on the plan, correct. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I think it's going away from your subdivision, but it's really preliminary. I haven't seen enough to like It's very preliminary.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	The other is what kind of impact are we talking, 17 wells in such a small area, what kind of impact will that have on the well water for our subdivision and the surrounding community? How much of it is pulling out? And it's going to affect our wells going to go dry because you are pulling so much water out, especially for you are gearing for five bedrooms, that's a lot of water.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and then out of Freeman into the swale. Did I hear that correctly? MS. KARNEY: That's the way it's shown on the plan, correct. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I think it's going away from your subdivision, but it's really preliminary. I haven't seen enough to like It's very preliminary. MR. JASKOT: You're also reducing the amount
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	The other is what kind of impact are we talking, 17 wells in such a small area, what kind of impact will that have on the well water for our subdivision and the surrounding community? How much of it is pulling out? And it's going to affect our wells going to go dry because you are pulling so much water out, especially for you are gearing for five bedrooms, that's a lot of water. MR. PRASHANTHA: Not all of the houses will be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and then out of Freeman into the swale. Did I hear that correctly? MS. KARNEY: That's the way it's shown on the plan, correct. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I think it's going away from your subdivision, but it's really preliminary. I haven't seen enough to like It's very preliminary. MR. JASKOT: You're also reducing the amount of ground available with the density of housing is
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	The other is what kind of impact are we talking, 17 wells in such a small area, what kind of impact will that have on the well water for our subdivision and the surrounding community? How much of it is pulling out? And it's going to affect our wells going to go dry because you are pulling so much water out, especially for you are gearing for five bedrooms, that's a lot of water. MR. PRASHANTHA: Not all of the houses will be five bedrooms.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and then out of Freeman into the swale. Did I hear that correctly? MS. KARNEY: That's the way it's shown on the plan, correct. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I think it's going away from your subdivision, but it's really preliminary. I haven't seen enough to like It's very preliminary. MR. JASKOT: You're also reducing the amount of ground available with the density of housing is not the absorption rate and not enough ground to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	The other is what kind of impact are we talking, 17 wells in such a small area, what kind of impact will that have on the well water for our subdivision and the surrounding community? How much of it is pulling out? And it's going to affect our wells going to go dry because you are pulling so much water out, especially for you are gearing for five bedrooms, that's a lot of water. MR. PRASHANTHA: Not all of the houses will be five bedrooms. MR. JASKOT: I mean, you're sizing the you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and then out of Freeman into the swale. Did I hear that correctly? MS. KARNEY: That's the way it's shown on the plan, correct. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I think it's going away from your subdivision, but it's really preliminary. I haven't seen enough to like It's very preliminary. MR. JASKOT: You're also reducing the amount of ground available with the density of housing is not the absorption rate and not enough ground to absorb up that ground water either. You have going
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	The other is what kind of impact are we talking, 17 wells in such a small area, what kind of impact will that have on the well water for our subdivision and the surrounding community? How much of it is pulling out? And it's going to affect our wells going to go dry because you are pulling so much water out, especially for you are gearing for five bedrooms, that's a lot of water. MR. PRASHANTHA: Not all of the houses will be five bedrooms. MR. JASKOT: I mean, you're sizing the you are sizing the septic, so you've got to size the well	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and then out of Freeman into the swale. Did I hear that correctly? MS. KARNEY: That's the way it's shown on the plan, correct. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I think it's going away from your subdivision, but it's really preliminary. I haven't seen enough to like It's very preliminary. MR. JASKOT: You're also reducing the amount of ground available with the density of housing is not the absorption rate and not enough ground to absorb up that ground water either. You have going to have a lot more runoff. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Any time there's a subdivision being built, you're reducing the amount
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	The other is what kind of impact are we talking, 17 wells in such a small area, what kind of impact will that have on the well water for our subdivision and the surrounding community? How much of it is pulling out? And it's going to affect our wells going to go dry because you are pulling so much water out, especially for you are gearing for five bedrooms, that's a lot of water. MR. PRASHANTHA: Not all of the houses will be five bedrooms. MR. JASKOT: I mean, you're sizing the you are sizing the septic, so you've got to size the well for that too. If you're not, you have a problem. MR. PRASHANTHA: I mean, I don't know we can size based on bedroom. You can only go the depth.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 and then out of Freeman into the swale. Did I hear that correctly? MS. KARNEY: That's the way it's shown on the plan, correct. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I think it's going away from your subdivision, but it's really preliminary. I haven't seen enough to like It's very preliminary. MR. JASKOT: You're also reducing the amount of ground available with the density of housing is not the absorption rate and not enough ground to absorb up that ground water either. You have going to have a lot more runoff. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Any time there's a subdivision being built, you're reducing the amount of, you know, soil that can absorb rainwater.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	The other is what kind of impact are we talking, 17 wells in such a small area, what kind of impact will that have on the well water for our subdivision and the surrounding community? How much of it is pulling out? And it's going to affect our wells going to go dry because you are pulling so much water out, especially for you are gearing for five bedrooms, that's a lot of water. MR. PRASHANTHA: Not all of the houses will be five bedrooms. MR. JASKOT: I mean, you're sizing the you are sizing the septic, so you've got to size the well for that too. If you're not, you have a problem. MR. PRASHANTHA: I mean, I don't know we can size based on bedroom. You can only go the depth. We are not going to go you know, at one point we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and then out of Freeman into the swale. Did I hear that correctly? MS. KARNEY: That's the way it's shown on the plan, correct. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I think it's going away from your subdivision, but it's really preliminary. I haven't seen enough to like It's very preliminary. MR. JASKOT: You're also reducing the amount of ground available with the density of housing is not the absorption rate and not enough ground to absorb up that ground water either. You have going to have a lot more runoff. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Any time there's a subdivision being built, you're reducing the amount
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	The other is what kind of impact are we talking, 17 wells in such a small area, what kind of impact will that have on the well water for our subdivision and the surrounding community? How much of it is pulling out? And it's going to affect our wells going to go dry because you are pulling so much water out, especially for you are gearing for five bedrooms, that's a lot of water. MR. PRASHANTHA: Not all of the houses will be five bedrooms. MR. JASKOT: I mean, you're sizing the you are sizing the septic, so you've got to size the well for that too. If you're not, you have a problem. MR. PRASHANTHA: I mean, I don't know we can size based on bedroom. You can only go the depth. We are not going to go you know, at one point we did look at having one big commercial thousand feet	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and then out of Freeman into the swale. Did I hear that correctly? MS. KARNEY: That's the way it's shown on the plan, correct. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I think it's going away from your subdivision, but it's really preliminary. I haven't seen enough to like It's very preliminary. MR. JASKOT: You're also reducing the amount of ground available with the density of housing is not the absorption rate and not enough ground to absorb up that ground water either. You have going to have a lot more runoff. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Any time there's a subdivision being built, you're reducing the amount of, you know, soil that can absorb rainwater. Absolutely. Absolutely. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Is there anybody else
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	The other is what kind of impact are we talking, 17 wells in such a small area, what kind of impact will that have on the well water for our subdivision and the surrounding community? How much of it is pulling out? And it's going to affect our wells going to go dry because you are pulling so much water out, especially for you are gearing for five bedrooms, that's a lot of water. MR. PRASHANTHA: Not all of the houses will be five bedrooms. MR. JASKOT: I mean, you're sizing the you are sizing the septic, so you've got to size the well for that too. If you're not, you have a problem. MR. PRASHANTHA: I mean, I don't know we can size based on bedroom. You can only go the depth. We are not going to go you know, at one point we did look at having one big commercial thousand feet well. What we think is from the demand that we see	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and then out of Freeman into the swale. Did I hear that correctly? MS. KARNEY: That's the way it's shown on the plan, correct. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I think it's going away from your subdivision, but it's really preliminary. I haven't seen enough to like It's very preliminary. MR. JASKOT: You're also reducing the amount of ground available with the density of housing is not the absorption rate and not enough ground to absorb up that ground water either. You have going to have a lot more runoff. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Any time there's a subdivision being built, you're reducing the amount of, you know, soil that can absorb rainwater. Absolutely. Absolutely. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Is there anybody else that would like to have There's going to be a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	The other is what kind of impact are we talking, 17 wells in such a small area, what kind of impact will that have on the well water for our subdivision and the surrounding community? How much of it is pulling out? And it's going to affect our wells going to go dry because you are pulling so much water out, especially for you are gearing for five bedrooms, that's a lot of water. MR. PRASHANTHA: Not all of the houses will be five bedrooms. MR. JASKOT: I mean, you're sizing the you are sizing the septic, so you've got to size the well for that too. If you're not, you have a problem. MR. PRASHANTHA: I mean, I don't know we can size based on bedroom. You can only go the depth. We are not going to go you know, at one point we did look at having one big commercial thousand feet well. What we think is from the demand that we see in other places that he were building, it's going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and then out of Freeman into the swale. Did I hear that correctly? MS. KARNEY: That's the way it's shown on the plan, correct. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I think it's going away from your subdivision, but it's really preliminary. I haven't seen enough to like It's very preliminary. MR. JASKOT: You're also reducing the amount of ground available with the density of housing is not the absorption rate and not enough ground to absorb up that ground water either. You have going to have a lot more runoff. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Any time there's a subdivision being built, you're reducing the amount of, you know, soil that can absorb rainwater. Absolutely. Absolutely. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Is there anybody else that would like to have There's going to be a hearing on this, a preliminary hearing on this, and I
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	The other is what kind of impact are we talking, 17 wells in such a small area, what kind of impact will that have on the well water for our subdivision and the surrounding community? How much of it is pulling out? And it's going to affect our wells going to go dry because you are pulling so much water out, especially for you are gearing for five bedrooms, that's a lot of water. MR. PRASHANTHA: Not all of the houses will be five bedrooms. MR. JASKOT: I mean, you're sizing the you are sizing the septic, so you've got to size the well for that too. If you're not, you have a problem. MR. PRASHANTHA: I mean, I don't know we can size based on bedroom. You can only go the depth. We are not going to go you know, at one point we did look at having one big commercial thousand feet well. What we think is from the demand that we see in other places that he were building, it's going to be mainly empty nesters, not going to be like big	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and then out of Freeman into the swale. Did I hear that correctly? MS. KARNEY: That's the way it's shown on the plan, correct. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I think it's going away from your subdivision, but it's really preliminary. I haven't seen enough to like It's very preliminary. MR. JASKOT: You're also reducing the amount of ground available with the density of housing is not the absorption rate and not enough ground to absorb up that ground water either. You have going to have a lot more runoff. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Any time there's a subdivision being built, you're reducing the amount of, you know, soil that can absorb rainwater. Absolutely. Absolutely. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Is there anybody else that would like to have There's going to be a hearing on this, a preliminary hearing on this, and I don't know when it's scheduled for. There's a lot of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	The other is what kind of impact are we talking, 17 wells in such a small area, what kind of impact will that have on the well water for our subdivision and the surrounding community? How much of it is pulling out? And it's going to affect our wells going to go dry because you are pulling so much water out, especially for you are gearing for five bedrooms, that's a lot of water. MR. PRASHANTHA: Not all of the houses will be five bedrooms. MR. JASKOT: I mean, you're sizing the you are sizing the septic, so you've got to size the well for that too. If you're not, you have a problem. MR. PRASHANTHA: I mean, I don't know we can size based on bedroom. You can only go the depth. We are not going to go you know, at one point we did look at having one big commercial thousand feet well. What we think is from the demand that we see in other places that he were building, it's going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and then out of Freeman into the swale. Did I hear that correctly? MS. KARNEY: That's the way it's shown on the plan, correct. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I think it's going away from your subdivision, but it's really preliminary. I haven't seen enough to like It's very preliminary. MR. JASKOT: You're also reducing the amount of ground available with the density of housing is not the absorption rate and not enough ground to absorb up that ground water either. You have going to have a lot more runoff. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Any time there's a subdivision being built, you're reducing the amount of, you know, soil that can absorb rainwater. Absolutely. Absolutely. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Is there anybody else that would like to have There's going to be a hearing on this, a preliminary hearing on this, and I

15 (Pages 54 to 57)

	Page 58
1	testify and get sworn in and all of that at that
2	point. Also, as you hear about the project. But
3	
	right now this is giving them a chance to talk about
4	what's going on, getting some consultants to look at
5	this to start the process.
6	Okay. Thank you very much for
7	coming.
8	MR. PRASHANTHA: Thank you.
9	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: At this point I will ask
10	if there is any old business?
11	(No response.)
12	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Any new business?
	-
13	(No response.)
14	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I will take a motion to
15	adjourn.
16	COMMISSIONER ABRI: I make a motion.
17	COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Second.
18	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: All those in favor?
19	(Chorus of ayes.)
20	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Any opposed?
21	(No response.)
22	· · · ·
	CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Hearing none, we are
23	adjourned.
24	(The public hearing adjourned at 8:15 p.m.)
	Page 59
	_
1	STATE OF ILLINOIS)
)
2	COUNTY OF COOK)
3	
4	I, DEBORAH R. SANTI, Certified Shorthand
5	Reporter, of the State of Illinois, do hereby certify
6	that I reported in shorthand the proceedings had in
7	the aforesaid matter, and that the foregoing is a
8	true, complete and correct transcript of the
9	proceedings had as appears from the stenographic
10	notes so taken to the best of my ability.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	DEBORAH R. SANTI, CSR
	CSR # 084-004107
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21 22	
23	
0.4	
24	

16 (Pages 58 to 59)

	1	1	1	
A	15:2,17,24	alternative	approving 15:13	average 48:17
ability 59:10	18:21 20:14	44:18	approximately	49:9
able 24:14 57:24	added 42:12	alternatives	2:15 11:22	aware 42:6
Abri 2:18 3:7,8	adding 32:6	44:6,9,19	41:3	54:14
4:5,13 5:14 6:6	additional 33:8	amend 5:18 6:1	Arboretum 5:3	Aye 3:8 6:19,21
6:16,18,19	40:15	amount 26:10	5:4,12,14 6:2,4	6:23 7:1 8:23
7:13,20 8:9,11	address 40:1	57:10,16	architect 19:16	9:1,3,24 10:4,6
8:18,22,23	47:19	analysis 43:4	21:12 25:4	11:4,6 17:4,10
9:15,17,23,24	addressed 19:18	44:18 56:20	27:17 29:15	18:5,11
10:21,23,24	32:20 35:22	answer 7:22	34:3	ayes 58:19
16:5,23 17:3,4	41:14 44:15	42:3	architects 24:19	
18:4,5 22:22	adequate 36:19	Anthony 2:18	24:21 27:18	<u> </u>
23:10 24:1,6	adjacent 11:24	anybody 5:21	architectural	back 12:21
25:19,24 27:2	33:11,14 34:12	8:8 15:19 16:4	35:17 53:24	25:11 33:4
27:5,9 46:22	37:3 38:5 44:3	16:13 31:19	architecture	34:5 36:10,12
58:16	45:7	47:9 57:19	38:17	37:1,1,12
absent 3:9	adjourn 58:15	apologize 8:1	area 4:21 16:3	back-up 36:7
Absolutely	adjourned 58:23	33:3	34:15 35:15	ball 12:15,16
57:18,18	58:24	Appeals 1:1 2:1	42:19 43:23	Bare 53:14
absorb 57:13,17	Administrator	3:5 7:10	55:4	Barrington 1:2
absorption	2:23 3:7,9,12	appeared 33:22	areas 38:21 40:9	1:10 2:2,5,12
57:12	3:14,16,18 6:9	appears 59:9	43:16,16 46:4	2:16,16 10:16
abstain 7:5 9:5	6:18,20,22,24	applicant 14:4	46:14	10:17 27:21
access 21:21	7:2,4,18 8:6,22	39:6,9 41:16	Arguments	35:12,14 36:22
23:22 29:13	8:24 9:2,4,6,8	44:5 45:3 46:2	44:12	41:18 43:7,15
34:15,21 35:2	9:10,23 10:1,3	48:10	Army 46:2	45:18
35:2,2,3 37:4	10:5,7,9,23	approach 16:12	Ashley 7:14	base 56:15
37:15,23 47:16	11:1,3,5,7,9	approached	asked 4:14 7:14	based 15:8 34:2
accessory 35:15	14:13 17:3,5,7	36:18 45:12	14:4	34:9 39:23
accommodate	17:9,11,13	appropriate	asking 21:7 23:2	44:13 50:20
23:8	18:4,6,8,10,12	17:16	assist 32:13	55:17 56:7
accounted 37:21	18:14 20:13,22	approval 4:16	Associates 43:19	basement 22:13
accurately 52:4	adopted 43:14	4:18,22 5:2,20	46:1	22:14 23:7
acre 28:16 44:1	aesthetics 36:2	5:22 7:9 11:21	assume 30:4	29:3
48:13,19 49:1	affect 55:1,7	12:5 14:24	attending 3:2	basic 25:5
acreage 28:2	aforesaid 59:7	15:1,9,10	attention 38:3	basically 44:18
48:12,14	agenda 10:13	17:20,24 19:12	Attorney 2:22	basin 41:19
acres 11:22	agent 5:4,5	19:20	audience 32:24	42:20 43:10
27:24 43:23	ago 34:10	approve 4:2	August 9:13	44:22
44:2 48:15	agree 16:5 48:21	6:14 8:16,18	authorities	basins 41:17
activity 4:17	agreed 14:6 15:5	9:16,18 14:16	40:21	44:11
actual 52:23	alike 23:12 24:3	17:22,23	auto 36:14	basis 31:11
53:2,23	allow 35:15	approved 9:11	Autumn 14:11	bath 30:5
AD 23:22	37:19,20 43:15	13:23 14:1	available 7:15	bathrooms
add 6:7 12:2	54:18	15:22 43:13	7:22 57:11	21:11 32:7
				I

Page 61

		1		•
bedroom 21:11	build 20:20,21	9:9,12,16,19	clarification	commercial
23:21 30:5	21:6,12 22:13	9:21 10:10,20	42:23	55:19
32:7 55:17	23:4 25:22	10:22 11:10,17	clarify 5:19	Commission
bedrooms 30:23	26:4,10 27:11	12:8,13,19	clear 42:4	2:12,17 33:1
31:1 55:10,12	49:10 56:3,5	13:1,4 15:12	cleared 4:20	40:2
beginning 54:1	building 12:9	15:19 16:6,11	climate 26:15	Commission/
believe 14:6,21	13:17 38:7	16:18,24 17:2	close 15:13	1:1 2:1 3:4 7:9
31:6 36:11	49:22 55:21	17:14 18:1,3	16:19,22 27:23	Commissioner
51:17 52:14	built 37:23	18:15,20 19:1	54:17	2:18,19,19,20
beneficial 32:24	57:16	19:3,6,23	closed 47:15	2:20 3:8,11,13
39:6	business 3:21	20:13,17 22:2	closely 12:4	3:15 4:3,5,11
berm 41:24 42:7	58:10,12	22:21 24:18,21	closer 22:11	4:13 5:14 6:6
best 19:21 23:18	butt 35:19	29:19 30:1,19	47:15	6:15,16,19,21
28:10 29:14	buyer 24:22	31:19 32:2,8	clusters 39:10	6:23 7:1,5,13
46:20 59:10	buyers 48:10	33:2 35:6	39:11,16	7:16,20 8:9,11
better 41:6		46:21,23 47:6	code 43:14 44:4	8:13,18,20,23
53:21	<u> </u>	47:17,20 48:6	collect 25:3	9:1,3,5,7,15,17
big 29:5,7 43:3	calculate 51:2	48:21 54:10,16	combination	9:20,24 10:2,4
47:21 48:11	calculations	54:20 56:14,23	12:15	10:6,8,19,21
49:12 55:19,22	43:2 51:17	57:6,15,19	combined 43:16	10:24 11:2,4,6
bigger 28:6,14	56:4	58:9,12,14,18	come 11:12 13:6	11:8 16:5,7,23
28:22 56:8	California 26:14	58:20,22	15:24 16:2	17:1,4,6,8,10
billboard 18:22	call 3:6 6:17	chance 3:23 7:11	19:9 22:5 25:2	17:12,23 18:2
billboards 18:23	8:21 9:22	58:3	25:5 27:20	18:5,7,9,11,13
bio 19:15	10:22 14:12	change 25:11	34:5 35:4	22:22 23:10
BioBarrier 30:7	17:2 18:3	changes 6:10,14	39:14	24:1,6 25:19
30:15 31:1	calling 21:4	8:16 38:12	comes 14:9	25:24 27:2,5,9
bit 12:17 20:18	car 36:10,11,15	40:16	22:12 25:21	27:10,14,19
51:18	39:13,13 52:19	changing 15:4	27:7	30:12 31:21
blend 26:20	case 19:16	characteristics	coming 10:11	32:3 46:22
board 1:1 2:1	central 29:20	40:20	18:22,24 22:18	48:18,23 58:16
3:4 7:9 19:22	certain 22:22	checkboxes	31:12 33:18	58:17
35:24	23:8 28:14	32:18	42:24 52:13	Commissioners
Bob 2:23 6:8 8:4	37:21 42:1	checklist 40:17	58:7	11:18
bond 14:3	Certified 59:4	chief 48:1	commencing	community 37:9
bottom 41:17,19	certify 59:5	choices 22:19	2:15	37:19,19 55:6
43:8	cetera 38:18	choose 21:8,9,11	comment 14:15	complete 20:3
boundaries	39:7 40:3 41:8	22:14,23 23:2	34:10,19 35:1	20:16 27:6
43:19	42:15	23:16 24:7,11	37:12,18 48:8	59:8
breaks 29:23	Chairman 2:18	24:12,16 26:7	51:20 54:16	completely 21:3
brick 22:20 24:2	3:1,17,20 4:4,7	26:23 27:17	comments 16:14	23:15,17
24:11,12,13,14	4:12 5:9,18 6:7	28:21 49:14	17:18 24:22	completion 16:3
bricks 24:7,7	6:10,13,17 7:3	Chorus 58:19	32:9 33:3 35:4	complexity
bring 16:14 38:3	7:7,24 8:7,10	Chris 48:8	39:19,23 41:11	40:16
bringing 30:7	8:12,14,19,21	Chris's 50:21	47:10,12	concept 40:12
		I	I	

Paszkiewicz Court Reporting (847) 598-0322 / Toll-Free (855) 595-3577

				2
40:13	controlled 34:16	22:23 26:17	17:19 20:3	district 5:6
concern 36:7	controlling 39:3	28:20 29:1	21:9,12 22:18	13:22,23 36:18
56:13	Cook 13:22	customers 22:18	26:14 29:14	36:24 45:8
concerned 23:11	34:13,16,18	23:21,22 25:10	30:24 33:8	ditch 31:9
concerns 32:20	59:2	49:13	designed 30:23	ditches 41:8
32:22 35:13	coordination	cut 4:21	31:1 51:7	document 39:4,5
37:14 40:2,2	32:17	Cut 4 .21	designs 26:16	39:21
54:24	copy 7:14 13:11	D	28:9	documents 20:5
conditions 33:9	corner 19:13	data 35:8 50:3	desire 21:10	20:6,7 32:16
40:22	30:8	Dave 16:6	detailed 43:4	32:19 33:6
Confirmation	Corps 46:3,5	David 2:19	detention 31:7,8	39:22 44:17
13:23	correct 12:20	DEBORAH	42:9 57:1	doing 4:17 24:24
conflicting	15:14 54:3	59:4,15	determine 42:18	25:17 45:10
42:14	57:5 59:8	December 46:15		dollar 28:5
		decide 14:23	developer 33:9 34:23	
conformance 36:21 41:23	corrected 5:8,12	22:17		Donohue 34:20
	correctly 53:21	decided 28:2	development	door 28:1 52:11
42:3	57:3	decks 35:16	1:15 2:7 12:1	52:24
conjunction	costs 28:10	decrease 43:5	14:22 19:7	drain 4:23
34:4	country 12:23	defer 37:5	20:12 30:3	drainage 14:2
connect 14:10	county 13:22	definitely 31:10	41:13	41:7,8 43:4
30:14	31:17 34:13,16	56:17	developments	56:21
Conservation	34:18 42:8		36:2	drawings 24:24
13:22	59:2	demand 28:6	difference 41:4	drawn 36:11
consideration	couple 15:7	55:20	different 12:14	drill 29:22
36:4 37:9,22	37:12	dense 43:22	21:2 22:4	drive 37:4 39:13
39:14 45:2	course 13:14	density 28:14	27:17 53:10	39:18 54:22
considered 37:3	court 12:10,11	38:1 41:13	54:4	driveway 36:9
consistent 35:11	12:17 47:1	44:10 57:11	dig 14:17	51:13 52:14,18
construction	48:9	department	dimension 50:5	52:19 53:3,10
14:5	covenant 56:12	29:13 34:14,17	50:8	53:11,18,19
consultant 15:15	covenants 20:8	34:18 37:5	dimensions 38:5	54:8,14,17,17
34:24 37:16	craze 12:23	45:17	50:14,18 51:3	driveways 36:5
consultants 12:3	crazy 26:14	depending 40:19	51:16 52:3	40:7,8 51:21
56:17 58:4	Cricket 4:14	42:22 52:10	direction 33:4	54:18
contained 39:5	cross 14:18	depends 37:2	directly 11:23	dry 55:8
contemplates	CSR 59:15,15	49:20	discharge 31:6,8	dual 34:14
46:8	curious 4:20	depicted 52:4	45:12	DXA 39:24
contingent	currently 12:1	54:8	discuss 11:12	
14:24 15:9	36:24	depicting 53:7	13:5	E
17:18,24	curve 37:1,1	53:20	discussed 15:15	e-mail 7:20
contours 40:17	custom 19:14	depiction 54:3	47:5	easier 27:18
40:17,23	21:3 22:3	depth 50:15 52:2	discussion 4:14	East 32:11
control 27:15	23:15,17 25:4	52:5,9,10	56:15	easy 40:6
41:17 43:12	26:9 38:14,16	55:17	distance 36:8	edge 36:8
44:23	customer 22:12	design 15:3	distributed 7:19	effluent 45:16
	l	l	l	l

	I	I	1	
egress 5:15	41:15,22 43:2	experience 26:6	43:1,3	fountain 29:17
eight 18:19 22:9	43:3	28:5 35:14	finalize 25:12	four 12:2 29:9
28:22	Engineers 46:3	36:2	financial 28:16	54:4
either 57:13	46:5	experiment	find 33:18	Fowler 14:15
elevation 14:19	entails 35:1	26:11	finish 29:4	Fox 2:19 3:9 7:4
15:4 25:6 38:6	entertain 26:1	expert 45:24	fire 29:12 36:17	7:5,16 8:13 9:4
38:11 41:3	entirely 36:12	explained 4:19	36:19,22,23	9:5 10:1,2 11:1
42:18 51:23	53:10	extends 14:10	37:4,5 48:1	11:2 17:5,6
elevations 25:9	entrance 5:16	extension 14:8	first 3:21 4:8 5:8	18:6,7 27:19
29:8 40:15	29:11 47:14	15:23	10:13 13:2,12	48:18,23
elevator 23:6	entry 36:24 37:6	eye 52:4	23:21 25:2	frame 21:14
Elias 11:16,18	Erin 2:22	eyeballing 52:12	31:7 37:15	framing 23:11
11:19,20 12:11	especially 27:21		fit 23:20 26:15	free 19:15 21:9
12:14,21 13:3	55:9	F	26:21 48:13	28:8,22 30:9
13:13 18:19,21	essentially 42:4	face 37:2,2	52:7 54:6	56:11
19:2 49:15,17	estates 21:4,21	facilities 43:9	five 29:15 30:5	Freeman 1:16
49:19,24 50:5	21:22 34:10,12	facility 45:4	30:23 31:1	2:8 19:8,14
50:8,14,20	34:16,20,21,24	fact 52:18	55:9,12	21:22 29:11
51:1,11,16	37:12 39:24	far 15:4 23:11	flat 26:17	31:10 34:11,14
52:2,8,17 53:3	40:3 42:6	favor 9:22 58:18	flooding 56:22	34:17,22 35:3
53:6,9,15,17	45:15,19 47:13	feasible 28:15	floodplains	42:5 44:3,15
54:2,7	47:21	46:7,13	13:20	57:1,2
eliminate 44:9	Estates's 42:5	feature 24:17	floor 23:21,24	Friday 32:17
emergency 35:3	et 38:18 39:7	feel 21:15 23:8	25:7,8	front 20:7 35:9
empty 28:7	40:3 41:8	24:17 39:23	floors 26:22	41:11 49:19,24
55:22 56:9	42:15	feels 22:16	follow 42:7	50:1,7 51:7,22
Enclave 10:17	evaluating 37:10	feet 21:3 22:9	foot 41:3,3 43:6	52:11 53:4,11
11:23,24 14:9	everybody 3:2	28:19 35:11	44:23 51:4,11	53:19
16:9 48:9	3:23 7:11 8:2	37:1,2 38:4	52:6,18 54:6	full 35:1
Encore 14:12,13	8:12 9:14	43:9,9,11,15	footprint 38:17	further 18:23
encroach 54:19	10:12 20:4	43:16 49:6,11	forced 26:24	future 37:22
ends 33:17	23:4	49:16,21,22	36:12	39:7 40:9
endurance 34:3	exact 50:18	50:19,23 51:9	foregoing 59:7	
enforcement	exactly 23:2	51:10,13 52:10	Forest 21:4,5	G
43:13	27:16 51:2	52:11,11,13,20	45:8,9,10,11	gallon 31:23
engage 43:18	example 26:16	53:12,15,17	45:13	garage 36:8,10
engineer 2:23	41:2 44:10	54:5 55:19	forget 14:11	36:13,16 49:5
13:10 17:18,24	46:8,14 49:8	56:3,6	form 20:12	50:6,22 51:12
19:16 29:12	excited 21:23	Field 4:14	38:14	52:5,10,13,15
37:13,17 56:16	executive 4:15	figure 24:14	formal 44:16	53:4
engineering	exempt 43:24	25:15	former 14:11	gated 37:9,18,19
12:5,6 15:1,10	existing 13:15	fill 4:21 22:7	forms 14:3	37:23
17:19,19 32:11	18:17 33:9	final 11:21 14:16	forth 16:14	gauge 40:24
34:4 39:2,22	34:6 45:22	15:10 17:19,19	forward 12:24	gearing 55:9
40:5 41:10,12	exit 52:15	25:12 41:10,12	19:2,4,9	general 35:8

Geotech 42:16	11:14 12:23	Haeger 32:11	25:5 28:1,5,6	impossible 34:1
42:17	14:17 15:16,24	happen 46:16	28:22,23,23	35:20
Geotechnical	16:1 19:14	happens 56:1	29:5 36:6	in/right 21:21
14:4	20:1,10 21:1	hard 25:15 28:4	38:14,16 39:11	include 38:24
getting 4:21 8:1	21:15,24 22:5	Health 45:17	55:23 56:8	included 5:17
48:2 56:9 58:4	23:15,23 24:6	hear 56:19 57:2	homework 5:16	14:7
gigantic 55:23	24:10,15 25:6	58:2	hour 2:15	includes 33:11
Gillis 2:19 3:10	25:24 26:14	heard 12:12	hours 34:9 37:12	35:9 41:22
3:11 4:3 6:15	27:6,7,10,17	13:1	house 24:12,15	including 13:21
6:20,21 8:24	28:23 29:16,21	hearing 3:3	24:17 25:22,23	35:16 54:14
9:1,20 10:3,4	30:2,7,14 31:3	10:14,18 16:15	26:4 27:3,11	incorporated
10:19 11:3,4	31:6 33:4,18	16:19 20:2	30:23 31:23	14:1
16:7 17:7,8	34:23 35:23,24	32:21 35:23	49:2 50:21	incorrectly
18:8,9 27:10	38:13,18 41:1	36:1 44:16	51:23	48:20
27:14 30:12	41:4,5,6,7,21	46:12,19 47:11	housekeeping	increase 32:6
31:21 32:3	43:18 46:15,19	57:21,21 58:22	39:7	39:15 43:5
58:17	46:24 47:1	58:24	houses 19:19	increased 36:9
give 11:14 14:23	48:1,3 49:12	HEARING/M	29:23 31:24	increasing 39:15
20:19 22:14,15	50:22 51:14	1:7 2:3	35:19 36:6	indicated 5:1,7
23:15 39:14	52:4 55:7,8,18	Held 2:15	37:3 48:11	indicators 38:24
46:24 51:8,17	55:21,22 56:2	help 40:24 41:9	55:11	individual 27:3
53:21	56:15 57:1,6	Hey 43:19 46:1	housing 57:11	infiltrate 41:20
given 5:23 6:5	57:13,20,23	48:2	hundred 22:2	infiltration 43:8
54:13	58:4	Higgins 1:10 2:5		infinity 48:24
gives 28:20	gong 53:1	10:16	I	information
giving 23:19	good 16:3 17:15	high 38:5,8 43:6	idea 53:21	19:10 20:4
25:16 49:21	23:16 31:15	highly 40:22	IDOT 13:23	34:9 39:5
58:3	47:6	Highway 34:13	14:1 42:8	40:19 45:5
glad 16:1	grade 45:3,4	34:17,18	IEPA 31:16	46:23 47:7
glass 12:22,22	grading 34:2	HOA 30:11	45:18	infringement
Glen 31:22	38:10,12 40:11	Hoffman 21:21	Illinois 1:10 2:5	13:19
go 13:7,16 14:19	40:12,14,16	21:22 34:10,11	2:16 10:16	inside 26:7
20:1,10,12	41:12	34:16,20,21,24	32:12 42:7	inspect 31:5
22:17 24:11,12	grating 46:8	37:12 39:24	59:1,5	interested 12:9
25:11 28:18	great 16:10	40:2 42:5,6	illustrate 52:8	23:10
30:13 31:17	ground 41:21	45:15,19 47:13	illustrated 35:17	Internally 22:16
32:22 33:4	42:18 57:11,12	47:21	35:18 39:2	investing 26:3
39:20 41:7	57:13	home 12:10 21:7	illustration 52:2	26:10
49:5,11 52:23	guarantee 55:24	22:13 23:4	53:20 54:8	island 29:17
53:1 55:8,17	guess 5:20 25:19	28:15 29:2,7	impact 21:20	isolated 45:21
55:18 57:1,23	54:24	30:5 31:2,22	37:24 41:12	issue 41:12 47:3
goes 25:3,13	guidance 33:8	49:12 56:3,6	42:9,19 55:3,5	54:17
27:15 44:7	guys 28:2	homes 18:17	impacted 43:24	item 3:21 10:13
50:1	Н	19:14 22:3,4,6	44:7,8	19:6 40:19
going 7:8,16,17		22:9 23:18	impacting 45:10	44:21
	I	I	I	I

r				2
items 32:16	26:2 28:6 29:9	largest 27:23	29:12 30:20,21	30:11
33:12 35:15,17	29:15 30:4,17	late 15:16	31:10 33:23	maintenance
47:4	45:6 47:14	law 42:7	45:24	19:15 28:7,21
	49:2,3,8 53:24	layout 40:14	looking 12:24	30:9 31:4
J	55:1,3,4	leasing 5:4,5	19:4 24:1,13	40:10
Jaskot 54:22,22	kinds 25:16	Leaves 14:11	25:20,20 26:1	maintenance-
55:13,24 56:5	knock 26:15	let's 4:8 5:18 8:2	27:5,22 48:20	56:10
56:12,21 57:10	know 5:12 7:24	13:4 20:18	49:2	majority 22:8
Jason 14:15	12:2,4 14:18	21:6 22:12,17	looks 22:16	making 37:9
Jim 34:20	15:21 16:7	23:6 28:23	29:10 52:12	39:19
job 40:16 46:21	20:4,20 21:10	30:5 48:16	loops 29:11	management
46:22	21:16,23 22:14	52:23	lot 5:16 13:17	32:15 33:16
Joe 11:18 13:13	23:22,24 24:9	lights 52:11	19:13 20:6,9	38:21 42:20
July 7:10 8:8,17	25:14 26:9,10	line 13:14 26:12	21:8,14 22:15	43:10,21 44:22
10:12	26:21,21,22	28:11 31:9	26:3 27:1	maneuver 52:19
jump 54:13	27:22,22,23	38:5,6,7,8,9	28:13,15,16	maneuvering
June 4:1,6	28:11,12,17,17	41:2	29:6,6 32:1	36:8,14
jurisdiction	28:19 30:6	list 21:10 23:5	38:7,12,14	manner 15:6
34:11,13 42:6	31:22 32:6	25:3,3	39:12 47:8	33:24,24 35:16
46:5 47:22	33:17 35:4	listed 35:10	48:14,16 49:8	maps 13:18
jurisdictional	36:17 37:15	little 12:14,16	49:10 50:5,8,9	44:14
34:14	38:15,16 42:3	18:23 20:18	50:10,10,12,14	market 29:5
	42:17 43:18	22:10 51:18	50:15,17 51:3	materials 20:14
<u> </u>	45:9 47:21,24	livable 35:21	51:4,6,11,16	math 51:1
K-a-r-n-e-y 13:9	48:1,7 50:1	live 21:24 54:23	52:6 54:6,13	matter 16:20
Karney 2:23	51:4 55:16,18	lived 31:22	55:10 57:14,22	59:7
13:8,9,9 14:14	56:10 57:17,22	living 19:4	lots 12:1,2 18:17	maximum 50:11
15:14 31:16	known 38:19	located 1:16 2:8	18:17 22:7,11	50:13,19
53:14,16 57:4	41:11	10:15 19:8	22:16 27:11,13	Mayor 4:19
Kazi 2:20 3:12	knows 23:4	33:15 44:3	27:21,23,24	McCombie 2:18
3:13 6:22,23		location 34:15	28:3,13 31:23	3:1,16,17,20
8:20 9:2,3 10:5	L	locations 39:9	38:1,4,20,24	4:4,7,12 5:9,18
10:6 11:5,6	labeled 36:20	logical 15:23	39:1,1 48:15	6:7,10,13,17
17:9,10,23	lacking 33:13	16:11	48:19,22 49:1	7:2,3,7,24 8:7
18:10,11	laid 21:1	long 39:12 41:9	49:7.8	8:10,12,14,19
KEARNY 30:21	land 5:20,21	look 3:23 19:2	Louise 2:19	8:21 9:8,9,12
keep 32:6	11:21 15:24	20:10 21:15	lowered 42:22	9:16,19,21
keeping 28:11	16:8,12,16,16	23:8 24:15,16		10:9,10,20,22
28:19	17:17 19:21	25:6,7 29:16	M	11:9,10,17
kept 30:7	landscape 21:16	38:15,17 39:1	mail 39:12,13,17	12:8,13,19
key 39:21	34:3	41:1,5 45:6	39:18	13:1,4 15:12
Kiernat 2:22	landscaping	47:16 48:2	mailbox 39:9,11	15:19 16:6,11
kind 4:20 5:7	40:9 46:15	52:21,22 53:9	39:16	16:18,24 17:2
20:24 22:19	lane 37:4	55:19 58:4	main 14:15,18	17:13,14 18:1
23:1 24:2 25:1	larger 28:1	looked 13:13,18	maintained	18:3,15,20
	I	Í	l	

				2
19:1,3,6,23	49:21,22 50:2	17:1,11,12	59:10	28:21
20:17 22:2,21	50:4,10,12,15	18:2,12,13,14	nowadays 28:7	order 33:15 36:9
24:18,21 29:19	50:19 51:6,8	MWRD 41:23	number 5:24	ordinance 14:23
30:1,19 31:19	53:5,14 54:5	43:6,14 44:22	22:23 32:7	43:12
32:2,8 33:2	minor 15:2,7	45:8	37:24 39:15	organizations
35:6 46:21,23	minute 9:13	MWRD's 44:4	43:22	13:21
47:6,17,20	minutes 3:22,24			originally 5:10
48:6,21 54:10	4:6 5:19 6:8,11	Ν	0	6:2 15:22,22
54:20 56:14,23	7:8,10 8:8,10	nailed 40:1	object 43:9	ought 5:17
57:6,15,19	8:16 9:10	name 11:18	observation	outdoor 35:21
58:9,12,14,18	10:12	19:10,11	14:17	36:3
58:20,22	misleading 54:9	Narayan 2:20	October 46:9,13	outflow 31:5,12
mean 22:8,24	misunderstand	Natalie 2:23	offer 22:22 24:6	outside 24:2
28:24 47:13,20	48:17	13:9 30:19	officer 43:13	26:8
47:23 49:4,7	model 23:16	32:17 53:12	offset 43:6	overflow 41:8
55:13,16	models 21:2	nature 33:7	offsite 42:24	
meaning 38:11	22:23 29:9	42:16	Oh 19:1	Р
means 35:1	modification	necessary 37:23	okay 3:1 4:7 5:9	p.m 1:24 2:11,15
meant 41:20	44:6	need 10:11	5:18 6:7,10,13	58:24
measurements	modify 23:3	13:21 19:17	6:17 7:7 8:21	package 35:9
15:17	25:9 40:21	23:4 31:4,16	9:12,21 10:13	padel 12:9
median 37:7	45:2	33:17 40:7	11:11 12:8	page 19:24
meeting 3:4 4:1	modifying 44:19	42:18 47:22,24	13:1,4 15:12	Palmer 2:23 3:7
5:6 6:4,11 7:10	Mohawk 54:22	52:19	15:19 16:13,18	3:9,12,14,16
7:19 8:3,3,8	Monday 1:23	needed 5:17	16:21 19:1,5,9	3:18 6:9,18,20
35:5	2:10,15 3:5	40:15	20:17 22:21	6:22,24 7:2,4
meetings 9:13	money 4:22 26:4	needs 35:22 36:9	24:23 31:19	7:18 8:6,22,24
25:2	40:3	37:21 43:4	33:2 35:6 47:6	9:2,4,6,8,10,23
meets 14:21	months 12:7	44:5,15,17	52:24 58:6	10:1,3,5,7,9,23
45:17	motion 4:2,3	45:1	old 58:10	11:1,3,5,7,9
members 32:24	8:16 9:16,17	nesters 28:7	omissions 5:7	14:13 17:3,5,7
memo 47:4	10:18,19 16:21	55:22 56:9	once 24:11,15	17:9,11,13
mentioned 4:24	16:23 17:22	new 31:14 58:12	25:8	18:4,6,8,10,12
45:3	58:14,16	nice 16:2 46:21	ones 6:4	18:14 20:13,22
merely 54:7	move 8:18 17:23	46:22	onsite 14:5	parameters
method 43:1	movement 36:12	nine 22:10 28:22	42:24 43:22	13:16
44:24	multiply 31:24	nomographic	45:21	park 5:5
Michael 2:18	Mundhank 1:16	43:1	open 10:18	parking 5:2,15
middle 45:22	2:8 19:8,13	north 13:22 14:8	28:20	Parkway 32:11
million 28:5	34:12,18 35:2	34:12	opinion 45:21	part 13:12 16:8
mind 17:21	35:3 42:1,2	northeast 30:8	46:4	24:19 35:23
Mine 54:21	Murarka 2:20	nosey 18:20	opposed 58:20	51:7
minimize 39:16	3:14,15 4:11	notation 45:22	option 25:11	particular 27:1
40:9	6:24 7:1 9:6,7	noted 37:1	26:23 29:3	40:20 50:10
minimum 49:10	10:7,8 11:7,8	notes 5:1 33:5	options 23:24	party 30:18
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
the second se				

				5
nath 20.16	27.24 28.22 24	25:23 26:3	28:12 37:19	45.17 46.12 10
path 39:16	37:24 38:22,24			45:17 46:12,19
paths 42:14	39:4,20,21,23	27:4,12,16	probably 22:9	47:11 58:24
patios 35:16,20	40:1,2,12,12	28:4 29:21	23:1 29:15	PUD 20:15
Paul 56:18	40:14 42:12,13	30:4,14 31:14	50:21	32:19 39:3
pay 56:18	45:3 47:15	32:5 37:10	problem 55:15	40:18,19,21
people 11:12	51:5,6 52:1,23	46:10,17 47:13	problems 56:1	pull 32:23 36:10
19:3 28:6 29:4	53:2,22,24	47:18 48:5,15	proceedings	pulling 36:15
36:3 41:18	54:3 57:5	49:3,16,18,20	59:6,9	55:7,8
47:8 56:9	Planning 3:4	50:1,4,6,9,16	process 32:21	pumps 29:22,24
percent 22:3	plans 12:6 14:1	50:24 51:5,14	35:23 36:1	purposes 39:7
perimeter 38:20	14:8,14,16	51:24 52:6,16	42:17 46:12	pushed 46:14
44:3	15:1,5,10,16	52:21 53:5,8	58:5	put 20:24 21:14
permanent	23:1 29:15	53:23 54:4	project 14:9	22:1 49:1 51:6
25:13	34:5 35:17	55:11,16 56:2	20:19 41:9	51:7,24
permit 14:3 27:3	39:3,10 41:13	56:7 58:8	58:2	putting 30:16
27:8 31:17	41:22 42:2	preferred 53:16	properly 31:13	
46:10 47:3	45:9	preliminary	property 1:9 2:4	Q
permits 27:6	play 12:22	40:18,24 43:3	10:15 11:23	quad 44:2
person 14:5 31:4	played 12:12	54:11 57:7,9	18:24 38:6,8	quality 44:12
perspective	please 4:12	57:21	41:2 44:4	quarter 48:12
33:10 38:11	45:15	PRESENT 2:17	54:24	48:19 49:1
39:3 41:16	plus 52:11	2:22	proposal 53:7	question 4:5,9
42:10 43:21	point 14:2 15:13	presentation		4:15 5:23 7:23
	-	-	proposed 1:9,15	18:16 31:3
Peter 56:18	16:19 23:5	1:13 2:6 11:15	2:4,7 10:14	36:23 37:7
Petitioner 37:7	25:7 36:1	19:7,24 20:1	14:19 19:7	42:4 52:16
Pflare 48:8,8,16	42:23 50:21	20:11	24:22 34:2	
48:24	51:19,20 52:9	presented 35:8	36:6 40:17	questioned 4:17
Phase 10:17	52:17 54:12	presenting	44:24 45:6	questions 13:6
14:9	55:18 58:2,9	25:10	46:15 47:8	15:20 16:4
picked 23:2	points 35:3	preservation	54:1	19:17 31:20
picking 27:2	52:22	33:21,22,23	proposing 19:19	57:24
pickle 12:15,16	pond 31:7,8 42:9	34:6	41:16	quick 32:16
picture 43:3	45:22	preserve 44:11	protection 36:17	quorum 3:19,20
pipe 33:15,17	portion 12:21	45:8,9,10,11	36:19,23	quoted 5:3
pitch 26:18	45:4	45:13	prove 36:14	
place 30:15,16	positive 14:23	president 4:15	44:18	<u> </u>
placement 45:2	possible 29:7	pretty 21:9,16	provided 21:18	R 59:4,15
places 55:21	possibly 45:23	24:16 26:7	40:13,23 41:23	racquetball
plan 1:1 2:1,12	potentially	29:18	42:20 45:5	12:16
2:17 7:9 11:21	43:21	price 36:1	providing 37:6	radius 36:20
15:20 17:15,16	practical 44:6	principal 32:10	public 1:7 2:3	rains 41:20
21:1,16 25:7,8	Prashantha	print 20:7	3:3 10:14,18	rainwater 57:17
32:14,23 33:1	19:11,11 20:24	printed 20:6	16:15,19 20:2	raised 42:21
33:21,22 34:8	22:8,24 23:14	prior 46:19	32:20 35:23,24	raising 28:10
35:8,18 36:20	24:4,8,20 25:1	private 19:15,18	37:20 44:16	Ram 19:11
55.0,10 50.20	27.7,0,20 23.1	private 17.13,18	57.20 44.10	
				•

ranch 26:23	removal 33:21	38:15,19	running 33:14	seeing 16:18
29:2 50:21	33:22	review 13:21	56:1	25:15
51:10	removed 6:4	32:14,16 33:16	runoff 57:14	seek 19:20
ranches 49:1	rendering 24:5	34:4 39:9		seeking 19:12
56:10	52:22 53:6,24	42:14 44:13,16	<u> </u>	seen 7:22 30:21
range 48:13	54:3	45:9 47:4	sales 56:7	57:8
49:13	renderings 21:1	reviewed 14:15	sanitary 40:13	sell 23:18 26:12
rate 4:23 57:12	report 13:12	33:21 35:22	42:13 45:1,16	27:11,13 28:5
Ray 54:22	14:2 43:20	45:23	SANTI 59:4,15	send 14:3
read 7:11 8:1	45:20	reviewing 33:5	satisfy 34:24	sending 24:10
20:8	reported 59:6	reviews 15:9	save 34:1 40:3	sense 16:10
ready 4:22	reporter 47:2	revise 40:6	saved 34:1,7	28:16 53:1
realistic 34:5	59:5	46:18	saw 4:16 37:11	sent 7:20 10:12
46:9	reports 31:11	revised 38:7	saying 7:21 42:2	separate 30:3
really 5:21 16:3	45:15	revisions 25:12	says 22:12 40:19	43:15
17:16 22:24	request 11:21	rezoning 1:9 2:4	56:12	separately 3:24
25:19 29:14	requested 21:17	10:14	scale 40:20 52:5	27:7
35:13 40:1	requesting	right 6:6 13:5	scenarios 20:19	September 1:23
51:17 53:18,22	21:20 47:14	15:13 21:5,20	Schaumburg	2:10,15 3:5
54:12 57:7	required 53:13	25:1 29:4 35:5	26:17 32:12	septic 19:15,15
rear 35:9,10	requirement	35:19 46:24	schedule 46:6,19	28:12,12 30:2
38:2,6 41:1	40:18 42:8	48:2,5,16	scheduled 57:22	30:10,13 43:10
49:17	43:8	54:23 56:23	scope 20:3	55:14
reason 47:16	requirements	58:3	scratch 21:13	service 30:8
received 32:16	14:22 29:1	right-of-way	screen 20:23	services 43:18
34:9,19	40:22 43:5	33:11	45:6 51:21	set 23:16
recommendati	45:18,19	road 1:10 2:5,16	se 31:18 50:18	setback 35:10,15
13:24 15:8	requires 42:1	10:16 19:18	second 4:4,8,8	35:20 38:3,8
32:21	43:6 44:23	31:10 34:11,14	4:10,11 6:16	41:3,24 42:1,7
recommending	45:8,18	34:17,22 37:6	8:19,20 9:19	44:23 54:19
38:23 39:8	residential 1:15	42:1,5 44:3	9:20 10:20,21	setbacks 13:17
40:23 42:12	2:7 19:7	Roads 1:16 2:8	16:24 17:1	35:10 38:5
record's 20:16	respect 32:14,18	19:8	18:1,2 23:24 25:11 58:17	39:24 49:15
reduced 5:24	34:17 41:24	roadway 36:18		50:20
reducing 57:10	responded 7:21	36:24	secondary 35:1 47:14	seven 18:19
57:16	response 6:12	roadways 33:14	sections 41:22	sewer 14:18 15:4
reduction 44:10	16:17 58:11,13	robbing 56:18	see 5:8,22 12:9	28:11 30:10
reflect 38:7	58:21	Roll 3:6 6:17	16:1 24:12,15	40:12,13 42:12
regards 16:15	rest 20:3	8:21 9:22	25:1,14 28:4	43:15,16 45:1
regular 31:4,11	restraining 26:2	10:22 17:2	28:24 29:5,6	45:16
related 44:21	restrict 49:12	18:3	32:8 33:1	sewers 14:17 33:13
relates 33:8 36:5	restricted 35:2	room 26:17	37:13 39:10	
46:6 remember 5:9	results 42:22 retained 32:13	round 25:22,23 routes 41:8	47:8,16 55:20	Shaffer 32:10,10 33:3 35:7
5:10			56:8,8	
5.10	retaining 38:13	ruling 37:5	50.0,0	37:18 46:11,18
	1	1	1	

	1		1	
47:3 50:3	45:23 47:15	45:16	44:22 45:12	supporting
51:20 54:16	51:5,6 52:1,23	spoil 26:5	story 26:23 49:5	32:19
Shahzad 2:20	53:2,21 54:3	sport 12:24	strategically	sure 8:4,4 13:18
shape 38:13	situation 5:22	spot 40:15	39:15	19:3 23:14
share 29:24	35:21	spots 5:2,13	street 36:11,13	26:12,21 28:4
shared 19:19	six 29:15	square 28:18	36:15	30:23 31:12
shift 44:10	size 13:17 23:16	49:6,11 50:18	streets 37:20,20	36:21 48:1
shorthand 59:4	26:22 28:3,17	50:23 51:4,9,9	stringent 43:8	54:2 56:18
59:6	48:13,17 49:2	51:11,13 56:3	structures 40:6	surrounding
show 15:5 22:3,6	50:10,10,12	56:6	studies 42:22	55:6
41:23 42:13	51:3,6 52:6,24	staff 12:5 13:12	study 21:19	survey 33:10,12
44:5 53:8	55:14,17	20:7 24:19	stuff 15:17 39:24	swale 57:2
54:15	sized 30:6	stage 25:2,6	54:11	swear 11:13
showing 31:9	sizes 27:20 54:5	30:24 40:24	styles 22:4	sweep 12:23
52:18 54:11	sizing 30:22	staircases 48:11	subdivision 1:9	switch 51:21
shown 14:14	55:13,14	stairs 29:2,3	2:4 10:15	sworn 11:19
22:3 34:1	small 26:16	standard 39:1	11:22 12:2	13:8 58:1
38:21 40:7	27:21,24 49:13	standpoint	13:14,15 19:13	system 14:10
57:4	55:4	33:20 34:8	21:5,7,17,23	19:15 30:3,7
shows 21:19	smaller 12:17	35:7 36:16,18	23:17 26:5,16	30:16 31:15
sic 42:2	soil 13:23 57:17	37:4 38:18	26:20 47:8	32:3 41:21
side 14:9 35:9	sold 18:18,19	40:11 42:11,21	54:23 55:2,6	43:11 45:17
44:14 49:23	56:8	43:12,17	57:7,16	
52:11,13	somebody 21:6	start 20:21 21:8	subdivision-re	T
side-loaded 36:6	25:21 26:9	24:24 56:1	32:15	table 35:8 43:7
36:16	sorry 28:12	58:5	subdivisions	take 3:24 16:21
sides 12:19,21	sort 13:17 26:1	started 46:11	22:1 24:9,9	17:17,21 23:3
40:4	31:11 44:17	starting 23:5	25:18 26:13	24:22 29:23
sideyard 49:15	sounded 56:24	46:8,12 54:12	56:11	36:4 45:1
54:19	sounds 15:12	starts 32:21	submission 43:2	58:14
significant 38:11	south 1:2,10 2:2	state 19:10	submit 53:23	taken 59:10
similar 12:16,17	2:5,12,16,16	32:11 59:1,5	submittal 35:9	talk 7:8 11:12
21:14	10:16,17 27:21	stated 6:3 47:4	40:18 54:12	20:18 37:16
single 24:16 30:5	35:12,14 36:21	states 43:12	submitted 20:14	45:15 47:10,23
34:21 39:5	41:18 43:7,14	stenographic	29:14 33:10,12	58:3
49:10 56:6	44:11 45:18	59:9	42:17 46:2,7	talked 15:3 38:2
sit 47:23	southeast 44:2	stick 26:19	subtract 51:12	talking 37:13,16
site 25:13 32:14	southwest 19:13	stone 22:20	51:13	55:4
32:23 33:11,18	space 28:20 36:3	storm 14:17	suggest 26:6	tank 44:24
33:19 34:7,8	49:5	15:4 33:13	suggesting 22:19	tanks 31:23
34:12 35:7,18	spaces 5:24 6:2	40:12 42:12,13	39:14,21 40:14	team 15:3 33:8
38:10,20,22,24	speaking 54:7	stormwater	summarizes	technical 19:17
39:4,20,21,23	specific 30:22	13:22 32:15	13:12 47:4	33:7 42:16
39:24 41:13	44:4	33:16 38:21	supplier 24:11	technician 14:4
43:1 44:2 45:7	specifically 38:3	42:19 43:10,20	support 34:21	teeny 24:4

tell 23:20	thoughts 54:13	31:22 34:9	vice 4:15	18:21 20:22
tells 50:3	thousand 28:13	35:2 39:11,11	View 21:4,5	21:8 22:13
		· · · · ·	,	
template 23:3	28:18 55:19	43:21 44:1	village 1:2 2:2	23:14,20,21,22
ten 28:23	three 21:2 23:12	49:5 52:22	2:12,22,23,23	23:22,23 25:8
tennis 12:17	43:22 46:4	type 25:7 41:19	3:7,9,12,14,16	26:4,8,8,11,11
tenth 44:1	time 8:15 11:13	43:10 48:13	3:18 4:16 6:9	28:7,7,14 29:1
term 41:9	16:15 19:22	types 21:2	6:18,20,22,24	29:2,3,4 36:3
terms 21:15	20:2 28:24	typically 42:8	7:2,4,18 8:6,22	38:3 39:17
26:22 37:14	40:4 57:15		8:24 9:2,4,6,8	48:11 49:14
test 31:5	timely 15:6	U	9:10,23 10:1,3	53:22 56:10,17
testify 58:1	times 48:7	U.S 46:2	10:5,7,9,16,23	wanted 26:17
Thank 11:17,17	today 7:21 15:16	ubiquitous	11:1,3,5,7,9,23	51:19
18:15 19:5	Todd 32:8,10	23:13	11:24 12:4	wants 21:6 23:6
20:17 27:9	51:20	under-drain	13:5,6,10	23:6,7,7 25:21
58:6,8	Todd's 54:13	41:21	14:13 15:1,11	26:9
thanks 3:1	told 7:14,18	underground	17:3,5,7,9,11	wasn't 8:13
They'd 36:12	tonight 3:22	30:17 44:24	17:13,18,20,24	waste 56:21
thing 13:18 14:7	11:20 12:3	underneath	18:4,6,8,10,12	wastewater 55:1
20:20 31:12	47:5	33:14	18:14 20:1,13	56:24
		understand	,	• • • - •
35:13 47:1,21	topo 21:16	30:12 54:2	20:15,22 32:13	water 14:18 30:9
48:4 56:20	topographic	56:24	34:10,20 35:12	32:1 33:18
things 13:13,20	33:10		35:24 37:17	38:5,8 40:14
15:2,3,7,15,18	traffic 21:19	understanding	39:6 43:7,11	41:20 42:14,18
20:9 21:11,17	34:24 37:16	41:7 46:2	43:14,18 44:19	42:24 43:6
28:8 30:10,15	transcript 59:8	48:18	53:22 54:18	55:5,9,10
37:11 40:5	treated 30:17	unique 26:8	56:16,17	56:21 57:13
47:24 57:23	31:13	40:20	visual 41:18	Watershed
think 6:1 7:16	treatment 45:1,4	unit 30:22 31:5	volume 41:16	14:22
11:11 13:11	tree 33:12,20,22	unity 27:6	43:12 44:23	waterway 14:8
15:14 16:2,10	33:23 34:5	upcoming 39:20	vote 6:13,15 8:2	way 23:8,18
17:15,16,17	trees 33:24 34:4	update 15:16	16:20 18:3	25:9 27:14
19:21 24:23,23	34:6	46:7		33:5 38:13
28:10 29:13	truck 36:22	updated 39:22	W	39:12 49:14
35:21 47:7,20	true 59:8	urge 34:3	wait 8:3 47:1	56:24 57:4
47:22 50:21	try 40:3	use 16:16 17:16	waiting 46:3	we'll 3:24 6:1,7
51:1 53:20	trying 19:20	19:21 26:24	waive 40:21	8:3 11:11 13:5
55:20 56:2,14	21:13 49:12	28:10	walk 22:11 23:7	13:6 22:11
56:23 57:6		utilities 34:2	38:17 39:12,17	
	turning 36:22	utility 40:6	walking 39:16	23:20 47:15,19
thinking 22:7	37:21	42:11,13,14	walkout 23:23	we're 7:8 11:20
29:16 31:21	turns 36:14	72.11,13,17	26:24,24 39:2	12:24 20:10
third 19:22	tweaked 40:8	V	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	22:6 23:19
30:18	twice 19:22	varies 50:16	wall 41:24	38:23 39:8
thought 4:19	two 18:22 19:19	various 13:18	walls 38:13,15	56:2,14,18
15:23 16:12	22:17 26:23		38:19	57:23
22:4	29:22,22,23,24	verify 43:19	want 14:16 15:2	we've 9:13
			l	

r				rage /r
				I
week 46:20	Y	19 27:22 48:12	43:9,11 52:11	
weigh 46:1	yard 35:10,10	48:13,17	4,000 49:6	
welcome 47:12	38:2 53:11,19		40 52:6	
wells 55:4,8	yards 41:1	2		
went 20:4 28:8	Yeah 7:24 8:9	2 10:17 43:6,9	5	
29:11	8:11,14 12:11	43:15 48:23	5 28:18 38:4	
weren't 13:19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2,500 49:4 51:9	41:3 48:8	
west 1:10 2:5	15:14 16:11	51:9	49:11	
10:15 11:23	25:24 31:16	20 23:1 28:13	5,000 56:3,6	
18:23 41:2	32:2,5 46:17	49:21,22 50:3	50 21:3 22:9	
49:23	47:17,20 50:16	50:4 52:9 53:5	49:4,4 50:6,6	
wetland 41:18	yesterday 7:21	2023 1:23 2:10	50:24,24 51:7	
	Z	2:15	51:8,8,8,8 54:5	
43:19 44:1,6,7		206 1:10 2:5	500 31:22	
44:8,11,13,14	zoning 13:16	200 1.10 2.5 20th 8:8,17	300 31.22	
44:14,20,21	35:12	· · ·	6	
45:20,23		21 52:5	6 26:18,19	
wetlands 13:19	$\frac{0}{0}$	22 52:9	6,000 49:11	
42:24 43:17,20	0.01 43:23	25 35:11,20 38:4	6.4 11:22	
43:22 44:10	084-004107	41:2 49:17,18	60 21:3 54:6	
45:21 48:3	59:15	49:23 50:2,2		
wide 21:4 52:18		50:24 52:19	65 49:3 51:7	
wider 22:10	$\frac{1}{1}$	53:12,15,17	7	
width 36:24 37:2	1 14:10 39:12	2500 28:18		
37:6 50:15	1,200 51:12,14	28 38:4	7 38:4	
53:3,12 54:8	1,500 50:22	29 38:4	7,000 51:4,11	
widths 36:19	1/2 43:16	2D 33:23	7,500 50:11,19	
wish 21:10 23:5	10 49:16,22	2nd 9:13 46:9,13	7:00 1:24 2:11	
25:3,3	100 32:11 44:23	2O6 10:15	2:15	
wondering	12 24:10 26:19		70 5:2 54:5	
27:19 28:2	44:1 52:12,18	3	70 21:3 54:6	
work 5:19,21	54:22	3 43:16 49:6		
21:14,24 28:23	12th 4:1,6	52:11	8	
30:2 32:4	14 37:1	3,000 49:11	8 26:18 41:3	
34:23 36:15	15 12:1 18:17	30 2:16 5:3,4,12	8:15 58:24	
37:14 45:11	37:1 48:15	5:13 6:3 28:13		
48:3 57:23	49:8	50:2 53:16	9	
	16 24:10	32 39:11 48:15	9,000 50:11	
working 12:4,6	16,000 50:19	33 19:12		
48:7 51:2	16,500 50:12	35 31:23,24		
written 46:24	17 5:5,11,11,15	38 49:8,9 50:13		
wrong 56:4		3D 20:24 33:24		
wrote 20:9	6:1 40:19	31 20.27 33.27		
v	50:12 55:4	4		
$\frac{\mathbf{X}}{\mathbf{X}}$	18 1:23 2:10,15	4 26:19 27:23,24		
x 49:4 50:6,24	18th 3:5 7:10	27:24 28:18		
51:8,8	10:12	21.27 20.10		
	I	I	I	I