# MINUTES OF THE PLAN COMMISSION \& ZONING BOARD OF <br> APPEALS OF THE VILLAGE OF SOUTH BARRINGTON <br> Held Monday, September 18, 2023 <br> 7:00 P.M. 

## CALL TO ORDER

Chairman McCombie called the meeting to order at 7:01 p.m. and requested a roll call.

## PRESENT:

Commissioners Present:
Commissioners Absent:
Officials present:
Staff present:

Abri, Gillis, Murarka, Kazi, Fox and Chairman McCombie Kwasek
Mayor McCombie and Trustee Abbate
Village Attorney Erin Kiernat, Village Engineer Natalie Karney, Finance Director Wayde Frerichs, Consulting Engineer Todd Shaffer and Village Administrator Robert Palmer

A quorum was present.

## PUBLIC COMMENT NOTE:

A court reporter was present to record all public comments. A copy of the court reporter's transcript will be attached to and made a part of these minutes when made available.

## MINUTES

A. Approval of the Plan Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals Meeting Minutes (Stenographer
Transcript) of June 12, 2023.

Motion was made by Gillis to approve the Plan Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals Meeting Minutes of June 12, 2023. Second was made by Murarka. Chairman McCombie asked if there were any comments regarding the minutes. Abri commented that the minutes did not reflect the 'Cricket Field' discussion, specifically when he had asked the Park District Executive Director Jay Morgan if the Village had granted permission to cut and fill-in areas of the cricket field. (Morgan responded at the time that the Village had not given permission.) Abri also commented that the discussion regarding the number of parking spaces for the cricket field to be granted by the Arboretum shopping center was not included in the minutes. Abri also noted that the Arboretum, through its representative at the meeting (Property Manager Cory Born), rescinded and corrected the cricket club representative on the number of parking spaces the Arboretum would allow to be used for the cricket field. Abri requested that the minutes be amended to reflect the two items that he outlined. Chairman McCombie then requested a roll-call vote on the amended minutes (Gillis amended his motion to approve the minutes of June 12, 2023 to reflect the changes proposed by Abri. Second to the amended minutes was made by Murarka).

Roll Call: Abri - Aye, Fox - Abstain, Gillis - Aye, Kazi - Aye, Murarka - Aye and Chairman McCombie - Aye. Motion was approved.
B. Approval of the Plan Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals Meeting Minutes (Stenographer Transcript) of July 18, 2023.

Abri stated that he had not received the minutes of July 18, 2023. Chairman McCombie polled the other members of the Plan Commission in regard to the July 18, 2023 minutes. Since it was unclear if the minutes had been distributed, consensus was to 'hold' the approval of the July 18, 2023 Plan Commission minutes and have them distributed and placed on the next meeting agenda for approval. No further discussion on this item.

## C. Approval of the Plan Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals Meeting Minutes (Stenographer

 Transcript) of July 20, 2023.Motion was made by Abri to approve the Plan Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals Meeting Minutes of July 20, 2023. Second was made by Kazi. Chairman McCombie asked for a roll-call vote on the approval of the minutes of July 20, 2023.

Roll Call: Abri - Aye, Fox - Abstain, Gillis - Aye, Kazi - Aye, Murarka - Aye and Chairman McCombie - Aye. Motion was approved.

## D. Approval of the Plan Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals Meeting Minutes (Stenographer Transcript) of August 2, 2023.

Motion was made by Abri to approve the Plan Commission/Zoning Board of Appeals Meeting Minutes of July 20, 2023. Second was made by Gillis. Chairman McCombie asked for a roll-call vote on the approval of the minutes of August 2, 2023.

Roll Call: Abri - Aye, Fox - Aye, Gillis - Aye, Kazi - Aye, Murarka - Aye and Chairman McCombie - Aye. Motion was approved.

## PUBLIC COMMENT

Those present were invited to address the Plan Commission with comments and questions.

## PUBLIC HEARING AGENDA ITEMS

A. A proposal for rezoning and subdivision for the property located at 206 West Higgins Road, South Barrington, Illinois - Village Enclave of South Barrington Phase II (Elias)

Chairman McCombie requested a motion to open the Public Hearing for the proposal for rezoning and subdivision for the property located at 206 West Higgins Road, South Barrington, Illinois - Village Enclave of South Barrington Phase II (Elias). Motion was made by Gillis to open the public hearing, seconded by Abri.

Roll Call: Abri - Aye, Fox - Aye, Gillis - Aye, Kazi - Aye, Murarka - Aye and Chairman McCombie - Aye. Motion was approved.

Chairman McCombie then asked for the petitioner to present and describe the proposed development. Mr. Joe Elias addressed the Plan Commission and stated that he is seeking final approval for the 6.4 -acre parcel to be adjoined to the existing Enclave development. The additional property would be subdivided into 4 lots. Chairman McCombie commented on the amenities added to the subdivision, specifically the 'paddle' court. Mr. Elias explained that the sport will be very popular. Chairman McCombie requested a report from staff and Village Engineer Karney gave an overview of her review of the application and recommendation to approve. Chairman McCombie requested comments from those in attendance regarding the petition and there were no persons present that spoke in regard to the petition. Chairman McCombie then requested a motion to close the public hearing. Motion was made to close the Public Hearing by Abri and seconded by Murarka.

Roll Call: Abri - Aye, Fox - Aye, Gillis - Aye, Kazi - Aye, Murarka - Aye and Chairman McCombie - Aye. Motion was approved.

Chairman McCombie then invited discussion regarding the petition from among the Plan Commissioners. The Commission briefly discussed the petition, noting that the property was always thought to be a natural addition to the Enclave development. Having no further discussion, Chairman McCombie requested a motion to approve the proposed rezoning and subdivision for the property located at 206 West Higgins Road, South Barrington, Illinois - Village Enclave of South Barrington Phase II (Elias). Motion was made by Kazi to recommend approval of the proposal for rezoning and subdivision for the property located at 206 West Higgins Road, South Barrington, Illinois - Village Enclave of South Barrington Phase II (Elias). Second was made by Murarka. Chairman McCombie requested a roll-call vote:

Roll Call: Abri - Aye, Fox - Aye, Gillis - Aye, Kazi - Aye, Murarka - Aye and Chairman McCombie - Aye. Motion was approved.

## PRESENTATION

A. A Presentation for a Proposed Residential Development located at Mundhank and Freeman Roads.

Chairman McCombie invited Ram Prashantha to address the Plan Commission on his proposal for a residential development at the southwest corner of Mundhank and Freeman. Chairman McCombie noted that this was not a Public Hearing and the Plan Commission was to hear the status of the proposal in preparation for establishing a Public Hearing Date. It was also noted that the proposed development would be a PUD and that Mr. Prashantha had submitted all the required documentation under the Village Code for consideration. Mr. Prashantha described the development and provided some visual illustrations of the 33 proposed homes on the 15 -acre site. Following Mr. Prashantha's presentation, Chairman McCombie asked for staff comments. Todd Shaffer of Haeger Engineering addressed the Plan Commission with several comments on items to be reviewed and more information to be provided. One major item was information received from Hoffman Estates, who has jurisdiction over Freeman, that they would not support the single point of ingress/egress off of Freeman for the subdivision. This would require a re-configuration of the ingress/egress for the property. Shaffer commented on tree preservation in light of the amount of grading that would need to be done on the site. He also commented on the inability to provide outdoor space (i.e. patios) based on the configuration of the subdivision. Grading was noted to be significant and retaining walls would be required and should be shown on the site plan. The number of mailbox clusters was questioned, the proposal was for two locations and was viewed as inconvenient for some of the homes located deep within the two cul-de-sacs. It was noted that storm water volume control basins would be wet bottom basins as proposed on the plan. Setback requirements on Mundhank would need to be confirmed and the utility plan should add the storm sewers. A geotech report has not been submitted, there are wetlands on-site and the Village will rely on Hay \& Associates to review this aspect of the proposal. Cook County Forest Preserve review is required, with the property across the street from the Paul Douglas Preserve. The construction schedule, it was noted, was not realistic and would need revision.

Chairman McCombie thanked Mr. Shaffer for his report and opened up the meeting for further comments. Several members of the audience had questions and comments regarding the proposal. Comments made were concerning the size of the homes being proposed and also the impact on water resources and storm water management.

Chairman McCombie closed the item on the agenda, noting that there is work to be done on the proposal.
Chairman then asked the Commissioners if there was any Old Business to discuss or New Business to discuss.

OLD BUSINESS - None
NEW BUSINESS - None

## ADJOURNMENT

Having no further business, Chairman McCombie asked for a motion to adjourn the meeting. Commissioner Abri made a Motion to adjourn the meeting. Commissioner Gillis seconded the motion. The motion passed by unanimous vote and the meeting adjourned at 8:19 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,

Robert L. Palmer
Village Administrator

These Minutes were approved this
$1^{\text {st }}$ day of November, 2023
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | PLAN COMMISSION/ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS VILLAGE OF SOUTH BARRINGTON | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | from June 12th for our meeting for that. Motion to approve? |
| 4 | PUBLIC HEARING/MEETING | 3 | COMMISSIONER GILLIS: You have a motion. |
|  | Proposed Rezoning and Subdivision For the Property | 4 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Second? |
| 5 6 | 206 West Higgins Road, South Barrington Illinois | 5 | COMMISSIONER ABRI: I have a question on the |
|  | PRESENTATION | 6 | minutes of June 12th. |
| 7 | Proposed Residential Development | 7 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Well, just a |
| 8 | Located at Mundhank and Freeman Roads | 8 | second. Let's get a second first and then ask the |
| 9 10 | * * * * * | 9 | question. |
|  | Monday, September 18, 2023 | 10 | Second? |
| 11 12 | 7:00 p.m. <br> PLAN COMMISSION | 11 | COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes, I second. |
| 13 | VILLAGE OF SOUTH BARRINGTON | 12 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: So please. |
|  | * * * * * | 13 | COMMISSIONER ABRI: So there was this |
| 14 15 |  | 14 | discussion about Cricket Field, and I asked the |
| 15 | commencing at the hour of approximately 7:00 p.m. at | 15 | question from the executive vice president whether he |
| 16 17 | 30 South Barrington Road, South Barrington, Illinois. | 16 | had approval from the Village, because I saw him |
|  | PLAN COMMISSION PRESENT: | 17 | doing some activity out there, and I questioned |
| 18 | Michael McCombie, Chairman Anthony Abri, Commissioner | 18 | whether he had the approval, and he said he didn't. |
| 19 | Louise Fox, Commissioner David Gillis, Commissioner | 19 | Later, I explained to the Mayor, that I thought |
| 20 | Shahzad Kazi, Commissioner | 20 | that -- I was kind of curious because he cleared out |
| 21 | Narayan Murarka, Commissioner | 21 | that area and he did some cut and fill getting it |
| 22 | ALSO PRESENT: | 22 | ready for whatever without approval. It can be money |
| 23 | Erin Kiernat, Village Attorney | 23 | down the drain, but at any rate, that was not |
| 2 | Natalie Karney, Village Engineer | 24 | mentioned here. |
|  | Page 3 |  | Page 5 |
| 1 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So thanks | 1 | Also, my notes indicated that there |
| 2 | everybody for attending. | 2 | were -- They had approval for 70 parking spots from |
| 3 | This is a public hearing and a | 3 | the Arboretum, and not 30. It's -- It's quoted here |
| 4 | meeting on the Planning Commission/Zoning Board of | 4 | as 30. And their leasing agent, the Arboretum |
| 5 | Appeals for Monday, September 18th. | 5 | leasing agent, took that 17 away from the park |
| 6 | Roll call? | 6 | district during that very meeting, and that's not |
| 7 | VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri? | 7 | also indicated. So these were kind of omissions that |
| 8 | COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye. | 8 | I would like to see corrected first. |
| 9 | VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox is absent. | 9 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. And I do remember |
| 10 | Gillis? | 10 | that it was originally -- I don't remember if it was |
| 11 | COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Here. | 11 | 17, but it could well have been 17. And then they |
| 12 | VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? | 12 | did say 30, and I know Arboretum corrected them and |
| 13 | COMMISSIONER KAZI: Yes. | 13 | said no, we don't have 30 spots for you. |
| 14 | VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: And Murarka? | 14 | COMMISSIONER ABRI: Arboretum took away the |
| 15 | COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Here. | 15 | 17 even. So they had no parking -- no egress and no |
| 16 | VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: And McCombie? | 16 | entrance. There was a lot of homework that they |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Here. | 17 | needed to do, and that ought to be included in here. |
| 18 | VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: We have a | 18 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Well, let's amend |
| 19 | quorum. | 19 | the minutes then to clarify that there was work that |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: So we have a quorum. | 20 | was done on the land without approval, and I guess |
| 21 | So the first item of business | 21 | anybody can really do work on the land without |
| 22 | tonight is the minutes. | 22 | approval. I don't see that as a situation. But it |
| 23 | Did everybody get a chance to look | 23 | was without a question that they had given them a |
| 24 | at the minutes from -- We'll take them separately, | 24 | reduced the number of spaces from what they said they |
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|  | Page 6 |  | Page 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | got. So I think we'll amend it and say there were 17 | 1 | read them. So I apologize about not getting them out |
| 2 | spaces that the Arboretum originally gave them, while | 2 | to everybody. Let's not vote on this until the next |
| 3 | they stated that they had 30. And then at the | 3 | meeting. We'll wait for the next meeting, and we |
| 4 | meeting, the Arboretum removed the ones that they had | 4 | will be sure -- Bob, would you make sure that we get |
| 5 | given them. | 5 | those out? |
| 6 | COMMISSIONER ABRI: Right. | 6 | VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: I will. |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So we'll add those | 7 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: And how about for the |
| 8 | to the minutes, Bob. | 8 | July 20th meeting, did anybody get those minutes? |
| 9 | VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Yes. | 9 | COMMISSIONER ABRI: Yeah. |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Any other changes | 10 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: You got those minutes? |
| 11 | to the minutes of the meeting? | 11 | COMMISSIONER ABRI: Yeah. |
| 12 | (No response.) | 12 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Everybody else? |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Then a vote to | 13 | COMMISSIONER FOX: I wasn't there so... |
| 14 | approve with the changes. | 14 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yeah. |
| 15 | COMMISSIONER GILLIS: You have a vote. | 15 | So at this time are there any |
| 16 | COMMISSIONER ABRI: I second. | 16 | changes or is there a motion to approve the minutes |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Roll call? | 17 | from July 20th? |
| 18 | VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri? | 18 | COMMISSIONER ABRI: I move to approve. |
| 19 | COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye. | 19 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Second? |
| 20 | VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis? | 20 | COMMISSIONER KAZI: Second. |
| 21 | COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye. | 21 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Roll call? |
| 22 | VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? | 22 | VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri? |
| 23 | COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. | 23 | COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye. |
| 24 | VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Murarka? | 24 | VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis? |
|  | Page 7 |  | Page 9 |
| 1 | COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Aye. | 1 | COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye. |
| 2 | VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? | 2 | VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. | 3 | COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. |
| 4 | VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? | 4 | VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? |
| 5 | COMMISSIONER FOX: I'll abstain from this | 5 | COMMISSIONER FOX: Abstain. |
| 6 | one. | 6 | VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Murarka? |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So the next | 7 | COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes. |
| 8 | minutes that we're going to talk about are the | 8 | VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? |
| 9 | approval of the Plan Commission/Zoning Board of | 9 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. |
| 10 | Appeals meeting minutes of July 18th. | 10 | VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Minutes |
| 11 | Did everybody get a chance to read | 11 | approved. |
| 12 | those? | 12 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Now, the next |
| 13 | COMMISSIONER ABRI: Well, again, I didn't, | 13 | minute meetings we've got are from August 2nd. Did |
| 14 | and I asked for a copy of it, and Ashley told me that | 14 | everybody get those? |
| 15 | it's not available. | 15 | COMMISSIONER ABRI: Yes. |
| 16 | COMMISSIONER FOX: I think they were going to | 16 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Motion to approve? |
| 17 | have it here. She said it was going to be here. | 17 | COMMISSIONER ABRI: I make a motion to |
| 18 | VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: She told me | 18 | approve. |
| 19 | before the meeting it had been distributed. | 19 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Second? |
| 20 | COMMISSIONER ABRI: I sent her an e-mail | 20 | COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Second. |
| 21 | yesterday, and she responded today saying that it's | 21 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. All those in |
| 22 | not available. So we have not seen it, to answer | 22 | favor -- Roll call. |
| 23 | your question. | 23 | VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri? |
| 24 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yeah, I don't know. I | 24 | COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? | 1 | development which has currently 15 lots. This will |
| 2 | COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes. | 2 | add four lots to that subdivision. And I know that |
| 3 | VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis? | 3 | our consultants are here, tonight, and then I also |
| 4 | COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye. | 4 | know that they have been working closely with village |
| 5 | VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? | 5 | staff and engineering for the approval of the |
| 6 | COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. | 6 | engineering plans that we have been working on for |
| 7 | VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Murarka? | 7 | several months now. |
| 8 | COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes. | 8 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. I was very |
| 9 | VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? | 9 | interested to see this. You're building a padel |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. | 10 | court instead of another home. |
| 11 | So coming out of this we need to get | 11 | MR. ELIAS: Yeah, it's actually a court. |
| 12 | those minutes from July 18th sent out to everybody. | 12 | Have you heard of it? Have you played it before? |
| 13 | Okay. The first item on the agenda | 13 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I have not. |
| 14 | is a public hearing for a proposed rezoning and | 14 | MR. ELIAS: So this is a little different |
| 15 | subdivision for the property located at 206 West | 15 | than pickle ball and it's a combination between -- |
| 16 | Higgins Road, South Barrington, Illinois, The Village | 16 | similar to pickle ball and racquetball and a little |
| 17 | Enclave of South Barrington Phase 2. | 17 | bit of tennis but on a smaller court, similar that |
| 18 | Motion to open the public hearing? | 18 | you would have -- |
| 19 | COMMISSIONER GILLIS: You have a motion. | 19 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Where they have sides, |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Second? | 20 | correct? |
| 21 | COMMISSIONER ABRI: I second. | 21 | MR. ELIAS: The back portion and the sides |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Roll call. | 22 | have glass, and you could play off of the glass. |
| 23 | VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri? | 23 | It's going to sweep the country. It's the next craze |
| 24 | COMMISSIONER ABRI: Yes. | 24 | sport. So we're looking forward to it. |
|  | Page 11 |  | Page 13 |
| 1 | VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? | 1 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. We heard it here |
| 2 | COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes. | 2 | first. |
| 3 | VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis? | 3 | MR. ELIAS: Yes. |
| 4 | COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Aye. | 4 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. So let's have the |
| 5 | VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? | 5 | village discuss, if that's all right, we'll have the |
| 6 | COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. | 6 | village come up and then we'll ask questions as they |
| 7 | VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Murarka? | 7 | go along. |
| 8 | COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes. | 8 | (Ms. Karney sworn.) |
| 9 | VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? | 9 | MS. KARNEY: I'm Natalie Karney, K-a-r-n-e-y, |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. | 10 | Village Engineer. |
| 11 | Okay. I think what we'll do is as | 11 | I think you all got a copy of my |
| 12 | people come up to talk about this and to discuss it, | 12 | staff report. The first part of it summarizes what |
| 13 | we will swear them in at that time. | 13 | Joe Elias just said. And one of the things we looked |
| 14 | So is someone going to give a | 14 | at, of course, was this subdivision in line with the |
| 15 | presentation? | 15 | existing subdivision, and it is, and all of the |
| 16 | MR. ELIAS: Yes. | 16 | parameters and so on that go along with the zoning, |
| 17 | Thank you Chairman McCombie. Thank | 17 | size of lot, building setbacks and that sort of |
| 18 | you Commissioners. My name is Joe Elias. | 18 | thing. We looked at various maps to make sure that |
| 19 | (Mr. Elias sworn.) | 19 | there weren't any infringement on wetlands or |
| 20 | MR. ELIAS: We're here before you tonight to | 20 | floodplains and things like that. The other |
| 21 | request a final approval of our land plan and | 21 | organizations that need to review this including the |
| 22 | subdivision of approximately 6.4 acres of the | 22 | North Cook County Stormwater Conservation District; |
| 23 | property directly to the west of Village of Enclave, | 23 | IDOT, have approved it; Soil Confirmation District |
| 24 | and it's adjacent to our Village of Enclave | 24 | had a recommendation, which has already been |
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## Page 14

Page 16
incorporated on the plans; IDOT approved their
around, and I'm actually glad to see that it is going to come around. And I think it will be the nice completion of the whole area, which is really good.

Does anybody have any questions?
COMMISSIONER ABRI: No, I agree with you.
CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Dave, do you have any?
COMMISSIONER GILLIS: I don't know what you
would do with that land if you didn't make it part of
the Enclave. It would just be by itself. It doesn't
make any sense, so I think it's great.
CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yeah. A very logical approach to the land, I thought.

Okay. Does anybody else have any
other comments that they would like to bring forth at this time during the public hearing in regards to this land and the use of this land?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Seeing none, at this point we can close the public hearing, and then vote on the matter.

Okay. I will take a motion to close.

COMMISSIONER ABRI: I will make a motion. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: A second?

Page 17

Page 15
approval of the engineering plans by the village.
There is a few minor things that we want to add and
some things we talked about with their design team as
far as changing the storm sewer elevation, which they
agreed to do, which did not show up on these plans.
We can get them out to you in a timely manner. And a couple other minor things.

So my recommendation would be based
on the reviews, that -- for approval, contingent upon approval of the final engineering plans by the village.

CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. It sounds like you're very close to that point, approving, right?

MS. KARNEY: Correct. Yeah. I think the consultant is here and we discussed a few things even as late as today. They're going to update the plans with some of the measurements and stuff and add some things like that.

CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Does anybody have any questions about the plan?

It seems -- This -- You know, when
they originally -- When we originally approved this, I always thought this would be a logical extension to add this land to it if it was ever going to come drainage report, and at this point all they have to do is send in the bond and permit forms. And their Geotechnical technician asked the applicant if they will have a person onsite during construction, and I believe they agreed to do that.

The other thing that's included in the plans is the extension of a waterway on the north side of the project. It comes from the Enclave Phase 1 and extends across to connect into the system that's by the former Autumn Leaves. I forget what they call that now -- Encore.

VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Encore.
MS. KARNEY: So that is shown on the plans. Jason Fowler has reviewed them. His main comment is before we approve the final plans, we want an observation dig done where the storm sewers is going to cross the water main so we know that the sewer can go in at the elevation that's proposed. So we will ask that that be done.

And I believe everything else meets all of the requirements of the Watershed Development Ordinance. If you do decide to give a positive approval, we ask that you do it contingent upon
,

COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Second. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Roll call. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri? COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes.
VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis? COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Yes.
VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: And Murarka? COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes. VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: McCombie? CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes.

So I think that the plan is a good plan. I think this is really an appropriate use of the land, and I think that -- I will take the comments from the village engineer as contingent upon final engineering design from -- final engineering approval from the village.

So with that in mind, we can take a motion to approve this.

COMMISSIONER KAZI: I move to approve
contingent on the approval by the village engineer.
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Second? | 1 | presentation, you're going to go to the village and |
| 2 | COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Second. | 2 | ask them for a public hearing, and at that time the |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Roll call vote? | 3 | complete scope of it, all of the design, the rest of |
| 4 | VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Abri? | 4 | the information. I don't know if everybody went |
| 5 | COMMISSIONER ABRI: Aye. | 5 | through all of the documents they have. There's a |
| 6 | VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Fox? | 6 | lot of documents. I have only printed -- I only had |
| 7 | COMMISSIONER FOX: Yes. | 7 | the staff print the documents you have in front of |
| 8 | VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Gillis? | 8 | you, but as you read through they have covenants they |
| 9 | COMMISSIONER GILLIS: Yes. | 9 | wrote. There's a lot of things that are in there |
| 10 | VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Kazi? | 10 | that we're going to have to look at and go over. But |
| 11 | COMMISSIONER KAZI: Aye. | 11 | this, more than anything else is just a presentation |
| 12 | VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Murarka? | 12 | to go over this development in that form. |
| 13 | COMMISSIONER MURARKA: Yes. | 13 | VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Mr. Chairman, |
| 14 | VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Murarka? | 14 | I can add that they have submitted all the materials |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. Thank you. | 15 | under the PUD, to the village. We have everything. |
| 16 | I have a question. How many of the | 16 | The record's complete on that. |
| 17 | homes in the lots, those 15 existing lots, have you | 17 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Thank you. |
| 18 | actually sold? | 18 | So let's talk a little bit about the |
| 19 | MR. ELIAS: We have seven -- eight sold. | 19 | project then. Give us some scenarios about how you |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I'm just being nosey. | 20 | would build this thing. Would you -- you know, where |
| 21 | MR. ELIAS: I do want to add that the | 21 | you start and how you build it through. |
| 22 | billboard is coming down too. So there is two | 22 | VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR PALMER: Do you want |
| 23 | billboards, one that's a little further west. The | 23 | the screen on? |
| 24 | one that is on our property is coming down. | 24 | MR. PRASHANTHA: We kind of put some 3D |
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| 1 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Oh, okay. | 1 | renderings up. We laid out the plan, and it's going |
| 2 | MR. ELIAS: We look forward to that. | 2 | to be three different types of models, but it's |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I'm sure your people who | 3 | completely custom. It could have 50, 60 and 70 feet |
| 4 | are living there are looking forward to it also. | 4 | wide. We are calling this Forest View Estates |
| 5 | Okay. Thank you very much. | 5 | Subdivision, since it's right next to Forest View. |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: The next item is a | 6 | Let's say if somebody wants to build |
| 7 | presentation from a proposed residential development | 7 | a home here, in the subdivision, we are asking them |
| 8 | located at Mundhank and Freeman Roads. | 8 | to start with a lot that they want to choose, and |
| 9 | Okay. So if you would come forward, | 9 | they are free to choose pretty much any design that |
| 10 | state your name and information. | 10 | they desire. We have a wish list, whether you know, |
| 11 | MR. PRASHANTHA: My name is Ram Prashantha. | 11 | bedroom, bathrooms, things that they can choose from. |
| 12 | We are seeking approval for the 33 | 12 | Then we will have an architect design and build it |
| 13 | lot subdivision of the southwest corner of Mundhank | 13 | from scratch. We are not trying to make anything |
| 14 | and Freeman. It's going to be custom homes with | 14 | similar. We also have a lot of frame work put in |
| 15 | maintenance free, septic, private bio septic system. | 15 | terms of how it's going to look and feel. We did -- |
| 16 | And we have our engineer and architect here in case | 16 | We pretty much did a landscape plan, you know, topo |
| 17 | you have any technical questions that need to be | 17 | all the things are requested for a subdivision that |
| 18 | addressed. There will be a private road with a | 18 | was provided. |
| 19 | shared well. We are proposing two houses will have | 19 | Traffic study shows there is hardly |
| 20 | one well. We are trying to seek an approval, and we | 20 | an impact on this. We are requesting a right |
| 21 | think this is the best use for this land. We came to | 21 | in/right out access from Hoffman Estates, since |
| 22 | this board twice. This is the third time. | 22 | Freeman is in Hoffman Estates. Except that, you |
| 23 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: So we all are on the same | 23 | know, we are excited with this subdivision because I |
| 24 | page, this is a presentation only. After the | 24 | live here. I work here. It's going to be our -- one |
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of the subdivisions that I could put in myself.
CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: You said a hundred percent custom, but you have shown homes. You show different styles of homes. Are -- Is the thought that you were going to -- as they come in, you would show them the homes and say this is what we're thinking would fill in the lots or?

MR. PRASHANTHA: I mean, the majority of the homes have 50 feet, and there are probably like eight to nine end up with having a little wider, and also closer to our lots we'll have a walk out. So if they -- Let's say some customer comes and says they want to build a home without a basement or with a basement, you know, we let them choose, and we give them not just the lot that they have, we also give them the lots, how it looks and feels. Internally, we will decide whether to go with -- Let's say two customers are coming up with the same design, we are suggesting them what kind of choices that they have. It will be brick, stone and --

CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay.
COMMISSIONER ABRI: So you offer a certain number of models that your customer can choose from?

MR. PRASHANTHA: I mean, no, not really.
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Because we have probably like 20 plans that we kind of picked. We are not asking them to choose exactly that. They can take the template to modify. And not everybody knows how to build a home, but they need some starting point, and they will have a wish list. Let's say someone wants an elevator, someone wants no basement, someone wants walk out and someone wants to look and feel in a certain way, we accommodate all of those.

COMMISSIONER ABRI: I'm more interested in like as far as the framing is concerned, if they are not very much alike. If three of them are not to be ubiquitous throughout the --

MR. PRASHANTHA: We want to make sure this is completely custom. We are not going to give them a set model for them to choose, it's a good size subdivision for us could make it completely custom. Because it's the best way we can sell the homes, we're giving them this is what we do, rather we ask them tell us what you want. We'll make it to fit. So our customers want a bedroom on the first floor. They want -- you know, some customers want AD access, some want walkout instead of having a -- going to the second floor, all of those options, you know.
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MR. PRASHANTHA: Right. See like, we kind of have meetings before we come to this stage. First we collect the wish list. The wish list goes to the architect. That's how we do most of the custom homes. So then they come up with basic. We are not going to look at the elevation at that stage. We look from the point of what type of floor plan they want. Once they have a floor plan, then we can modify the elevations the way they like it. Then we end up presenting it to the customers. They have the option to change. Then they go back for the second revisions and the final one we finalize it. That goes to the permanent site.

What we see sometimes, you know, it's hard to figure out just by seeing on the -- we end up with giving them all kinds of what should be on there for them. That is how we are doing other subdivisions.

COMMISSIONER ABRI: I guess what I'm really looking for, if I may, what I'm looking for is there -- what if somebody comes there and wants to build a round house, is that --

MR. PRASHANTHA: A round house?
COMMISSIONER ABRI: Yeah. Are you going to
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entertain that? I'm looking for some sort of restraining, kind of like --

MR. PRASHANTHA: We are investing a lot of money in this. We don't want to build one house that would spoil the whole subdivision. We have experience. We suggest to them not to do it, and inside they could pretty much choose whatever they want. Outside, we want that to be unique, and it has to be custom. You know, like somebody wants to build, you know, they're investing whatever amount, they don't want to experiment. They want to make sure that they can sell it down the line. So we do have in our -- in some subdivisions we end up having some crazy California design, which is not going to fit for our climate here. We knock down those designs. For example, we had a small subdivision in Schaumburg where the customer wanted a flat room. We have everything with a pitch, with at least 6 and 8 , 12. We said you have to stick with at least 4 to 6 , for us to blend in with the subdivision. So we will make sure that, you know, it is fit to, you know, size and you know in terms of floors, they have option to choose whether it's two story or ranch, if there is a walkout, they are forced to use walkout on
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that particular lot.
COMMISSIONER ABRI: Would you be picking out the individual permit for the house?

MR. PRASHANTHA: Yes.
COMMISSIONER ABRI: Yes. We are not looking for unity permits. We are going to complete it -- we are going to -- each one comes in separately for the permit.

COMMISSIONER ABRI: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER GILLIS: You aren't going to sell any lots unless you build a house.

MR. PRASHANTHA: Yes. We are not -- We don't sell the lots.

COMMISSIONER GILLIS: That way you have control of what goes in there.

MR. PRASHANTHA: Exactly. It will be the same architect. We are not going to choose different architects. So that makes it easier.

COMMISSIONER FOX: I was just wondering what -- How did you come up with the sizes? These are very small lots, especially for South Barrington.
You know, you're looking at, you know 19 for some of these. Like the largest lots is 4 , you know, close to .4 to .4 acres, so these are very small lots, and
they're next door to homes that are on much larger acreage. So I'm just wondering how you guys decided on the size of the lots.

MR. PRASHANTHA: Sure. See, the -- It's hard to sell the million dollar homes, in our experience. Bigger homes are kind of not in demand. People want -- nowadays empty nesters, want maintenance free. One of the things we end up -- We went through many designs. We came up with this. This is what we think is the best use with all of the raising costs and keeping the, you know, not the sewer line -- I'm sorry, septic, you know, we are having private septic in all lots up to at least 20, 30 thousand per lot. We want to get certain density, and having a bigger home it's not something feasible for this lot. An acre lot, we cannot make any financial sense. Since we do -- You know, we get the size, you know, you can go anywhere from 2500 to say 4 or 5 thousand square feet, you know. It's just that in -- So in keeping that open space all over, and it gives the customer options to choose whatever they like and maintenance free. If you end up with eight or nine homes, bigger homes, let's say ten homes, it's not going to work out. I mean, most of the time when we see the
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customer requirements now it's always -- They want less stairs. They want to have a ranch home, not too many stairs. Basement is an option. They want to finish it later on. Some people want to do it right away. That's what we see in the market. Big homes is not something we see a lot. And with this lot, it's not possible to do a big home.

These are all of the elevations that we have. We kind of took on four models that we end up with. This is how it looks like, and we have entrance from Freeman, it loops through. We went through -- Our engineer looked at on the fire department, and there is access. We think it is really a best design for this. And we also submitted probably like five or six architect plans, kind of how it's going to look. We are thinking if we have to get that island that we have, having a fountain or something like that. That's pretty much it.

CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: So again, the well is what, you have a central well or?

MR. PRASHANTHA: No, we are going to make two, one drill, two pumps, one next to another. If something breaks, they can take on. So two houses will share one well. Two pumps in one well.
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CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: And what about the septic, how is that going to work? You have a separate system for the whole development?

MR. PRASHANTHA: We kind of -- We assume let's say every single home is five bedroom and bath, we sized it for, you know, that is what we are bringing a BioBarrier system. It's going to be kept on the northeast corner, and there will be a service. This will be a maintenance free whether it's a water, septic or sewer. All of those things will be maintained by HOA.

COMMISSIONER GILLIS: I didn't understand the septic. Can you go over that again?

MR. PRASHANTHA: So we are going to connect all of these things to one place. A BioBarrier system will end up putting it in one place. It will be kind of underground, and it will be treated by a third party.

CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: So Natalie, have you looked at that?

MS. KEARNY: I've looked at. I haven't seen the specific sizing of the unit. I did ask them to make sure they designed each house for five bedrooms so that when they get to the design stage of the
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BioBarrier, it would be designed for five bedrooms per home.

The question is going to be
maintenance. They'll need a regular person that will have to inspect it and test the outflow of the unit. I believe you're going to discharge it into the detention pond first and then from there, since with detention pond, it will discharge where they're showing it into the ditch line, if you will, of Freeman Road. So it definitely has to be looked at on a regular basis, reports done and that sort of thing to make sure that the outflow coming out is properly treated.

MR. PRASHANTHA: It's not something new. It's been there. So they have very good system.

MS. KARNEY: Yeah, they'll need an IEPA permit for this. It won't go through the county, per se.

CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. Does anybody have any other questions?

COMMISSIONER GILLIS: I was thinking, you know, my home when I lived in The Glen had two 500 gallon tanks, one house. Here you have 35 lots with houses. You have to multiply that by 35 . That's a
stormwater management and other subdivision-related items. I did a quick review. I received documents on Friday. And in coordination with Natalie, there on Friday. And in coordination with Natalie, there
are -- although the checkboxes are there with respect to the PUD supporting documents, we do have some concerns that should be addressed before the public hearing process starts, is our recommendation.

I can go through those concerns, if you would like me to. If you pull the site plan it would be beneficial to the audience members and the Page 33
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lot of water.
CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yeah.
COMMISSIONER GILLIS: But that system can work?

MR. PRASHANTHA: Yeah. They have them, you
know, you can just keep adding up as you increase the number of bedroom, bathrooms.

CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Todd, I see you're here.
Do you have some comments about this?
MR. SHAFFER: Todd Shaffer, principal with Haeger Engineering, 100 East State Parkway, Schaumburg, Illinois.

I was retained to assist the village with the review with respect to the site plan,

Plan Commission to see that. CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. MR. SHAFFER: I apologize my comments might be going in one direction and go back just because my -- the way I took my notes when I was reviewing all of the documents.

So the technical nature as it relates to additional guidance for the design team for the developer, just from the existing conditions perspective, they submitted a topographic survey which includes the site and adjacent right-of-way. They also submitted a tree survey. One of the items that's lacking is there are some storm sewers that are running underneath the adjacent roadways where only one end of the pipe was located, and in order for me to do the review of the stormwater management, I need to know where that pipe ends. So we could find out if water is coming into the site or going away from the site.

From a standpoint of the tree removal and preservation plan, when I reviewed the tree removal and preservation plan, it appeared to me that the tree preservation was looked at more on a 2 D manner instead of a 3D manner. They are having trees
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | that are shown as saved that are impossible to save | 1 | hearing process. But at the price point and |
| 2 | based on the grading, utilities and proposed | 2 | aesthetics and in my experience with developments |
| 3 | endurance. So I urge the landscape architect to | 3 | like this, people do want some outdoor space, and so |
| 4 | review the trees in conjunction with the engineering | 4 | take that in to consideration. |
| 5 | plans and come back with a more realistic tree | 5 | As it relates to the driveways, all |
| 6 | preservation as to how many existing trees within the | 6 | of the homes are proposed to be side-loaded houses, |
| 7 | site can actually be saved. | 7 | and the concern that we have is that the back-up |
| 8 | From a standpoint of site plan, and | 8 | maneuvering, the distance from the garage to the edge |
| 9 | this is based on information I received two hours | 9 | of the driveway needs to be increased in order for a |
| 10 | ago, Village of Hoffman Estates gave a comment | 10 | car to back out of their garage and then pull into |
| 11 | Freeman Road is under the jurisdiction of Hoffman | 11 | the street. As drawn, I don't believe a car can make |
| 12 | Estates adjacent to the site, north of Mundhank is | 12 | that movement. They'd be forced to back up entirely |
| 13 | under the jurisdiction of the Cook County Highway | 13 | from the garage into the street. And so with |
| 1 | Department. So Freeman Road is a dual jurisdictional | 14 | maneuvering with some auto turns to prove those can |
| 15 | in this area, but this is in a location where access | 15 | work out, this car should be pulling on to the street |
| 16 | is controlled by Hoffman Estates, not the Cook County | 16 | from the standpoint of having side-loaded garage. |
| 17 | Highway Department with respect to Freeman Road. | 17 | I don't know if the Fire Protection |
| 18 | Mundhank is Cook County Highway Department. | 18 | District was approached from a standpoint of roadway |
| 19 | A comment that was received by the | 19 | widths. They are adequate for fire protection. |
| 20 | Village of Hoffman Estates, Jim Donohue, is that | 20 | There was some radius to be labeled on the plan to |
| 21 | Hoffman Estates does not support a single access to | 21 | make sure they are in conformance with South |
| 22 | Freeman Road. So that is something that the | 22 | Barrington, as well as fire truck turning. The one |
| 23 | developer is going to have to work out and their | 23 | question that I would have for the Fire Protection |
| 24 | traffic consultant to satisfy Hoffman Estates as to | 24 | District is the entry roadway width. It's currently |
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| 1 | what that comment entails, if it means secondary full | 1 | noted as 15 feet back of curve to back of curve, 14 |
| 2 | access or restricted access to Mundhank, two access | 2 | feet face to face. It just depends on the width. |
| 3 | points to Freeman, emergency access to Mundhank. I | 3 | These are adjacent houses, and they are considered a |
| 4 | don't know what -- where their comments come from. | 4 | fire lane, not an access drive. So from a standpoint |
| 5 | They gave it to us right before the meeting. | 5 | of that, I defer to fire department to make a ruling |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Okay. | 6 | on the width of the entry road. They are providing a |
|  | MR. SHAFFER: From the standpoint of the site | 7 | median. One question we do have for the Petitioner |
| 8 | plan in general, the data table that was presented in | 8 | is if there is ever -- was -- Is there any |
| 9 | the submittal package includes front, side and rear | 9 | consideration of making this a gated community? |
| 10 | yard setbacks. And the rear yard setback is listed | 10 | MR. PRASHANTHA: We are evaluating that. |
| 11 | as 25 feet, and that's consistent with some of the | 11 | That is one of the things. I will also saw this |
| 12 | other zoning within the Village of South Barrington. | 12 | comment from Hoffman Estates a couple of hours back. |
| 1 | But there's one thing that really concerns me and | 13 | I was just talking to my engineer to see how we can |
| 14 | that is in my experience, South Barrington does not | 14 | work this out and what are their concerns in terms |
| 15 | allow any accessory items within the setback area, | 15 | of, you know, what is first to have just one access. |
| 16 | including patios, decks, anything in that manner, and | 16 | I'll be talking with my traffic consultant to talk |
| 17 | those items are illustrated on architectural plans. | 17 | with the village engineer. |
| 18 | They are not illustrated on the site plan. And so | 18 | MR. SHAFFER: So my comment on the gated |
| 19 | some of these houses are -- butt up right against the | 19 | community is the gated community allow for private |
| 20 | 25 setback. It would be impossible for any patios | 20 | streets, not allow for public streets, but there are |
| 21 | and livable outdoor situation. I think that is | 21 | certain turning that needs to be accounted for, and |
| 22 | something that needs to be reviewed and addressed as | 22 | so if there is a consideration now or in the future |
| 23 | part of the -- as going to the public hearing process | 23 | for gated access, not necessary it has to be built |
| 24 | between now, village board and going to the public | 24 | now, but plan for it, which may impact the number of |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | lots and density. | 1 | plan really should be nailed down to address |
| 2 | I talked about the rear yard | 2 | concerns, the Plan Commission concerns, Hoffman |
| 3 | setback. I want to bring your attention specifically | 3 | Estates, et cetera before -- to try to save money and |
| 4 | lots 5, 7, 28 and 29. They have been 25 feet | 4 | time from all sides. |
| 5 | setbacks dimensions adjacent high water line | 5 | There are some engineering things |
| 6 | elevation which is the rear property line. So the | 6 | that are easy to revise, such as utility structures |
| 7 | lot line should be revised to reflect a building | 7 | shown on some of the driveways, just need to be |
| 8 | setback from the property line, not the high water | 8 | tweaked to get them out of driveways and into |
| 9 | line. | 9 | landscaping areas or just to minimize future |
| 10 | The grading on this site is | 10 | maintenance |
| 11 | significant, meaning from an elevation perspective. | 11 | From a standpoint of grading, a |
| 12 | There is a lot of changes in grading. If -- They're | 12 | concept grading plan and storm sewer plan is |
| 13 | going to be retaining walls in some way shape and | 13 | provided, as well as a concept sanitary sewer and |
| 14 | form, and a lot of them are custom homes, we can't -- | 14 | water layout. We are suggesting from a grading plan |
| 15 | we don't know what those retaining walls would look | 15 | that additional spot elevations are needed because of |
| 16 | like for some custom homes until we know what the | 16 | the complexity of the grading changes on this job. |
| 17 | architecture and the footprint, walk out, look out, | 17 | Contours, proposed contours, are not a checklist |
| 18 | et cetera is going to be. But from the standpoint if | 18 | requirement for the preliminary PUD submittal, but |
| 19 | there is any known retaining walls around the | 19 | item 17 in the PUD says other information, depending |
| 20 | perimeter of the site and the out lots in the | 20 | on scale and unique characteristics of a particular |
| 21 | stormwater management areas, those should be shown on | 21 | PUD other authorities may waive or modify any of |
| 22 | the site plan. | 22 | these conditions or requirements. I'm highly |
| 23 | The -- We're recommen | 23 | recommending that one of the contours be provided |
| 24 | site plan include indicators as to which lots are | 24 | during the preliminary stage to help gauge what are |
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| 1 | standard, which lots are look out and which lots are | 1 | some of these rear yards going to look like. For |
| 2 | walkout. They are illustrated on the engineering | 2 | example, along the west property line, there is a 25 |
| 3 | plans but from a PUD perspective, the controlling | 3 | foot setback with approximately 5 to 8 foot elevation |
| 4 | document is the site plan. So having all of the | 4 | difference, and how that's going to be made up, |
| 5 | information contained in a single document is | 5 | whether it's going to be made up of a look out, what |
| 6 | beneficial to the applicant, as well as the village | 6 | it's going made up with. So we have a better |
| 7 | for housekeeping purposes in the future, et cetera. | 7 | understanding where the drainage is going to go, |
| 8 | We're recommending that the | 8 | drainage ditches, overflow routes and et cetera. |
| 9 | applicant review the locations of the mailbox | 9 | That will just help the project long term. And if we |
| 10 | clusters. What I see on the plans is that there are | 10 | get into final engineering, I would rather have these |
| 11 | two. There are 32 homes, only two mailbox clusters. | 11 | comments known at the front end so that if we get to |
| 12 | It's a long way for lot 1 to walk to get their mail | 12 | final engineering and grading issue that may impact |
| 13 | or drive their car, get out of the car, get the mail | 13 | density, development, site plans, that they're |
| 14 | and come out. So I'm suggesting a consideration give | 14 | addressed now. |
| 15 | to increase -- strategically increasing the number of | 15 | Just from an engineering |
| 16 | mailbox clusters to minimize the walking path for | 16 | perspective, the applicant is proposing to do volume |
| 17 | those that want to walk and get their mail instead of | 17 | control at the bottom of the basins. So just to |
| 18 | drive to get the mail. | 18 | visual for people in South Barrington a wetland |
| 19 | The comments that I'm making | 19 | bottom type of basin where it would always be -- when |
| 20 | upcoming, they all go towards the site plan, and the | 20 | it rains that has water in that's meant to infiltrate |
| 21 | site plan is the key document. I'm not suggesting | 21 | into the ground going to the under-drain system. The |
| 22 | that other engineering documents be updated. I just | 22 | engineering plans do not includes sections. Those |
| 23 | feel the site plan, based on the comments from | 23 | should be provided to show conformance with the MWRD |
| 24 | Hoffman Estates, the setbacks, the DXA stuff the site | 24 | but also with respect to the berm wall setback. So |
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Mundhank Road requires a certain setback from Mundhank (sic). I'm not saying these plans are in conformance. I don't know the answer to the question, essentially it will clear that up. With Freeman Road being under Hoffman Estates's jurisdiction, I'm not aware of Hoffman Estates having to follow the Illinois berm law setback. It's typically an IDOT and county requirement. But that would just impact the detention pond from that perspective.

From the standpoint of the utility plan, we are recommending a storm sewer be added to the utility plan to show storm and sanitary and water. So we can review conflicting utility paths, et cetera.

More of a technical nature, Geotech was not submitted. I know Geotech is in process. We do need to determine what the ground water elevation is in this area. It does impact the stormwater management basin that's being provided from a standpoint of it may have to be raised or it could be lowered, depending on the results of those studies. One point of clarification is because there are wetlands onsite and offsite water coming onto the
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site, the nomographic method cannot be used for final engineering calculations. They are submission for big picture preliminary, but the final engineering on this needs to more detailed drainage analysis that may or may not increase or decrease the requirements. The MWRD requires a 2 foot offset from the high water table. The Village of South Barrington has a more stringent requirement with the bottom infiltration facilities of 4 feet. I don't object to 2 feet for a stormwater management basin if it was a septic type of system. The village is 4 feet. But from the standpoint of volume control, the ordinance states unless otherwise approved by the enforcement officer. MWRD code, which is adopted by the Village of South Barrington, does allow 2 feet for separate sewer areas, $31 / 2$ feet for combined sewer areas.

From a standpoint of wetlands, I know the village is going to engage the services of Hey \& Associates to verify the wetland boundaries and how the wetlands report. But from a stormwater management perspective, there are two, potentially three wetlands onsite. The more dense one, number one, having an area of 0.01 acres. That is -- If that is impacted, it's exempt, because it's under a
tenth of an acre. Wetland two, however, is . 12 acres. It's in the southeast quad of the site located adjacent to Freeman Road and the perimeter of the property. And the MWRD's code is very specific in that the applicant needs to show that there are no practical alternatives to wetland modification before the wetland can be impacted. And so this goes to why is this wetland being impacted, are there alternatives that could eliminate some of the wetlands, for example, reduction in density to shift the basins to the south to preserve that wetland. Arguments could be made on the quality of the wetland, but there is, based on my review of the wetland maps, there is wetland on the other side of Freeman. That all needs to be addressed between now and the formal public hearing and review of documents. There needs to be some sort of alternative analysis to basically prove to the village there are alternatives to modifying the wetland.

Another item related to the wetland and stormwater management basin is that the MWRD requires a 100 foot setback from a volume control to an underground tank. So the proposed method of
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sanitary sewer treatment needs to take that into consideration in its placement, which may modify the plan. The applicant mentioned this is a below grade treatment facility. If any portion is above grade, information should be provided as to what does that look like, what kind of screen is being proposed.

Because this site is adjacent to the Forest Preserve District, MWRD requires that the Forest Preserve review the plans. I know you're not impacting the Forest Preserve. You are not doing the work on the Forest Preserve, but they must be approached because there is a stormwater discharge into the Forest Preserve.

And with that, and along with
Hoffman estates, please have your reports talk specifically about effluent from the sanitary sewer system and how that meets Department of Public Health requires, IEPA requirements and South Barrington and Hoffman Estates requirements.

The wetland report does make an opinion that the wetlands onsite are isolated with a notation that the existing pond in the middle of the site could possibly be reviewed as not a wetland. This has to be looked into. I'm an expert on this.
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Hey \& Associates can weigh in on that. It's my understanding the applicant has submitted U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, and they are waiting for that opinion, if any of the three areas are under the jurisdiction of the Corps of Engineers.

As it relates to the schedule, what is submitted is not feasible. So if you could update that, for example, it contemplates starting grating on October 2nd, which is not realistic.

MR. PRASHANTHA: If we get a permit, we will.
MR. SHAFFER: We haven't even started the public hearing process yet, so... Starting on October 2nd is not feasible, but there are other areas that should be pushed out. For example, landscaping is proposed in December, that's not going to happen.

MR. PRASHANTHA: Yeah.
MR. SHAFFER: So if you could revise the schedule prior to going into the public hearing.

That's the best I can do in a week.
CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Nice job.
COMMISSIONER ABRI: Nice job.
CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: And that information you're going to give it to him, right, the written
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thing. So you're not going to wait for the court reporter.

MR. SHAFFER: Yes, I will issue a permit review memo, as stated, summarizes the items that I discussed tonight

CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Good. Okay. Well, I think -- So you have before us information on the proposed subdivision. I see a lot of people out here. Does anybody else with -- Would you like to talk about this at all or any comments to us?

This is not a public hearing, but we would welcome any comments that you have.

MR. PRASHANTHA: I mean, Hoffman Estates is requesting to have a secondary entrance is kind of a closer -- closed our site plan that we have. We'll look and see what is the reason not to have access.

CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yeah.
MR. PRASHANTHA: Except for everything else, we'll address it.

CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yeah. I mean, I think the big thing is, you know, Hoffman Estates having jurisdiction over this. So I think you just need to sit with them and talk to them about it. And I mean, you know, all of the things that need to be done is,
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|  | Page 50 |  | Page 52 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. PRASHANTHA: The front it goes, you know, | 1 | have the site plan? |
| 2 | 25 to 30.25 is the minimum. | 2 | MR. ELIAS: So the depth of this illustration |
| 3 | MR. SHAFFER: The data tells us 20 . | 3 | that I got some of the dimensions may not be |
| 4 | MR. PRASHANTHA: 20 is the minimum. | 4 | accurately depicted, I'm just going off eye not |
| 5 | MR. ELIAS: What is your lot dimension again? | 5 | scale, but you have the garage depth is at least 21. |
| 6 | MR. PRASHANTHA: $50 \times 50$ with a garage in the | 6 | MR. PRASHANTHA: I have a 40 foot lot size. |
| 7 | front. | 7 | So I could fit this. |
| 8 | MR. ELIAS: No, the lot dimension. | 8 | MR. ELIAS: Here, just to illustrate. From |
| 9 | MR. PRASHANTHA: Lot -- It's not one | 9 | here to this point, the depth of that is say 20 to 22 |
| 10 | particular lot size. The minimum lot size that I | 10 | feet, depending on the depth of your garage. Your |
| 11 | have is somewhere around 9,000-- 7,500. The maximum | 11 | front door is 3 feet plus the side lights 4 feet. So |
| 12 | we have is 16,500 . So the minimum lot size is 17 . | 12 | just eyeballing this, this looks like it's about 12 |
| 13 | The maximum is 38 . | 13 | feet coming out of the side of the garage. So I |
| 14 | MR. ELIAS: Do you have lot dimensions | 14 | believe the driveway is more over here to make that |
| 15 | though, like a minimum lot width or depth? | 15 | exit out of the garage. |
| 16 | MR. PRASHANTHA: Yeah. It varies from one | 16 | MR. PRASHANTHA: What is your question? |
| 17 | lot to another. So you have -- I don't have the | 17 | MR. ELIAS: My point is that you're only |
| 18 | exact dimensions per se, but I have the whole square | 18 | showing a 12 foot wide driveway when in fact to |
| 19 | feet. Minimum we have is 7,500 , maximum 16,000 . | 19 | maneuver a car out of a driveway you need at least 25 |
| 20 | MR. ELIAS: So based on those setbacks, I | 20 | feet. |
| 21 | think to Chris's point, a ranch house is probably | 21 | MR. PRASHANTHA: You have to look at it from |
| 22 | going to be somewhere without the garage maybe 1,500 | 22 | two points, this is a rendering. I would look at the |
| 23 | square feet. | 23 | site plan. That is actual that I go off of. Let's |
| 24 | MR. PRASHANTHA: $25,50 \times 50$. | 24 | say if you say okay, my door size is something, it's |
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| 1 | MR. ELIAS: I don't think that math is | 1 | not gong to make any sense. I have to go to the |
| 2 | working out though. I can't calculate it exactly, | 2 | actual site plan. |
| 3 | because I don't have the lot size dimensions, but you | 3 | MR. ELIAS: So what is your driveway width in |
| 4 | know, 7,000 square foot lot -- | 4 | front of your garage? |
| 5 | MR. PRASHANTHA: We have a site plan that we | 5 | MR. PRASHANTHA: So I have 20 minimum. |
| 6 | put together, the site plan minimum, and the lot size | 6 | MR. ELIAS: So if this is a rendering |
| 7 | we put designed was 65 and 50 in the front part. So | 7 | depicting what your proposal is. |
| 8 | you will have $50 \times 50$ minimum. So $50 \times 50$ will give | 8 | MR. PRASHANTHA: I could show you. |
| 9 | you 2,500 square feet. You will have 2,500 square | 9 | MR. ELIAS: I would say that this would look |
| 10 | feet ranch. | 10 | entirely different if the driveway was over here. So |
| 11 | MR. ELIAS: So on a 7,000 square foot lot, | 11 | the whole front yard would be a driveway. |
| 12 | you have to subtract the garage, which is 1,200 | 12 | Natalie, is a 25 feet width |
| 13 | square feet. You have to subtract the driveway. | 13 | required? |
| 14 | MR. PRASHANTHA: It's not going to be 1,200 | 14 | MS. KARNEY: Bare minimum. |
| 15 | for that. | 15 | MR. ELIAS: 25 feet. |
| 16 | MR. ELIAS: Without the lot dimensions, I | 16 | MS. KARNEY: 30 is preferred. |
| 17 | can't really give you the calculations, but I believe | 17 | MR. ELIAS: So if this is 25 feet, then |
| 18 | it seems like it's off a little bit. | 18 | really all of this is not here. The driveway would |
| 19 | And the other point I wanted to | 19 | be here. So your whole front yard is a driveway, and |
| 20 | point out, Todd Shaffer made a comment about the | 20 | I think an illustration of that would -- depicting |
| 21 | driveways and -- Could you switch to the screen? | 21 | that correctly would give a better idea of your site |
| 22 | The one where it has the front | 22 | plan is really something that the village would want. |
| 23 | elevation of the house. | 23 | MR. PRASHANTHA: We did submit the actual |
| 24 | MR. PRASHANTHA: If you could put -- Do you | 24 | kind of architectural plan. This is a rendering that |
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we proposed in the beginning.
MR. ELIAS: Sure. I understand. But the rendering is a depiction of the site plan, correct?

MR. PRASHANTHA: We have four different sizes. Some have 70 feet. I have 50 is the minimum. I have 60. I can fit this in 70 foot lot.

MR. ELIAS: I'm merely speaking of the driveway width that is depicted in your illustration, which is misleading.

CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: So this is just preliminary. They're just showing stuff. This is really not a submittal. This is a starting point. It's a jump off. Todd's given him a lot of thoughts, including the driveway. So they're aware of it. They have to show us.

MR. SHAFFER: Chairman, a comment on the driveway just to close out the driveway issue.

Does the village allow driveways to encroach on the sideyard setback?

CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Yes. Always have. Always has. Mine does.

MR. JASKOT: Ray Jaskot, 12 Mohawk Drive.
We live in the subdivision right next to this property. Some concerns are I guess
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wastewater and what kind of affect that would have on our subdivision.

The other is what kind of impact are we talking, 17 wells in such a small area, what kind of impact will that have on the well water for our subdivision and the surrounding community? How much of it is pulling out? And it's going to affect our wells going to go dry because you are pulling so much water out, especially for you are gearing for five bedrooms, that's a lot of water.

MR. PRASHANTHA: Not all of the houses will be five bedrooms.

MR. JASKOT: I mean, you're sizing the -- you are sizing the septic, so you've got to size the well for that too. If you're not, you have a problem.

MR. PRASHANTHA: I mean, I don't know we can size based on bedroom. You can only go the depth. We are not going to go -- you know, at one point we did look at having one big commercial thousand feet well. What we think is from the demand that we see in other places that he were building, it's going to be mainly empty nesters, not going to be like big gigantic homes.

MR. JASKOT: You can't guarantee it. What
happens if you start running into well problems?
MR. PRASHANTHA: If you think we're going to build 5,000 square feet home on all of this, then our calculations are wrong.

MR. JASKOT: You say you can build it. Every single one could be a 5,000 square feet home.

MR. PRASHANTHA: Based on the sales that you see, I don't see bigger homes are sold. We are getting this from mainly empty nesters and the people who want to have ranches are, you know, maintenancefree subdivisions.

MR. JASKOT: There's no covenant that says they have to be that. That's our concern.

CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: So I think what we're going to base the discussion on for that when we get to that will be from the village engineer and the village consultants, and we very definitely want to make sure we're not robbing from Peter to pay Paul. So we will hear from them, and we will have their analysis of the whole thing.

MR. JASKOT: And the waste water drainage too, because we have flooding --

CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Right. I think from the way I understand it, it sounded like the wastewater
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is going to go into a detention, which is on Freeman, and then out of Freeman into the swale. Did I hear that correctly?

MS. KARNEY: That's the way it's shown on the plan, correct.

CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: I think it's going away from your subdivision, but it's really preliminary. I haven't seen enough to like -- It's very preliminary.

MR. JASKOT: You're also reducing the amount of ground available with the density of housing is not the absorption rate and not enough ground to absorb up that ground water either. You have going to have a lot more runoff.

CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Any time there's a subdivision being built, you're reducing the amount of, you know, soil that can absorb rainwater. Absolutely. Absolutely.

CHAIRMAN MCCOMBIE: Is there anybody else that would like to have -- There's going to be a hearing on this, a preliminary hearing on this, and I don't know when it's scheduled for. There's a lot of things they have to work on, and we're going to go through all of the questions, and you'll be able to
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| consultant 15:15 | covenants 20:8 | 34:18 37:5 | dimensions 38:5 | 54:8,14,17,17 |
| 34:24 37:16 | craze 12:23 | 45:17 | 50:14,18 51:3 | driveways 36:5 |
| consultants 12:3 | crazy 26:14 | depending 40:19 | 51:16 52:3 | 40:7,8 51:21 |
| 56:17 58:4 | Cricket 4:14 | 42:22 52:10 | direction 33:4 | 54:18 |
| contained 39:5 | cross 14:18 | depends | directly 11:23 | dry 55:8 |
| contemplates | CSR 59:15,15 | 9:2 | discharge 31:6,8 | dual 34:14 |
| 46:8 | curious 4:20 | de | 45:12 | DXA 39:24 |
| contingent | currently 12:1 | 54.8 | discuss 1 |  |
| 14:24 15:9 | 36:24 | depicting 53 | 13:5 | E |
| 17:18,24 | curve 37 | 53:20 | discussed 15:15 | e-mail 7:20 |
| contours 40:17 | custom 19:14 | depiction 54:3 | 47:5 | easier 27:18 |
| 40:17,23 | 21:3 22:3 | depth 50:15 52:2 | discussion 4:14 | East 32:11 |
| control 27:15 | 23:15,17 25:4 | 52:5,9,10 | 56:15 | easy 40:6 |
| 41:17 43:12 | 26:9 38:14,16 | 55:17 | distance 36:8 | edge $36: 8$ |
| 44:23 | customer 22:12 | design 15:3 | distributed 7:19 | effluent 45:16 |
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| egress 5:15 | 41:15,22 43:2 | experience 26:6 | 43:1,3 | fountain 29:17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| eight 18:19 22:9 | 43:3 | 28:5 35:14 | finalize 25:12 | four 12:2 29:9 |
| 28:22 | Engineers 46:3 | 36:2 | financial 28:16 | 54:4 |
| either 57:13 | 6:5 | experim | find 33:18 | Fowler 14:15 |
| elevation 14:19 | entails 35:1 | 26:11 | finish 29:4 | Fox 2:19 3:9 7:4 |
| 15:4 25:6 38:6 | entertain 26:1 | expert 45:24 | fire 29:12 36:17 | 7:5,16 8:13 9:4 |
| 38:11 41:3 | entirely 36:12 | explained 4:19 | 36:19,22,23 | 9:5 10:1,2 11:1 |
| 42:18 51:23 | 53:10 | extends 14:10 | 37:4,5 48:1 | 11:2 17:5,6 |
| elevations 25:9 | entrance 5:16 | extension 14:8 | first 3:21 4:8 5:8 | 18:6,7 27:19 |
| 29:8 40:15 | 29:11 47:14 | 15:23 | 10:13 13:2,12 | 48:18,23 |
| elevator 23:6 | entry 36:24 37:6 | eye 52:4 | 23:21 25:2 | frame 21:14 |
| Elias 11:16,18 | Erin 2:22 | eyeballing 52:12 | 31:7 37:15 | framing 23:11 |
| 11:19,20 12:11 | especially 27:21 |  | fit 23:20 26:15 | free 19:15 21:9 |
| 12:14,21 13:3 | 55:9 | F | 26:21 48:13 | 28:8,22 30:9 |
| 13:13 18:19,21 | essentially 42:4 | face 37:2,2 | 52:7 54:6 | 56:11 |
| 19:2 49:15,17 | estates 21:4,21 | facilities 43:9 | five $29: 15$ 30:5 | Freeman 1:16 |
| 49:19,24 50:5 | 21:22 34:10,12 | facility 45:4 | 30:23 31:1 | 2:8 19:8,14 |
| 50:8,14,20 | 34:16,20,21,24 | fact 52:18 | 55:9,12 | 21:22 29:11 |
| 51:1,11,16 | 37:12 39:24 | far 15:4 23:1 | flat 26:17 | 31:10 34:11,14 |
| 52:2,8,17 53:3 | 40:3 42:6 | favor 9:22 58:18 | flooding 56:22 | 34:17,22 35:3 |
| 53:6,9,15,17 | 45:15,19 47:13 | feasible $28: 15$ | floodplains | 42:5 44:3,15 |
| 54:2,7 | 47:21 | 6:7,13 | 13:20 | 57:1,2 |
| eliminate 44:9 | Estates's | feature 24:17 | floor 23:21,24 | Friday 32:17 |
| emergency 35:3 | et 38:18 39:7 | feel 21:15 $23: 8$ | 25:7,8 | front 20:7 35:9 |
| empty 28:7 | 40:3 41:8 | 24:17 39:23 | floors $26: 22$ | 41:11 49:19,24 |
| 55:22 56:9 | 42:15 | feels 22:16 | follow 42:7 | 50:1,7 51:7,22 |
| Enclave 10:17 | evaluating 37:10 | feet 21:3 $22: 9$ | foot 41:3,3 43:6 | 52:11 53:4,11 |
| 11:23,24 14:9 | everybody 3:2 | 28:19 35:11 | 44:23 51:4,11 | 53:19 |
| 16:9 48:9 | 3:23 7:11 8:2 | 37:1,2 38:4 | 52:6,18 54:6 | full $35: 1$ |
| Encore 14:12,13 | 8:12 9:14 | 43:9,9,11,15 | footprint 38:17 | further 18:23 |
| encroach 54:19 | 10:12 20:4 | 43:16 49:6,11 | forced 26:24 | future 37:22 |
| ends 33:17 | 23:4 | 49:16,21,22 | 36:12 | 39:7 40:9 |
| endurance 34 | exact 50 | 50:19,23 51:9 | foregoing 59:7 |  |
| enforcement | exactly 23:2 | 51:10,13 52:10 | Forest 21:4,5 | G |
| 43:13 | 27:16 51:2 | 52:11,11,13,20 | 45:8,9,10,11 | gallon 31:23 |
| engage 43:18 | example 26:16 | 53:12,15,17 | 45:13 | garage 36:8,10 |
| engineer 2:23 | 41:2 44:10 | 54:5 55:19 | forget 14:11 | 36:13,16 49:5 |
| 13:10 17:18,24 | 46:8,14 49:8 | 56:3,6 | form 20:12 | 50:6,22 51:12 |
| 19:16 29:12 | excited 21:23 | Field 4:14 | 38:14 | 52:5,10,13,15 |
| 37:13,17 56:16 | executive $4: 15$ | figure 24:1 | formal 44:16 | 53:4 |
| engineering | exempt 43:24 | 25:15 | former 14:11 | gated 37:9,18,19 |
| 12:5,6 15:1,10 | existing 13:15 | fill 4:21 $22: 7$ | forms 14:3 | 37:23 |
| 17:19,19 32:11 | 18:17 33:9 | final 11:21 14:16 | forth 16:14 | gauge 40:24 |
| 34:4 39:2,22 | 34:6 45:22 | 15:10 17:19,19 | forward 12:24 | gearing 55:9 |
| 40:5 41:10,12 | exit 52:15 | 25:12 41:10,12 | 19:2,4,9 | general 35:8 |


| Geotech 42:16 | 11:14 12:23 | Haeger 32:11 | 25:5 28:1,5,6 | impossible 34:1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 42:17 | 14:17 15:16,24 | happen 46:16 | 28:22,23,23 | 35:20 |
| Geotechnical | 16:1 19:14 | happens 56:1 | 29:5 36:6 | in/right 21:21 |
| 14:4 | 20:1,10 21:1 | hard 25:15 28:4 | 38:14,16 39:11 | include 38:24 |
| getting 4:21 8:1 | 21:15,24 22:5 | Health 45:17 | 55:23 56:8 | included 5:17 |
| 48:2 56:9 58:4 | 23:15,23 24:6 | hear 56:19 57:2 | homework 5:16 | 14:7 |
| gigantic 55:23 | 24:10,15 25:6 | 58:2 | hour 2:15 | includes 33:11 |
| Gillis 2:19 3:10 | 25:24 26:14 | heard 12:12 | hours 34:9 37:12 | 35:9 41:22 |
| 3:11 4:3 6:15 | 27:6,7,10,17 | 13:1 | house $24: 12,15$ | including 13:21 |
| 6:20,21 8:24 | 28:23 29:16,21 | hearing 3:3 | 24:17 25:22,23 | 35:16 54:14 |
| 9:1,20 10:3,4 | 30:2,7,14 31:3 | 10:14,18 16:15 | 26:4 27:3,11 | incorporated |
| 10:19 11:3,4 | 31:6 33:4,18 | 16:19 20:2 | 30:23 31:23 | 14:1 |
| 16:7 17:7,8 | 34:23 35:23,24 | 32:21 35:23 | 49:2 50:21 | incorrectly |
| 18:8,9 27:10 | 38:13,18 41:1 | 36:1 44:16 | 51:23 | 48:20 |
| 27:14 30:12 | 41:4,5,6,7,21 | 46:12,19 47:11 | housekeeping | increase 32:6 |
| 31:21 32:3 | 43:18 46:15,19 | 57:21,21 58:22 | 39:7 | 39:15 43:5 |
| 58:17 | 46:24 47:1 | 58:24 | houses 19:19 | increased 36:9 |
| give 11:14 14:23 | 48:1,3 49:12 | HEARING/M... | 29:23 31:24 | increasing 39:15 |
| 20:19 22:14,15 | 50:22 51:14 | 1:7 2:3 | 35:19 36:6 | indicated 5:1,7 |
| 23:15 39:14 | 52:4 55:7,8,18 | Held 2:15 | 37:3 48:11 | indicators 38:24 |
| 46:24 51:8,17 | 55:21,22 56:2 | help 40:24 41:9 | 55:11 | individual 27:3 |
| 53:21 | 56:15 57:1,6 | Неу 43:19 46:1 | housing 57:11 | infiltrate 41:20 |
| given 5:23 6:5 | 57:13,20,23 | 48:2 | hundred 22:2 | infiltration 43:8 |
| 54:13 | 58:4 | Higgins 1:10 2:5 |  | infinity 48:24 |
| gives 28:20 | gong 53:1 | 10:16 | I | information |
| giving 23:19 | good 16:3 17:15 | high 38:5,8 43:6 | idea 53:21 | 19:10 20:4 |
| 25:16 49:21 | 23:16 31:15 | highly 40:22 | IDOT 13:23 | 34:9 39:5 |
| 58:3 | 47:6 | Highway 34:13 | 14:1 42:8 | 40:19 45:5 |
| glad 16:1 | grade 45:3,4 | 34:17,18 | IEPA 31:16 | 46:23 47:7 |
| glass 12:22,22 | grading 34:2 | HOA 30:11 | 45:18 | infringement |
| Glen 31:22 | 38:10,12 40:1 | Hoffman 21:21 | Illinois 1:10 | 13:19 |
| go 13:7,16 14:19 | 40:12,14,16 | 21:22 34:10,11 | 2:16 10:16 | inside 26:7 |
| 20:1,10,12 | 41:12 | 34:16,20,21,24 | 32:12 42:7 | inspect 31:5 |
| 22:17 24:11,12 | grating 46:8 | 37:12 39:24 | 59:1,5 | interested 12:9 |
| 25:11 28:18 | great 16:10 | 40:2 42:5,6 | illustrate 52:8 | 23:10 |
| 30:13 31:17 | ground 41:21 | 45:15,19 47:13 | illustrated 35:17 | Internally 22:16 |
| 32:22 33:4 | 42:18 57:11,12 | 47:21 | 35:18 39:2 | investing 26:3 |
| 39:20 41:7 | 57:13 | home 12:10 21:7 | illustration 52:2 | 26:10 |
| 49:5,11 52:23 | guarantee 55:24 | 22:13 23:4 | 53:20 54:8 | island 29:17 |
| 53:1 55:8,17 | guess 5:20 25:19 | 28:15 29:2,7 | impact 21:20 | isolated 45:21 |
| 55:18 57:1,23 | 54:24 | 30:5 31:2,22 | 37:24 41:12 | issue 41:12 47:3 |
| goes 25:3,13 | guidance 33:8 | 49:12 56:3,6 | 42:9,19 55:3,5 | 54:17 |
| 27:15 44:7 | guys 28:2 | homes 18:17 | impacted 43:24 | item 3:21 10:13 |
| 50:1 | H | 19:14 22:3,4,6 | 44:7,8 impacting 45:10 | 19:6 40:19 |
| going 7:8,16,17 |  | 22:9 23:18 | impacting 45:10 | 44:21 |
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| items 32:16 | 26:2 28:6 29:9 | largest 27:23 | 29:12 30:20,21 | 30:11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33:12 35:15,17 | 29:15 30:4,17 | late 15:16 | 31:10 33:23 | maintenance |
| 47:4 | 45:6 47:14 | law 42:7 | 45:24 | 19:15 28:7,21 |
|  | 49:2,3,8 53:24 | layout 40:14 | looking 12:24 | 30:9 31:4 |
| J | 55:1,3,4 | leasing 5:4,5 | 19:4 24:1,13 | 40:10 |
| Jaskot 54:22,22 | kinds 25:16 | Leaves 14:11 | 25:20,20 26:1 | maintenance- |
| 55:13,24 56:5 | knock 26:15 | let's 4:8 5:18 8:2 | 27:5,22 48:20 | 56:10 |
| 56:12,21 57:10 | know 5:12 7:24 | 13:4 20:18 | 49:2 | majority 22:8 |
| Jason 14:15 | 12:2,4 14:18 | 21:6 22:12,17 | looks 22:16 | making 37:9 |
| Jim 34:20 | 15:21 16:7 | 23:6 28:23 | 29:10 52:12 | 39:19 |
| job 40:16 46:21 | 20:4,20 21:10 | 30:5 48:16 | loops 29:11 | management |
| 46:22 | 21:16,23 22:14 | 52:23 | lot 5:16 13:17 | 32:15 33:16 |
| Joe 11:18 13:13 | 23:22,24 24:9 | lights 52:11 | 19:13 20:6,9 | 38:21 42:20 |
| July 7:10 8:8,17 | 25:14 26:9,10 | line 13:14 26:12 | 21:8,14 22:15 | 43:10,21 44:22 |
| 10:12 | 26:21,21,22 | 28:11 31:9 | 26:3 27:1 | maneuver 52:19 |
| jump 54:13 | 27:22,22,23 | 38:5,6,7,8,9 | 28:13,15,16 | maneuvering |
| June 4:1,6 | 28:11,12,17,17 | 41:2 | 29:6,6 32:1 | 36:8,14 |
| jurisdiction | 28:19 30:6 | list 21:10 23:5 | 38:7,12,14 | manner 15:6 |
| 34:11,13 42:6 | 31:22 32:6 | 25:3,3 | 39:12 47:8 | 33:24,24 35:16 |
| 46:5 47:22 | 33:17 35:4 | listed 35:10 | 48:14,16 49:8 | maps 13:18 |
| jurisdictional | 36:17 37:15 | little 12:14,16 | 49:10 50:5,8,9 | 44:14 |
| 34:14 | 38:15,16 42:3 | 18:23 20:18 | 50:10,10,12,14 | market 29:5 |
| K | 42:17 43:18 | 22:10 51:18 | 50:15,17 51:3 | materials 20:14 |
| K-n-e | 45:9 47:21,24 | livable 35:21 | 51:4,6,11,16 | math 51:1 |
|  | 48:1,7 50:1 | live 21:24 54:23 | 52:6 54:6,13 | matter 16:20 |
| Karney 2:23 | 51:4 55:16,18 | lived 31:22 | 55:10 57:14,22 | 59:7 |
| 13:8,9,9 14:1 | 56:10 57:17,22 | living 19:4 | lots 12:1,2 18:17 | maximum 50:11 |
| 15:14 31:16 53.14 .1657 .4 | known 38:19 | located 1:16 2 | 18:17 22:7,11 | 50:13,19 |
| 53:14,16 57:4 Kazi $2 \cdot 203 \cdot 12$ | 41:11 | 10:15 19:8 | 22:16 27:11,13 | Mayor 4:19 |
|  | knows 23:4 | 33:15 44:3 | 27:21,23,24 | McCombie 2:18 |
| 3:13 6:22,23 |  | location 34:15 | 28:3,13 31:23 | 3:1,16,17,20 |
| 8:20 9:2,3 10:5 | L | locations 39:9 | 38:1,4,20,24 | 4:4,7,12 5:9,18 |
| 10:6 11:5,6 | labeled 36:20 | logical 15:23 | 39:1,1 48:15 | 6:7,10, 13, 17 |
| 17:9,10,23 | lacking 33:13 | 16:11 | 48:19,22 49:1 | 7:2,3,7,24 8:7 |
| 18:10,11 | laid 21:1 | long 39:12 41:9 | 49:7,8 | 8:10,12,14,19 |
| KEARNY 30:21 | land 5:20,21 | look 3:23 19:2 | Louise 2:19 | 8:21 9:8,9,12 |
| keep 32:6 keeping 28:11 | 11:21 15:24 | 20:10 21:15 | lowered 42:22 | 9:16,19,21 |
| keeping 28:11 | 16:8,12,16,16 | 23:8 24:15,16 |  | 10:9,10,20,22 |
| $28: 19$ 2ept 30.7 | 17:17 19:21 | 25:6,7 29:16 | M | 11:9,10,17 |
| kept 30:7 key 39:21 | landscape 21:16 | 38:15,17 39:1 | mail 39:12,13,17 | 12:8,13,19 |
| key 39:21 | 34:3 | 41:1,5 45:6 | 39:18 | 13:1,4 15:12 |
| Kiernat 2:22 | landscaping | 47:16 48:2 | mailbox 39:9,11 | 15:19 16:6,11 |
| kind 4:20 5:7 | 40:9 46:15 | 52:21,22 53:9 | 39:16 | 16:18,24 17:2 |
| $20: 2422: 19$ | lane 37:4 | 55:19 58:4 | main 14:15,18 | 17:13,14 18:1 |
| 23:1 24:2 25:1 | larger 28:1 | looked 13:13,18 | maintained | 18:3,15,20 |
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| 19:1,3,6,23 | 49:21,22 50:2 | 17:1,11,12 | 59:10 | 28:21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20:17 22:2,21 | 50:4,10,12,15 | 18:2,12,13,14 | nowadays 28:7 | order 33:15 36:9 |
| 24:18,21 29:19 | 50:19 51:6,8 | MWRD 41:23 | number 5:24 | ordinance 14:23 |
| 30:1,19 31:19 | 53:5,14 54:5 | 43:6,14 44:22 | 22:23 32:7 | 43:12 |
| 32:2,8 33:2 | minor 15:2,7 | 45:8 | 37:24 39:15 | organizations |
| 35:6 46:21,23 | minute 9:13 | MWRD's 44:4 | 43:22 | 13:21 |
| 47:6,17,20 | minutes 3:22,24 | N |  | originally 5:10 |
| 48:6,21 54:10 | 4:6 5:19 6:8,11 | N | O | 6:2 15:22,22 |
| 54:20 56:14,23 | 7:8,10 8:8,10 | nailed 40:1 | object 43:9 | ought 5:17 |
| 57:6,15,19 | 8:16 9:10 | name 11:18 | observation | outdoor 35:21 |
| 58:9,12,14,18 | 10:12 | 19:10,11 | 14:17 | 36:3 |
| 58:20,22 | misleading | Narayan 2:20 | October 46:9,13 | outflow 31:5,12 |
| mean 22:8,24 | misunderstand | Natalie 2:23 | offer 22:22 24:6 | outside 24:2 |
| 28:24 47:13,20 | 48:17 | 13:9 30:19 | officer 43:13 | 26:8 |
| 47:23 49:4,7 | model 23:16 | 32:17 53:12 | offset 43:6 | overflow 41:8 |
| 55:13,16 | models 21:2 | nature 33:7 | offsite 42:24 Oh 19.1 |  |
| meaning 38:11 | 22:23 29:9 | 42:16 | Oh 19:1 | P |
| means 35:1 | modification | necessary 37 | okay 3:1 4:7 5:9 | p.m 1:24 2:11,15 |
| meant 41:20 | 44:6 | need 10:11 | 5:18 6:7,10,13 | 58:24 |
| measurements | modify 23:3 | 13:21 19:17 | 6:17 7:7 8:21 | package 35:9 |
| 15:17 | 25:9 40:21 | 23:4 31:4,16 | 9:12,21 10:13 | padel 12:9 |
| median 37:7 | 45:2 | 33:17 40:7 | 11:11 12:8 | page 19:24 |
| meeting 3:4 4:1 | modifying 44:19 | 42:18 47:22, | 13:1,4 15:12 | Palmer 2:23 3:7 |
| 5:6 6:4,11 7:10 | Mohawk 54:22 | 52:19 | 15:19 16:13,18 | 3:9,12,14,16 |
| 7:19 8:3,3,8 | Monday 1:23 | needed 5:17 | 16:21 19:1,5,9 | 3:18 6:9,18,20 |
| 35:5 | 2:10,15 3:5 | 40:15 | 20:17 22:21 | 6:22,24 7:2,4 |
| meetings 9:13 | money 4:22 26:4 | needs 35:22 36:9 | 24:23 31:19 | 7:18 8:6,22,24 |
| 25:2 | 40:3 | 37:21 43:4 | 33:2 35:6 47:6 | 9:2,4,6,8,10,23 |
| meets 14:21 | months 12:7 | 44:5,15,1 | 52:24 58:6 | 10:1,3,5,7,9,23 |
| 45:17 | motion 4:2,3 | 45:1 | old 58:10 | 11:1,3,5,7,9 |
| members 32:24 | 8:16 9:16,17 | nesters 28:7 | omissions 5:7 | 14:13 17:3,5,7 |
| memo 47:4 | 10:18,19 16:21 | 55:22 56:9 | once 24:11,15 | 17:9,11,13 |
| mentioned 4:24 | 16:23 17:22 | new 31:14 58:12 | 25:8 | 18:4,6,8,10,12 |
| 45:3 | 58:14,16 | nice 16:2 46:21 | ones 6: | 18:14 20:13,22 |
| merely 54:7 | move 8:18 17:23 | 46:22 | onsite 14: | parameters |
| method 43:1 | movement 36:12 | nine 22:10 28:22 | 42:24 43:22 | 13:16 |
| 44:24 | multiply 31:24 | nomographic | 45:21 | park 5:5 |
| Michael 2:18 | Mundhank 1:16 | 43:1 | open 10:18 | parking 5:2,15 |
| middle 45:22 | 2:8 19:8,13 | north 13:22 14:8 | 28:20 | Parkway 32:11 |
| million 28:5 | 34:12,18 35:2 | 34:12 | opinion 45:21 | part 13:12 16:8 |
| mind 17:21 | 35:3 42:1,2 | northeast 30:8 | 46:4 | 24:19 35:23 |
| Mine 54:21 | Murarka 2:20 | nosey 18:20 | opposed 58:20 | 51:7 |
| minimize 39:16 | 3:14,15 4:11 | notation 45:22 | option 25:11 | particular 27:1 |
| 40:9 | 6:24 7:1 9:6,7 | noted 37:1 | 26:23 29:3 | 40:20 50:10 |
| minimum 49:10 | 10:7,8 11:7,8 | notes 5:1 33:5 | options 23:24 | party 30:18 |
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| path 39:16 | 37:24 38:22,24 | 25:23 26:3 | 28:12 37:19 | 45:17 46:12,19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| paths 42:14 | 39:4,20,21,23 | 27:4,12,16 | probably 22:9 | 47:11 58:24 |
| patios 35:16,20 | 40:1,2,12,12 | 28:4 29:21 | 23:1 29:15 | PUD 20:15 |
| Paul 56:18 | 40:14 42:12,13 | 30:4,14 31:1 | 50:21 | 32:19 39:3 |
| pay 56:18 | 45:3 47:15 | 32:5 37:10 | problem 55:15 | 40:18,19,21 |
| people 11:12 | 51:5,6 52:1,23 | 46:10,17 47:13 | problems 56:1 | pull 32:23 36:10 |
| 19:3 28:6 29:4 | 53:2,22,24 | 47:18 48:5,15 | proceedings | pulling 36:15 |
| 36:3 41:18 | 54:3 57:5 | 49:3,16,18,20 | 59:6,9 | 55:7,8 |
| 47:8 56:9 | Planning 3:4 | 50:1,4,6,9,16 | process 32:21 | pumps 29:22,24 |
| percent 22:3 | plans 12:6 14:1 | 50:24 51:5,14 | 35:23 36:1 | purposes 39:7 |
| perimeter 38:20 | 14:8,14,16 | 51:24 52:6,16 | 42:17 46:12 | pushed 46:14 |
| 44:3 | 15:1,5,10,16 | 52:21 53:5,8 | 58:5 | put 20:24 21:14 |
| permanent | 23:1 29:15 | 53:23 54:4 | project 14:9 | 22:1 49:1 51:6 |
| 25:13 | 34:5 35:17 | 55:11,16 56:2 | 20:19 41:9 | 51:7,24 |
| permit 14:3 27 | 39:3,10 41:13 | 56:7 58:8 | 58:2 | putting 30:16 |
| 27:8 31:17 | 41:22 42:2 | preferred 53:16 | properly 31:13 |  |
| 46:10 47:3 | 45:9 | preliminary | property 1:9 2:4 | Q |
| permits 27:6 | play 12:22 | 40:18,24 43:3 | 10:15 11:23 | quad 44:2 |
| person 14:5 31:4 | played 12:12 | 54:11 57:7,9 | 18:24 38:6,8 | quality $44: 12$ |
| perspective | please 4:12 | 57:21 | 41:2 44:4 | quarter 48:12 |
| 33:10 38:11 | 45:15 | PRESENT 2:17 | 54:24 | 48:19 49:1 |
| 39:3 41:16 | plus 52:11 | 2:22 | proposal 53:7 | question 4:5,9 |
| 42:10 43:21 | point 14:2 15:13 | presentation | proposed 1:9,15 | 4:15 5:23 7:23 |
| Peter 56:18 | 16:19 23:5 | 1:13 2:6 11:15 | 2:4,7 10:14 | 18:16 31:3 |
| Petitioner 37:7 | 25:7 36:1 | 19:7,24 20:1 | 14:19 19:7 | 36:23 37:7 |
| Pflare 48:8,8,16 | 42:23 50:21 | 20:11 | 24:22 34:2 | 42:4 52:16 |
| 48:24 | 51:19,20 52:9 | presented 35:8 | 36:6 40:17 | questioned 4:17 |
| Phase 10:17 | 52:17 54:12 | presenting | 44:24 45:6 | questions 13:6 |
| 14:9 | 55:18 58:2,9 | 25:10 | 46:15 47:8 | 15:20 16:4 |
| picked 23 | points 35:3 | preserva | 54:1 | 19:17 31:20 |
| picking 27:2 | 52:22 | 33:21,22,23 | proposing 19:19 | 57:24 |
| pickle 12:15,16 | pond 31:7,8 42:9 | 34:6 | 41:16 | ick 32:16 |
| picture 43:3 | 5:22 | preserve 44:11 | protection 36:17 | quorum 3:19,20 |
| pipe 33:15,17 | portion 12:21 | 45:8,9,10,11 | 36:19,23 | uoted 5:3 |
| pitch 26:18 | 45:4 | 45:13 | prove 36:14 | R |
| place 30:15,16 | positive 14:23 | president 4:15 | 44:18 | $\frac{\mathbf{R}}{\text { R 59.4.15 }}$ |
| placement 45:2 | possible 29:7 | pretty 21:9,16 | provided 21:18 | R 59:4,15 <br> racquetball |
| places 55:21 | possibly 45:23 | 24:16 26:7 | 40:13,23 41:23 | racquetball |
| plan 1:1 2:1,12 | potentially | 29:18 | 42:20 45:5 | 12:16 |
| 2:17 7:9 11:21 | 43:21 | price 36:1 | providing 37:6 | radius 36:20 |
| 15:20 17:15,16 | practical 44:6 | principal 32:10 | public 1:7 2:3 | rains 41:20 |
| 21:1,16 25:7,8 | Prashantha | print 20:7 | 3:3 10:14,18 | rainwater 57:17 raised 42:21 |
| 32:14,23 33:1 | 19:11,11 20:24 | printed 20:6 | 16:15,19 20:2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { aised } 42: 21 \\ & \text { aising 28:10 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 33:21,22 34:8 | 22:8,24 23:14 | prior 46:19 | 32:20 35:23,24 | raising 28:10 <br> $\operatorname{Ram} 19: 11$ |
| 35:8,18 36:20 | 24:4,8,20 25:1 | private 19:15,18 | 37:20 44:16 | Ram 19:11 |


| ranch 26:23 | removal 33:21 | 38:15,19 | running 33:14 | seeing 16:18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29:2 50:21 | 33:22 | review 13:21 | 56:1 | 25:15 |
| 51:10 | removed 6:4 | 32:14,16 33:16 | runoff 57:14 | seek 19:20 |
| ranches 49:1 | rendering 24:5 | 34:4 39:9 |  | seeking 19:12 |
| 56:10 | 52:22 53:6,24 | 42:14 44:13,16 | S | seen 7:22 30:21 |
| range 48:13 | 54:3 | 45:9 47:4 | sales 56:7 | 57:8 |
| 49:13 | renderings 21:1 | reviewed 14:15 | sanitary 40:13 | sell 23:18 26:12 |
| rate 4:23 57:12 | report 13:12 | 33:21 35:22 | 42:13 45:1,16 | 27:11,13 28:5 |
| Ray 54:22 | 14:2 43:20 | 45:23 | SANTI 59:4,15 | send 14:3 |
| read 7:118 | 45:20 | reviewing | satisfy 34:24 | sending 24:10 |
| 20:8 | reported 59:6 | reviews 15:9 | save 34:1 40:3 | sense 16:10 |
| ready 4:22 | reporter 47:2 | revise 40:6 | saved 34:1,7 | 28:16 53:1 |
| realistic 34:5 | 59:5 | 46:18 | saw 4:16 37:11 | sent 7:20 10:12 |
| 46:9 | reports 31:11 | revised 38:7 | saying 7:21 42:2 | separate 30:3 |
| really 5:21 16:3 | 45:15 | revisions 25:12 | says 22:12 40:19 | 43:15 |
| 17:16 22:24 | request 11:21 | rezoning 1:9 2:4 | 56:12 | separately 3:24 |
| 25:19 29:14 | requested 21:17 | 10:14 | scale 40:20 52:5 | 27:7 |
| 35:13 40:1 | requesting | right 6:6 13:5 | scenarios 20:19 | September 1:23 |
| 51:17 53:18,22 | 21:20 47:14 | 15:13 21:5,20 | Schaumburg | 2:10,15 3:5 |
| 54:12 57:7 | required 53:13 | 25:1 29:4 35:5 | 26:17 32:12 | septic 19:15,15 |
| rear 35:9,10 | requirement | 35:19 46:24 | schedule 46:6,19 | 28:12,12 30:2 |
| 38:2,6 41:1 | 40:18 42:8 | 48:2,5,16 | scheduled 57:22 | 30:10,13 43:10 |
| 49:17 | 43:8 | 54:23 56:23 | scope 20:3 | 55:14 |
| reason 47:16 | requiremen | 58:3 | scratch 21:13 | service 30:8 |
| received 32:16 | 14:22 29:1 | right-of-way | screen 20:23 | services 43:18 |
| 34:9,19 | 40:22 43:5 | 33:11 | 45:6 51:21 | set 23:16 |
| recommendati... | 45:18,19 | road 1:10 2:5,16 | se $31: 1850: 18$ | setback 35:10,15 |
| 13:24 15:8 | requires 42:1 | 10:16 19:18 | second 4:4,8,8 | 35:20 38:3,8 |
| 32:21 | 43:6 44:23 | 31:10 34:11,14 | 4:10,11 6:16 | 41:3,24 42:1,7 |
| recommending | 45:8,18 | 34:17,22 37:6 | 8:19,20 9:19 | 44:23 54:19 |
| 38:23 39:8 | residential 1:15 | 42:1,5 44:3 | 9:20 10:20,21 | setbacks 13:17 |
| 40:23 42:12 | 2:7 19:7 | Roads 1:16 2:8 | 16:24 17:1 | 35:10 38:5 |
| record's 20:16 | respect 32:14,18 | 19:8 | 18:1,2 23:24 | 39:24 49:15 |
| reduced 5:24 | 34:17 41:24 | roadway $36: 18$ | 25:11 58:17 | 50:20 |
| reducing 57:10 | responded 7:21 | 36:24 | secondary $35: 1$ | seven 18:19 |
| 57:16 | response 6:12 | roadways 33:14 | 47:14 | sewer 14:18 15:4 |
| reduction 44:10 | 16:17 58:11,13 | robbing 56:18 | sections 41:22 | 28:11 30:10 |
| reflect 38:7 | 58:21 | Roll 3:6 6:17 | see 5:8,22 12:9 | 40:12,13 42:12 |
| regards 16:15 | rest 20:3 | 8:21 9:22 | 16:1 24:12,15 | 43:15,16 45:1 |
| regular 31:4,11 | restraining 26:2 | 10:22 17:2 | 25:1,14 28:4 | 45:16 |
| related 44:21 | restrict 49:12 | 18:3 | 28:24 29:5,6 | sewers 14:17 |
| relates 33:8 36:5 | restricted 35:2 | room 26:17 | 32:8 33:1 | 33:13 |
| 46:6 | results 42:22 | round 25:22,23 | 37:13 39:10 | Shaffer 32:10,10 |
| remember 5:9 | retained 32:13 | routes 41:8 | 47:8,16 55:20 | 33:3 35:7 |
| 5:10 | retaining 38:13 | ruling 37:5 | 56:8,8 | 37:18 46:11,18 |
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| 47:3 50:3 | 45:23 47:15 | 45:16 | 44:22 45:12 | supporting |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 51:20 54:16 | 51:5,6 52:1,23 | spoil 26:5 | story 26:23 49:5 | 32:19 |
| Shahzad 2:20 | 53:2,21 54:3 | sport 12:24 | strategically | sure 8:4,4 13:18 |
| shape 38:13 | situation 5:22 | spot $40: 15$ | 39:15 | 19:3 23:14 |
| share 29:24 | 35:21 | spots 5:2,13 | street 36:11,13 | 26:12,21 28:4 |
| shared 19:19 | six $29: 15$ | square $28: 18$ | 36:15 | 30:23 31:12 |
| shift 44:10 | size 13:17 23:16 | 49:6,11 50:18 | streets 37:20,20 | 36:21 48:1 |
| shorthand 59 | 26:22 28:3,17 | 50:23 51:4,9,9 | stringent 43:8 | 54:2 56:18 |
| 59:6 | 48:13,17 49:2 | 51:11,13 56:3 | structures 40:6 | surrounding |
| show 15:5 22:3,6 | 50:10,10,12 | 56:6 | studies 42:22 | 55:6 |
| 41:23 42:13 | 51:3,6 52:6,24 | staff 12:5 13:12 | study 21:19 | survey 33:10,12 |
| 44:5 53:8 | 55:14,17 | 20:7 24:19 | stuff 15:17 39:24 | swale 57:2 |
| 54:15 | sized 30:6 | stage 25:2,6 | 54:11 | swear 11:13 |
| showing 31:9 | sizes 27:20 5 | 30:24 40:24 | styles 22:4 | sweep 12:23 |
| 52:18 54:11 | sizing 30:22 | staircases 48:11 | subdivision 1:9 | switch 51:21 |
| shown 14:14 | 55:13,14 | stairs 29:2,3 | 2:4 10:15 | sworn 11:19 |
| 22:3 34:1 | small 26:16 | standard 39:1 | 11:22 12:2 | 13:8 58:1 |
| 38:21 40:7 | 27:21,24 49:13 | standpoint | 13:14,15 19:13 | system 14:10 |
| 57:4 | 55:4 | 33:20 34:8 | 21:5,7,17,23 | 19:15 30:3,7 |
| shows 21: | smaller 12:17 | 35:7 36:16,18 | 23:17 26:5,16 | 30:16 31:15 |
| sic 42:2 | soil 13:23 57:17 | 37:4 38:18 | 26:20 47:8 | 32:3 41:21 |
| side 14:9 35:9 | sold 18:18,19 | 40:11 42:11,21 | 54:23 55:2,6 | 43:11 45:17 |
| 44:14 49:23 | 56:8 | 43:12,17 | 57:7,16 |  |
| 52:11,13 | somebody 21:6 | start 20:21 21 | subdivision-re... | T |
| side-loaded 36:6 | 25:21 26:9 | 24:24 56:1 | 32:15 | table 35:8 43:7 |
| 36:16 | sorry 28:12 | 58:5 | subdivisions | take 3:24 16:21 |
| sides 12:19,21 | sort 13:17 26:1 | started 46:11 | 22:1 24:9,9 | 17:17,21 23:3 |
| 40:4 | 31:11 44:17 | starting 23:5 | 25:18 26:13 | 24:22 29:23 |
| sideyard 49:15 | sounded 56:24 | 46:8,12 54:12 | 56:11 | 36:4 45: |
| 54:19 | sounds 15:12 | starts 32:21 | submission 43 | 58:14 |
| significant 38:11 | south 1:2,10 2:2 | state 19:10 | submit 53:23 | taken 59:10 |
| similar 12:16,17 | 2:5,12,16,16 | 32:11 59:1,5 | submittal 35:9 | talk 7:8 11:12 |
| 21:14 | 10:16,17 27:21 | stated 6:3 47:4 | 40:18 54:12 | 20:18 37:16 |
| single 24:16 30:5 | 35:12,14 36:21 | states 43:12 | submitted 20:14 | 45:15 47:10,23 |
| 34:21 39:5 | 41:18 43:7,14 | stenographic | 29:14 33:10,12 | 58:3 |
| 49:10 56:6 | 44:11 45:18 | 59:9 | 42:17 46:2,7 | talked 15:3 38:2 |
| sit 47:23 | southeast 44:2 | stick 26:19 | subtract 51:12 | talking 37:13,16 |
| site 25:13 32:14 | southwest 19:13 | stone 22:20 | 51:13 | 55:4 |
| 32:23 33:11,18 | space 28:20 36:3 | storm 14:17 | suggest 26:6 | tank 44:24 |
| 33:19 34:7,8 | 49:5 | 15:4 33:13 | suggesting 22:19 | tanks 31:23 |
| 34:12 35:7,18 | spaces 5:24 6:2 | 40:12 42:12,13 | 39:14,21 40:14 | team 15:3 33:8 |
| 38:10,20,22,24 | speaking 54:7 | stormwater | summarizes | technical 19:17 |
| 39:4,20,21,23 | specific 30:22 | 13:22 32:15 | 13:12 47:4 | 33:7 42:16 |
| 39:24 41:13 | 44:4 | 33:16 38:21 | supplier 24:11 | technician 14:4 |
| 43:1 44:2 45:7 | specifically 38:3 | 42:19 43:10,20 | support 34:21 | teeny $24: 4$ |
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| tell 23:20 | thoughts 54:13 | 31:22 34:9 | vice 4:15 | 18:21 20:22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tells 50:3 | thousand 28:13 | 35:2 39:11,11 | View 21:4,5 | 21:8 22:13 |
| template 23 | 28:18 55:19 | 43:21 44:1 | village 1:2 2:2 | 23:14,20,21,22 |
| ten 28:23 | three 21:2 23:12 | 49:5 52:22 | 2:12,22,23,23 | 23:22,23 25:8 |
| tennis 12:17 | 43:22 46:4 | type 25:7 41:19 | 3:7,9,12,14,16 | 26:4,8,8,11,11 |
| tenth 44:1 | time 8:15 11:13 | 43:10 48:13 | 3:18 4:16 6:9 | 28:7,7,14 29:1 |
| term 41:9 | 16:15 19:22 | types 21:2 | 6:18,20,22,24 | 29:2,3,4 36:3 |
| terms 21:15 | 20:2 28:24 | typically 42:8 | 7:2,4,18 8:6,22 | 38:3 39:17 |
| 26:22 37:14 | 40:4 57:15 |  | 8:24 9:2,4,6,8 | 48:11 49:14 |
| test 31:5 | timely 15:6 | U | 9:10,23 10:1,3 | 53:22 56:10,17 |
| testify 58:1 | times 48:7 | U.S 46:2 | 10:5,7,9,16,23 | wanted 26:17 |
| Thank 11:17,17 | today 7:21 15:16 | ubiquitous | 11:1,3,5,7,9,23 | 51:19 |
| 18:15 19:5 | Todd 32:8,10 | 23:13 | 11:24 12:4 | wants 21:6 23:6 |
| 20:17 27:9 | 51:20 | under-drain | 13:5,6,10 | 23:6,7,7 25:21 |
| 58:6,8 | Todd's 54:13 | 41:21 | 14:13 15:1,11 | 26:9 |
| thanks 3:1 | told 7:14,18 | underground | 17:3,5,7,9,11 | wasn't 8:13 |
| They'd 36:12 | tonight 3:22 | 30:17 44:24 | 17:13,18,20,24 | waste 56:21 |
| thing 13:18 14:7 | 11:20 12:3 | underneath | 18:4,6,8,10,12 | wastewater 55:1 |
| 20:20 31:12 | 47:5 | 33: | 18:14 20:1,13 | 56:24 |
| 35:13 47:1,21 | topo 21:16 | understan | 20:15,22 32:13 | water 14:18 30:9 |
| 48:4 56:20 | topographic | 30:12 54:2 | 34:10,20 35:12 | 32:133:18 |
| things 13:13,20 | 33:10 | 56:24 | 35:24 37:17 | 38:5,8 40:14 |
| 15:2,3,7,15,18 | traffic 21:19 | understandin | 39:6 43:7,11 | 41:20 42:14,18 |
| 20:9 21:11,17 | 34:24 37:16 | 41:7 46:2 | 43:14,18 44:19 | 42:24 43:6 |
| 28:8 30:10,15 | transcript 59:8 | 48:18 | 53:22 54:18 | 55:5,9,10 |
| 37:11 40:5 | treated 30:17 | unique 26 | 56:16,17 | 56:21 57:13 |
| 47:24 57:23 | 31:13 | 40:20 | visual 41:18 | Watershed |
| think 6:1 7:16 | treatment 45:1,4 | unit 30:22 31 | volume 41:16 | 14:22 |
| 11:11 13:11 | tree 33:12,20,22 | unity $27: 6$ | 43:12 44:23 | waterway $14: 8$ |
| 15:14 16:2,10 | 33:23 34:5 | upcoming 39:20 | vote 6:13,15 8:2 | way $23: 8,18$ |
| 17:15,16,17 | trees 33:24 34:4 | update 15:16 | 16:20 18:3 | 25:9 27:14 |
| 19:21 24:23,23 | 34:6 | 46:7 |  | 33:5 38:13 |
| 28:10 29:13 | truck 36:2 | updated 39:22 | W | 39:12 49:14 |
| 35:21 47:7,20 | true 59:8 | urge 34:3 | it 8:3 47:1 | 56:24 57:4 |
| 47:22 50:21 | try 40:3 | use 16:16 17:16 | waiting 46:3 | we'll 3:24 6:1,7 |
| 51:1 53:20 | trying 19:20 | 19:21 26:24 | waive 40:21 | 8:3 11:11 13:5 |
| 55:20 56:2,14 | 21:13 49:12 | 28:10 | walk 22:11 23:7 | 13:6 22:11 |
| 56:23 57:6 | turning 36:22 | utilities 34 | 38:17 39:12,17 | 23:20 47:15,19 |
| thinking 22:7 | 37:21 | utility 40:6 | walking 39:16 | we're 7:8 11:20 |
| 29:16 31:21 | turns 36:14 | 42:11,13,14 | walkout 23:23 | 12:24 20:10 |
| third 19:22 | tweaked 40:8 |  | 26:24,24 39:2 wall 41.24 | 22:6 23:19 |
| 30:18 | twice 19:22 |  | wall $41: 24$ | 38:23 39:8 |
| thought 4:19 | two 18:22 19:19 | varies 50:16 | walls $38: 13,15$ | 56:2,14,18 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 15: 23 \text { 16:12 } \\ & 22: 4 \end{aligned}$ | 22:17 26:23 29:22,22,23,24 | various 13:18 <br> verify 43:19 | $\begin{aligned} & 38: 19 \\ & \text { want } 14: 16 \text { 15:2 } \end{aligned}$ | 57:23 we've $9 \cdot 13$ |
| 22:4 | 29:22,22,23,24 | verify 43.19 | want 14:16 15:2 | we've 9:13 |
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| week 46:20 | Y | 19 27:22 48:12 | 43:9,11 52:11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| weigh 46:1 | yard 35:10,10 | 48:13,17 | 4,000 49:6 |
| welcome 47:12 | 38:2 53:11,19 | 2 | 40 52:6 |
| wells 55:4,8 went $20: 4$ 28:8 | yards 41:1 | 2 10:17 43:6,9 | 5 |
| 29:11 | Yeah $7.248: 9$ $8: 11,1412: 11$ | 43:15 48:23 | 5 28:18 38:4 |
| weren't 13:19 | 15:14 16:11 | 2,500 49:4 51:9 | 41:3 48:8 |
| west 1:10 2:5 | 25:24 31:16 | 51:9 | 49:11 |
| 10:15 11:23 | 32:2,5 46:17 | 20 23:1 28:13 | 5,000 56:3,6 |
| 18:23 41:2 | 47:17,20 50:16 | 49:21,22 50:3 | 50 21:3 22:9 |
| 49:23 | yesterday 7:21 | 50:4 52:9 53:5 | 49:4,4 50:6,6 |
| wetland 41:18 | yesterday 7.21 | 2023 1:23 2:10 | 50:24,24 51:7 |
| 43:19 44:1,6,7 | Z | 2:15 | 51:8,8,8,8 54:5 |
| 44:8,11,13,14 | zoning 13:16 | $2061: 102: 5$ | $50031: 22$ |
| 44:14,20,21 | 35:12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20th } 8: 8,17 \\ & 2152: 5 \end{aligned}$ | 6 |
| 45:20,23 <br> wetlands 13:19 | 0 | 22 52:9 | 626:18,19 |
| 42:24 43:17,20 | 0.01 43:23 | 25 35:11,20 38:4 | 6,000 49:11 |
| 43:22 44:10 | 084-004107 | 41:2 49:17,18 | $6.411: 22$ |
| 45:21 48:3 | 59:15 | 49:23 50:2,2 | 60 21:3 54:6 |
| wide 21:4 52:18 |  | 50:24 52:19 | 65 49:3 51:7 |
| wider 22:10 | 1 | 53:12,15,17 | 7 |
| width 36:24 37:2 | $114: 1039: 12$ | 2500 28:18 | 738 |
| 37:6 50:15 | 1,200 51:12,14 | $2838: 4$ | $738: 4$ |
| 53:3,12 54:8 | 1,500 50:22 | $2938: 4$ | 7,000 51:4,11 |
| widths $36: 19$ | 1/2 43:16 | 2D 33:23 | 7,500 50:11,19 |
| wish 21:10 23:5 | 10 49:16,22 | 2nd 9:13 46:9,13 | 7:00 1:24 2:11 |
| 25:3,3 | $10032: 11$ 44:23 | $20610: 15$ | 2:15 |
| wondering | 12 24:10 26:19 | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \text { 5:2 54:5 } \\ & 7021: 354: 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| 27:19 28:2 | 44:1 52:12,18 | $\frac{3}{343.1649 .6}$ | 7021.354 .6 |
| work 5:19,21 | 54:22 | 3 43:16 49:6 52:11 | 8 |
| 21:14,24 28:23 | 12th 4:1,6 | $52: 11$ $\mathbf{3 , 0 0 0} 49: 11$ |  |
| 30:2 32:4 | $1437: 1$ | $\mathbf{3 , 0 0 0} 49: 11$ $\mathbf{3 0} 2: 165: 3,4,12$ | 8:15 58:24 |
| $34: 23-36: 15$ $37 \cdot 1445 \cdot 11$ | 15 12:1 18:17 | 30 2:16 5:3,4,12 |  |
| 37:14 45:11 48:3 57:23 | 37:1 48:15 | 5:13 6:3 28:13 $50: 253: 16$ | 9 |
| 48:3 57:23 working 12:4,6 | 49:8 | 50:2 53:16 $\mathbf{3 2} 39: 1148: 15$ | 9,000 50:11 |
| working 12:4,6 48:7 51:2 | 16 24:10 | $\mathbf{3 2} 39: 1148: 15$ $\mathbf{3 3} 19: 12$ |  |
| 48:7 51:2 | 16,000 50:19 | $3319: 12$ 35123123 |  |
| written 46:24 | 16,500 50:12 | 35 31:23,24 |  |
| wrong 56:4 | 17 5:5,11,11,15 | 38 49:8,9 50:13 |  |
| wrote 20:9 | 6:1 40:19 | 3D 20:24 33:24 |  |
| X | $50: 12$ 55:4 $181: 232 \cdot 10,15$ | 4 |  |
| x 49:4 50:6,24 | 18th 3:5 7:10 | 4 26:19 27:23,24 |  |
| 51:8,8 | 10:12 | 27:24 28:18 |  |
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